

The PDS4 Data Provider's Handbook

Guide to Archiving Planetary Data
Using the PDS4 Standard

Version 1.26.0

April 1, 2026

DOI: [10.17189/695t-zr63](https://doi.org/10.17189/695t-zr63)

Contents

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 1 | Introduction to the Data Provider’s Handbook (DPH) | 1 |
| 1.1 | Purpose | 1 |
| 1.2 | Audience | 1 |
| 1.3 | Reader Preparation | 2 |
| 1.4 | Applicable Documents | 2 |
| 1.4.1 | PDS4 Controlling Documents | 2 |
| 1.4.2 | Other PDS4 Documents | 3 |
| 1.4.3 | PDS4 Software | 3 |
| 1.4.4 | PDS Small Bodies Node Resources | 3 |
| 2 | Overview of a PDS4 Archive | 4 |
| 2.1 | Components of a PDS4 Archive | 4 |
| 2.2 | Products | 4 |
| 2.2.1 | Science Data Products | 4 |
| 2.2.2 | Other Kinds of Products | 5 |
| 2.3 | Metadata in PDS4 Labels | 6 |
| 2.4 | Products, Collections, and Bundles | 6 |
| 2.5 | Unique Identifiers | 7 |
| 2.6 | Context Products | 7 |
| 3 | Checklist for Creating a PDS4 Archive | 9 |
| 4 | Defining Archive Contents and Organization | 11 |
| 4.1 | Terminology | 11 |
| 4.2 | Archive Design | 11 |
| 4.2.1 | Data Formats | 12 |
| 4.2.2 | Policy on Formats for Science Data, Supplemental Data, and Documentation | 15 |
| 4.2.3 | Data Storage Considerations | 16 |
| 4.2.4 | Example Data | 17 |
| 4.3 | Organizing the Bundle and Collections | 17 |
| 4.3.1 | Directory Organization | 17 |
| 4.3.2 | Directory and File Naming | 18 |
| 4.3.3 | Determining the Documentation Needed | 20 |
| 5 | Assigning Unique Identifiers | 22 |
| 5.1 | General Concepts | 22 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 5.2 | Constructing Logical Identifiers (LIDs)..... | 23 |
| 5.2.1 | Examples..... | 23 |
| 5.3 | Constructing Version Identifiers (VIDs)..... | 24 |
| 5.4 | LIDVID Construction | 25 |
| 5.4.1 | Examples..... | 25 |
| 5.5 | Digital Object Identifiers..... | 25 |
| 5.6 | Researcher and Contributor Identifiers (RORID and ORCID)..... | 26 |
| 6 | Designing Labels | 28 |
| 6.1 | Overview of Labels and Schema..... | 28 |
| 6.2 | Basic Product Labels | 28 |
| 6.2.1 | Product Type Selection..... | 29 |
| 6.2.2 | Basic Product Labels for Observational Products | 30 |
| 6.2.3 | Basic Product Labels for Non-Observational Products | 34 |
| 6.2.4 | Composite Structures | 34 |
| 6.2.5 | Aggregate Product Labels..... | 37 |
| 6.2.6 | Special Characters in Product Labels | 37 |
| 6.3 | Data Dictionary Overview | 38 |
| 6.3.1 | What Is a Data Dictionary For? | 38 |
| 6.3.2 | Why You Need to Understand Data Dictionaries..... | 39 |
| 6.4 | Label Design Tools | 39 |
| 6.4.1 | XML-Aware Editors | 40 |
| 6.4.2 | Copying a Similar Label | 40 |
| 7 | Mass Producing Labels..... | 41 |
| 8 | Documenting the Archive..... | 41 |
| 8.1 | Documents Included in the Archive..... | 41 |
| 8.2 | Documents External to PDS..... | 42 |
| 8.3 | Documents Elsewhere in PDS..... | 43 |
| 8.4 | Restricted Documents | 43 |
| 8.5 | Creating a Label Template | 44 |
| 8.6 | The PDS MILabel Tool..... | 44 |
| 8.7 | PDS Node-Specific Tools | 45 |
| 8.8 | The PDS Tool Registry | 45 |
| 9 | Creating and Using Context Products..... | 46 |
| 9.1 | What Are Context Products?..... | 46 |

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 9.2 | How Context Products are Generated | 47 |
| 9.3 | How Context Products are Maintained | 48 |
| 9.4 | How Context Products are Used | 48 |
| 10 | Assembling the Whole Archive | 50 |
| 11 | Archive Validation | 53 |
| 11.1 | Label Validation | 53 |
| 11.2 | Data Product Validation | 54 |
| 11.3 | Science Validation | 54 |
| 11.4 | Archive Validation | 54 |
| 11.5 | Validation Tools | 54 |
| 11.5.1 | XML-Aware Editors | 54 |
| 11.5.2 | The PDS4 Validate Tool | 55 |
| 11.5.3 | The Small Bodies Node PDS4 Viewer and Other Tools | 55 |
| 11.5.4 | The PDS Transform Tool | 55 |
| 11.5.5 | Other Tools Maintained by PDS | 56 |
| 11.5.6 | Tools Contributed by PDS Users | 56 |
| 12 | Peer Review | 57 |
| 12.1 | Why Peer Review Is Needed | 57 |
| 12.2 | Typical Review Procedure | 57 |
| 13 | Archive Delivery | 60 |
| 13.1 | Contents of the Delivery to PDS | 60 |
| 13.1.1 | The Delivery Package | 60 |
| 13.1.2 | The Checksum File | 60 |
| 13.1.3 | The Delivery Manifest | 61 |
| 13.1.4 | The Difference Between a Delivery Manifest and a Checksum File | 62 |
| 13.2 | Transfer Procedures | 62 |
| 13.2.1 | Logistics | 62 |
| 13.2.2 | Delivery Checklist | 62 |
| 13.3 | Revising Previously Released Products | 64 |
| 13.3.1 | What Should Be Changed in the Labels of Revised Data Products | 64 |
| 13.3.2 | Versioning of Products, Collections, and Bundles | 64 |
| 13.4 | Making Products Available to Users | 65 |
| 14 | Licenses for Archive Data | 65 |
| 14.1 | NASA Objectives for License Use | 66 |

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| 14.2 | Terms of License Use for NASA Digital Products | 67 |
| 14.3 | How to apply the CC0 License to a Digital Products..... | 68 |
| Appendix A | Acronyms and Abbreviations..... | 70 |
| Appendix B | How to Select the Right Class for a Product..... | 73 |
| Appendix C | XML Schema Basics..... | 75 |
| C.1 | Reading XML Files..... | 75 |
| C.2 | Using XML Schema..... | 76 |
| Appendix D | Creating an Initial Draft Label | 78 |
| D.1 | Appropriation | 78 |
| D.2 | Eclipse XML Editor | 78 |
| D.3 | oXygen XML Editor | 78 |
| Appendix E | XML Label Editing | 83 |
| E.1 | Getting Started with an Example | 83 |
| E.2 | Editing the Body of the Label | 83 |
| E.2.1 | A Note on Validation | 84 |
| E.2.2 | Modifying File_Area_Observational | 85 |
| E.2.3 | Modifying File_Area_Observational_Supplemental | 91 |
| E.2.4 | Modifying Identification_Area | 94 |
| E.2.5 | Modifying Observation_Area | 96 |
| E.2.6 | Modifying Reference_List..... | 99 |
| E.3 | Editing the XML Prolog and Root Tag..... | 102 |
| E.3.1 | XML Declaration Statement..... | 102 |
| E.3.2 | Schematron References..... | 102 |
| E.3.3 | Local File References and Catalog Files | 103 |
| E.3.4 | Product Type and Namespaces in the Root Tag..... | 104 |
| E.3.5 | Schema Locations in the Root Tag | 104 |
| E.3.6 | The End Tag..... | 105 |
| E.4 | Turning a Label into a Label Template..... | 106 |
| Appendix F | Using Local_Internal_Reference and local_identifier | 108 |
| Appendix G | Counting Fields and Groups in a Nested Structure..... | 110 |
| Appendix H | Using XML Catalog Files to Locate Schema..... | 114 |
| Appendix I | Using Schematron Rules to Help Validate Labels..... | 116 |
| Appendix J | Forming Logical Identifiers (LIDs) for Context Products | 117 |
| Appendix K | Generating Labels for Collection Inventories and Bundles | 122 |

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| K.1 | Product_Collection..... | 122 |
| K.1.1 | Members of a Collection..... | 122 |
| K.1.2 | Collection Inventory | 122 |
| K.1.3 | Generating and Populating a Product_Collection Label..... | 123 |
| K.2 | Product_Bundle..... | 129 |
| K.2.1 | Generating and Populating a Product_Bundle Label..... | 129 |
| ● | Change Log..... | 136 |

Tables and Figures

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 2-1. Science Data Processing Levels | 5 |
| Table 4-1. Values for parsing_standard_id | 13 |
| Table 4-2. Values for encoding_standard_id | 14 |
| Figure 6-1. Example Label Structure for Product_Observational | 33 |
| Figure 8-1. Example Product_Document Label | 42 |
| Figure 8-2. Examples of Internal_Reference and External_Reference . | 43 |
| Figure 9-1. Example of a Context Product: Target Mars | 47 |
| Figure 9-2. Example of Context Product LIDs in Product_Observational Label | 49 |
| Figure 10-1. Example Archive Directory Structure | 51 |
| Table 13-1. Example of an Archive Delivery Checklist | 57 |
| Figure C-1. Schema and Schematron References in a PDS4 Label | 67 |
| Figure D-1. Using oXygen to Generate an XML Label, part 1 | 69 |
| Figure D-2. Using oXygen to Generate an XML Label, part 2 | 70 |
| Figure E-1. Example of Table_Character data | 74 |
| Figure G-1. Nested Groups and Fields in a Table Label | 101 |
| Table J-1. LIDs for Context Products | 117 |

1 Introduction to the Data Provider’s Handbook (DPH)

The NASA Planetary Data System (PDS) has been archiving and distributing planetary science data since the 1980s. Starting in 2013 PDS began archiving data using a new standard known as Planetary Data System Version 4 (PDS4).

PDS4 represents a departure from previous versions of the PDS. It has been designed using contemporary information technology concepts and tools that were not available for earlier PDS versions. The system is built around a data model that rigorously defines each of its components and the relationships among them. There are only four fundamental data structures, but many extensions are possible — each rigorously defined. By carefully controlling product definitions and relationships, PDS can accurately track each product entering the system, compute detailed inventories of holdings, design sophisticated services that users can request to act on subsets of the archive (such as transformations and displays, in addition to the expected search and retrieval functions), and connect data products to relevant internal and external documentation.

The basis of PDS4 is the *Information Model* (IM) [1]. This is a set of defined concepts, objects, relationships, rules, and operations that represent the PDS archives. The model drives the development of PDS4 documentation and tools. The IM is revised as the needs of data providers and users evolve, usually no more frequently than twice a year. As the IM is revised, this handbook will be revised as needed.

1.1 Purpose

The *DPH* is a guide for the preparation of data being submitted to PDS. As a data provider for a mission instrument team or an individual project, you are probably required to submit your science data to PDS. You should be assigned one of the PDS discipline nodes (Atmospheres, Cartography and Imaging Sciences, Geosciences, Navigation and Ancillary Information Facility, Planetary Plasma Interactions, Ring-Moon Systems, or Small Bodies) to work with you to prepare your data submission. The *DPH* should be used in conjunction with advice from staff at your *consulting node*. They will walk you through the preparation of products, collections of products, and bundles of collections, which are the units in which deliveries are made to PDS4.

1.2 Audience

The *DPH* is written for scientists and engineers in the planetary science community (data providers) who are planning to submit new or restored data to PDS using the PDS4 standards.¹ The document is applicable to all such submissions, whether from mission instrument teams or individual data providers.

When the text directly addresses the reader as “you”, it is referring to the data provider. When it says “we”, it means PDS personnel.

¹ PDS4 standards are, in general, not backward-compatible with version 3 (PDS3). Some PDS3 structures are no longer supported under PDS4.

1.3 Reader Preparation

This handbook addresses all aspects of creating a PDS archive from defining the archive contents to delivery of the archive to PDS. The topics are presented in the sequence in which they are likely to occur during archiving preparation, and the discussions go from the general to the specific, with very detailed instructions removed to appendices so as not to disrupt the flow of the text. In Section 2 you will be introduced to the components that make up a PDS archive. Section 3 provides a checklist of archive preparation tasks, and the sections that follow address each item in the checklist at length. Appendix A spells out the many acronyms and abbreviations used in the handbook. The other appendices give detailed instructions for particular tasks, and they are mentioned in the main text where relevant.

The *DPH* is associated with a set of example archives online at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>. The text will often refer to these examples, and excerpts from the examples are reproduced in the text to illustrate the discussion.

The instructions in this handbook mention PDS requirements and PDS recommendations. When a requirement is mentioned, a reference is given to one of the controlling documents (see Section 1.4.1 below) where the requirement is fully stated. When a recommendation is given, it is based on the accumulated experience and recognized best practices of PDS personnel. If a statement in this handbook is found to conflict with a statement in one of the controlling documents, the controlling document will prevail. If you are in doubt about the best course to take, consult your PDS discipline node.

All readers, even those very familiar with previous versions of PDS, should read the *PDS4 Concepts* document [5] below before beginning the *Data Provider's Handbook*. The *Concepts* document also includes a glossary of PDS-related terms that you may wish to keep handy.

1.4 Applicable Documents

The *DPH* is one of several documents that describe the PDS4 system. Some of these documents are considered to represent the official PDS4 Standards; these are listed in Section 1.4.1 below. Other documents are provided to help readers understand and use PDS4. The *DPH* is in the latter category. Throughout this handbook you will find references to these documents by their title and number in the list, e.g. *PDS Standards Reference* [2].

The *DPH* should be used in conjunction with the *PDS Standards Reference* [2], the *PDS4 Data Dictionary* [3], and the *PDS4 Common XML Schema and PDS4 Schematron*² [4], which collectively provide the information necessary to develop a PDS4-compliant archive.

1.4.1 PDS4 Controlling Documents

The following documents are derived directly from the PDS4 Information Model and collectively represent the PDS4 Standards.

² The PDS4 Schema and Schematron files are software-readable representations of the PDS4 Information Model. They are discussed in Section 6.1.

1. *Planetary Data System (PDS) PDS4 Information Model Specification*, <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/im/current/>.
2. *Planetary Data System Standards Reference*, <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/sr/current/>.
3. *PDS4 Data Dictionary, Abridged*, <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/dd/current/>.
4. *PDS4 Common XML Schema and PDS4 Schematron*, and other Schemas and Schematron files recognized in PDS4, <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/schema/released/>.

1.4.2 Other PDS4 Documents

5. *PDS4 Concepts*, <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/concepts/>. This document provides a high-level overview of PDS4, and should be the first document read by someone new to PDS4.
6. *PDS4 Data Provider's Handbook*, <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/dph/current/>. This is the document you are currently reading.
7. *PDS4 Data Provider's Examples*, <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>. The examples are sets of products, collections, and bundles that illustrate the use of PDS4.

In general all PDS4 documents can be found online at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/>. For questions concerning these documents, consult your PDS discipline node or contact the PDS Operator at pds-operator@jpl.nasa.gov or 818-393-7165.

1.4.3 PDS4 Software

PDS provides software tools to aid in generating, validating, and transforming PDS4 data products and labels. These tools are online at <https://pds.nasa.gov/tools/about/>.

PDS also maintains a Tool Registry in which data providers and users may share tools they have created for use with PDS4 data. Submissions to the Tool Registry are vetted by the PDS Engineering Node. The Tool Registry is online at <https://pds.nasa.gov/tools/tool-registry/>.

1.4.4 PDS Small Bodies Node Resources

The PDS Small Bodies Node maintains a set of web pages and a Wiki site to help explain PDS4 to users who are unfamiliar with it. The material is for PDS4 archive developers both inside and outside of the Small Bodies Node. The web pages begin at https://pdssbn.astro.umd.edu/howto/understand_PDS4.shtml.

The wiki is available at <https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu>.

2 Overview of a PDS4 Archive

2.1 Components of a PDS4 Archive

PDS holdings include many archives of planetary data going back to the early years of space exploration in the 1960s and 1970s. Often you will hear the whole of PDS holdings called the PDS Archive, but usually PDS users speak of *an archive*, meaning a set of data products, documentation, and related material created by a data provider. PDS4 introduced the specific terms *bundle* and *collection*, which are explained below, but the term *archive* is still used. The important point is that a PDS archive contains more than just science data. It includes descriptions of each product — sufficient documentation to enable a user who is unfamiliar with the archive to read, understand, and use the data — and often other kinds of products that may help the user, such as calibration data or browse data (quick-look versions of data to make searching easier).

This section describes the components of an archive and defines some PDS4-specific terms.

2.2 Products

Products are, of course, the heart of an archive. Before diving into what products contain — the science data, calibrations, documentation, etc. — we need to spend a moment on terminology. A product is the combination of one or more data *objects* and their associated *description objects*; the descriptions are sometimes called *metadata* (data about data), which are concatenated into a PDS *label*, a separate text file. A data object and its associated description object is sometimes called an *information object*.

There are three kinds of data objects. *Digital objects* are the things we find in PDS archives that carry the scientific measurements — tables, images, spectra, etc. Usually one digital object is contained in one file. No digital object may extend beyond a single file, and while a file may contain more than one digital object, they may not overlap within the file. *Physical objects* are things that are important to planetary exploration but that we can't put into the archive because they aren't digital — planets, satellites, telescopes, spacecraft, etc. *Conceptual objects* also don't fit in the archive; they are ideas, organizations, plans, etc., which don't exist in a physical sense but are still important. Note that an atlas of Mercury or plans to explore asteroids may be printed on paper or stored in computer files — the latter are digital objects; but Mercury and the exploration plans themselves are physical and conceptual objects, respectively. Even though we won't find physical and conceptual objects in PDS, we can still create descriptions (labels) for them; the resulting products (actually the labels only) can be included in PDS archives.

2.2.1 Science Data Products

Science digital objects are generated by instruments on spacecraft visiting solar system bodies or in laboratories or observatories on Earth. They may be wrapped with other digital objects, labeled, and delivered to PDS by mission instrument teams or by individual investigators. They range in processing level from the raw measurements recorded by an instrument to highly derived products such as topographic maps.

PDS recognizes five broad levels for categorizing science data processing; see the PDS Policy on Data Processing Levels on the *PDS Policies* web page (<https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/policy/>). These are shown in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1. Science Data Processing Levels

| Processing Level | Definition |
|---------------------|---|
| Telemetry | An encoded byte stream used to transfer data from one or more instruments to temporary storage where the raw instrument data will be extracted. PDS does not archive telemetry data. |
| Raw | Original data from an instrument. If compression, reformatting, packetization, or other translation has been applied to facilitate data transmission or storage, those processes will be reversed so that the archived data are in a PDS-approved archive format. |
| Partially Processed | Data that have been processed beyond the raw stage, but have not yet reached calibrated status. |
| Calibrated | Data converted to physical units, which makes values independent of the instrument. |
| Derived | Results that have been distilled from one or more calibrated data products (for example, maps, gravity or magnetic fields, or ring particle size distributions). Supplementary data used to interpret observational data, such as calibration tables or tables of viewing geometry, should also be classified as derived data if not easily matched to one of the other categories. |

Data providers may find this breakdown useful when deciding what archives to submit to PDS. It is not necessary to archive all processing levels from raw to derived; however, based on requests from the science community, PDS encourages providers of raw data to also submit calibrated data. The archive requirements for metadata and documentation are the same regardless of processing level.

2.2.2 Other Kinds of Products

PDS4 is designed using the principle “Everything is a product.” For example, a table of science measurements is a product once it has been labeled (per the previous section). A document that describes the experiment is also a product (with its label). An image showing a plot of the measurements (and its label) is a product. Examples of products other than science data products are calibration data, calibration reports, browse images, user’s guides, published papers (with the journal’s permission), and any other kind of documentation that is needed to use the science data.

2.3 Metadata in PDS4 Labels

Metadata is defined simply as “data about data”. A product – in particular, a science data product – is useless without accompanying information about its content and structure. Metadata about content may include, for instance, the time and location of a science observation, the instrument that made the observation, and the conditions of the observation. Metadata about structure includes the information needed to read the digital object, such as the dimensions and data type of an image, or the description, size, and data types of columns in a table.

PDS4 labels are written in eXtensible Markup Language (XML). XML was chosen because it is a language that can be read both by humans and by software and because it is a widely used international standard for which a large amount of software already exists. We will discuss XML labels in more detail in Section 6 and in Appendix C and Appendix D.

To see examples of XML labels for PDS4 products, visit <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>, choose the latest set of PDS4 Example Products, and look through the subdirectories for files with either the “.xml” or “.lblx” extension.

2.4 Products, Collections, and Bundles

Section 8 of *Concepts* [5] is a good introduction to the topic of products, collections, and bundles. Section 2A of the *Standards Reference* [2] develops the topic further. To summarize, products that are related in some way may be grouped together in a collection, and, similarly, related collections may be grouped together into a bundle. These are the three levels of data organization in a PDS archive. Typically, a bundle is what you deliver to PDS.³

For example, data providers for a planetary mission could decide that each instrument team will deliver data from its instrument in a separate bundle. An instrument team could decide that its bundle will consist of a separate collection for each processing level of science data products – raw, calibrated, and derived – along with a document collection and perhaps a collection of browse products. These choices are made in consultation with the instrument team’s assigned PDS discipline node, and with the PDS node that is assigned to be the lead node for the whole mission.

An individual data provider will probably have a simpler arrangement, delivering a single bundle consisting of one or more data collections and a document collection. Again, the decision is made in consultation with your PDS node.

To see an example of a PDS4 bundle with a typical set of collections, visit <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>, and follow the latest link to a PDS4 Example Archive.

In this document the term *basic product* refers to all types of products except collection and bundle products.

³ For archives that accumulate over time, such as for a planetary mission, a delivery to PDS may consist of a subset of a bundle, perhaps only a collection or part of a collection.

2.5 Unique Identifiers

Every product in a PDS4 archive has a unique Logical Identifier (LID). It also has a Version Identifier (VID). These are often used together as a LIDVID. When a product is revised, its LID remains constant but its VID is incremented. A LIDVID is guaranteed to be unique across the whole PDS system. To ensure uniqueness, when you create LIDVIDs for your products you must follow the rules for forming a LIDVID as specified in the *Standards Reference* [2] Section 6D. We will discuss this in detail later in Section 5 of this handbook. For now, an example will illustrate the concept.

LIDVID formation rule:

```
urn:nasa:pds:<bundle_id>:<collection_id>:<product_id>::<version_id>
```

Example:

```
urn:nasa:pds:bopps2014:calibrated:ceha_1_024109424_n011_0244e_fit::1.0
```

The example LIDVID is for version 1.0 of the data product

ceha_1_024109424_n011_0244e_fit in the calibrated collection of the bopps2014 bundle. The prefix urn:nasa:pds is required for all PDS LIDVIDs. (*Standards Reference* [2], Section 6D.2.)

As mentioned above, everything in PDS4 is a product. Collections and bundles are also considered products, and they also have unique LIDVIDs. In the above example, the bundle LIDVID is urn:nasa:pds:bopps2014::1.0 and the collection LIDVID is urn:nasa:pds:bopps2014:calibrated::1.0.

A product may also have a Digital Object Identifier (DOI) assigned to it by PDS. A DOI is generated by a DOI Registration Agency (RA). Typically a DOI is assigned to a Collection product, but may also be assigned to a Bundle product and to some basic products - for example, documents. Your PDS contact will help you in having a DOI assigned to a PDS product.

2.6 Context Products

Context products are a special category of PDS4 products. They provide a way to associate the digital material in an archive with a physical or conceptual object that is outside the archive. Context products are described in Section 8B of the *Standards Reference* [2], so we'll only summarize here.

Context products exist for physical and conceptual objects relevant to a product, collection, or bundle — mission, spacecraft, instrument, laboratory, observatory, telescope, planetary body, etc. Context products can be created by data providers, but they are finalized and managed in a PDS master context bundle so that all data providers can access and use the same material.

Because the context product references a physical or conceptual object, it consists of a label only. The label contains information that identifies and very briefly describes the physical or conceptual object; it also includes references to published documents for more complete information. Section 4.1 below tells more about the different kinds of objects covered. Section 9 goes into detail about creating and using context products. If a context product already exists for your data object, you should review its content for scope and accuracy; if it is sufficient, you do not need to do anything more.

Like all products, context products have LIDVIDs. If your archive will require the creation of new context products (or upgrading of existing context products), you will need to work with your consulting node and the PDS Engineering Node (EN) to choose the right LIDVIDs for them. The PDS Engineering Node is the steward of context products; EN staff approve LIDs for these products. Appendix J is a guide to forming LIDs for context products.

To see examples of context products, visit

<https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>, follow the link to a PDS4 Example Archive, and look in the context directory, if there is one. (A bundle is not required to include context products, and some of the example bundles do not.) Or ask your PDS node representative.

3 Checklist for Creating a PDS4 Archive

The following checklist is provided to help you plan the development of your archive. The steps are given in the order that they should be accomplished. Each item is discussed fully in the following sections.

1. Define archive contents: data products, documentation, and any additional material (Section 4).
2. Define archive organization: collections, bundles, directory structure (Section 4).
3. Assign unique LIDs to collections and bundles; determine LID scheme and file naming scheme for data products (Section 5).
4. Design labels for data products, documents, and any additional material (Section 6).
5. Develop procedures for generating many labels systematically (Section 7).
6. Generate products and labels (Section 7).
7. Generate documentation for the archive (Section 8).
8. Create context products if they do not already exist (Section 9).
9. Assemble archive (Section 10).
10. Validate archive (Section 11).
11. Submit archive to peer review (Section 12).
12. Submit final archive to PDS according to delivery schedule (Section 13).

If your archive will be one among many generated by an active mission, consider these additional points. Your PDS node representative can provide more information.

- Think of archiving as an integral part of the mission, not an additional activity. Typically a mission assigns a point of contact to coordinate all the archives, and establishes a Data and Archive Working Group with representatives from all instrument teams and their representative PDS nodes. This group usually develops an Archive Plan to guide the work of the individual instrument teams.
- PDS and the mission should begin archive planning early; face-to-face discussion is particularly useful.
- PDS and the mission should design an archive schedule so that due dates do not clash with other scheduled mission activities.
- PDS and the mission should agree on some archive conventions to be observed by all the data providers, the earlier the better. This is as much about coordinating among groups within the mission as it is about coordinating the mission with PDS.
 - Determine what data products will be archived at each processing level (raw, calibrated, high level). This is usually provided as a table in the Archive Plan.
 - Establish the mission-wide archive organization (define bundles and collections and how products will be assigned to them).

- Agree on a versioning scheme for the components of the archive (what represents a new version of a data product or a document, and how will the versions be represented?).
- Agree on mission-wide naming conventions for bundles, collections, products, directories, and files.
- Agree on a set of common time formats (for example, yyyy-mm-ddThh:mm:ss; see *SR [2]* Section 5A.2).
- Agree on a set of common data formats, as applicable (for example, tabular data in fixed-width ASCII tables).
- Determine the archive development schedule leading up to launch, and the schedule of deliveries to PDS once operations begin. This is usually provided in the Archive Plan.

4 Defining Archive Contents and Organization

4.1 Terminology

As defined in Section 2.2, PDS recognizes three kinds of data objects — digital, physical, and conceptual. Each of the three can be combined with a description object to form an information object, and one or more information objects may then be wrapped into a product. Of the three types of data objects, only digital objects will accompany their descriptions into an archive (dust samples, spacecraft, missions, space agencies, etc. cannot be stored in computers).

If many data objects have similar characteristics, we can group them into a *class*, and the common characteristics are the defining *attributes* of that class. Classes and attributes are primary building blocks of PDS labels. In this document both class names and attribute names are bolded. Class names have a capital letter at the beginning of each word, as in **Product_Observational**, and attribute names have all lower case letters, as in **file_name**.

A product is one or more closely related data objects for which the descriptions have been combined into a single XML label and for which there is a PDS-unique logical identifier. Closely related products may be grouped into a collection; in fact, every product entering PDS must be a member of some collection. Closely related collections may be grouped into a bundle. Every collection in PDS must belong to a bundle.

For example, a planetary image, the histogram of its pixel values, and the descriptions of both could be organized as a product. Many such products — perhaps of the same target — could be defined as a collection. Image collections from many targets along with appropriate documentation collections and calibration collections could be a bundle, which would be a deliverable to PDS.

A few words have meanings that differ depending on the community in which they are used. We have adopted modifiers to help distinguish among multiple uses. For example, *attribute* is widely used in both PDS and XML — but its meaning in each case is different. In this document we use *attribute* (for PDS) and *XML attribute* to establish the context.

Warning: We have avoided using terms that have strong PDS3 connotations when the PDS4 meanings are different. Unfortunately, the English language does not provide a sufficient set of meaningful, unique, unambiguous terms to meet all of our needs. Please do not infer meanings from past experiences – review the PDS4 definitions in the PDS4 Glossary, Appendix A in the PDS4 *Concepts* document [5].

4.2 Archive Design

In consultation with your PDS discipline node (DN), begin by reviewing the products you expect to include in your archive. Group related products into collections and related collections into bundles. A very simple archive might fit into a single bundle with half a dozen collections, each holding a handful of products. In some cases you might want to collaborate with others and jointly produce one bundle, where your data would be in one of several contributed collections. A large archive could have many bundles and hundreds of data collections.

You will likely have at least one data collection and probably one document collection. You may also want to include other material such as browse products, which are reduced-size images of data products that a user may scan quickly to help decide what data are of interest. Calibration data and reports are required by some missions. PDS does not require any particular collections to be in a bundle, but your submission must be in the form of at least one bundle with at least one collection.

For science data products, consider how you want to aggregate the measurements from your instrument. Should one product consist of a day's worth of data, or an hour's worth, or should it be grouped by some other parameter besides time? If the archive is for a mission, you may need to consider how data products from other instruments on the mission are aggregated so that yours will fit in easily.

What processing levels of science data do you want to archive (Table 2-1)? If you are archiving multiple levels of data, you should put each one in a separate collection. There is no PDS requirement for archiving a particular processing level, but there may be mission requirements. Most mission data providers want to archive at least the raw products to preserve them, but most users need the calibrated and derived products, especially if the processing is complicated. Keep in mind that your archive will be peer reviewed, and that reviewers may insist on your providing higher-level products (Section 12).

One important question that should be decided at this point is the format that your data products will take. The next section addresses this topic in detail.

4.2.1 Data Formats

Four basic structural data formats are allowed in PDS4.

1. Arrays

- Arrays are suitable for raster images with two or more (up to 16) dimensions.
- The elements of an array are homogeneous – all elements have the same data type, and only binary data types are allowed.
- The individual elements of any array are stored with their bytes in the order dictated by their scalar type — that is, least- or most-significant byte first (your choice, but you must specify).
- The array elements are stored in the axis order described in *Standards Reference* [2], Section 4A — that is, last index varying fastest.

2. Repeating record structure

- Repeating records are suitable for tables with fixed-width columns.
- The data may be either binary or character, but not both.
- The fields of a record may be heterogeneous – they may have different data types within the binary or character choice above.
- Any single field must be homogeneous from one record to another.

The majority of PDS4 data can be supported by these two structures. For those PDS4 objects which cannot be supported by the above, there are two additional structures distinguished by whether or not software must be used to decode the information before it can be accessed for reading, display, or analysis.

3. Parsable structure

- A parsable structure is suitable for plain text and for tables with variable-length fields and records (delimited text such as comma-separated value (CSV) format).
- The contents are a byte stream that can be parsed with standard rules (e.g., comma separated entries, standard punctuation); no decoding software is required.
- The attribute **parsing_standard_id** is used to identify the parsing standard to be used. Examples are “7-Bit ASCII Text” and “UTF-8 Text”. See Table 4-1 for other values under subclasses of the **Parsable_Byte_Stream** class.

Table 4-1. Values for parsing_standard_id

| Class | Value for parsing_standard_id |
|-------------------------------|---|
| pds:Checksum_Manifest | MD5Deep 4.n |
| pds:Header | 7-Bit ASCII Text CDF 3.4 ISTEP/IACG FITS 3.0 FITS 4.0 ISIS2 ISIS2 History Label ISIS3 PDS DSV 1 PDS ODL 2 PDS3 Pre-PDS3 TIFF 6.0 UTF-8 Text VICAR1 VICAR2 |
| pds:Inventory | PDS DSV 1 |
| pds:Manifest_SIP_Deep_Archive | PDS DSV 1 |
| pds:SPICE_Kernel | SPICE |
| pds:Stream_Text | 7-Bit ASCII Text UTF-8 Text |
| pds:Table_Delimited | PDS DSV 1 |
| pds:XML_Schema | Schematron ISO/IEC 19757-3:2006 XML Schema Version 1.1 |

4. Encoded structure

- An encoded structure is allowed for certain documents, browse products, and ancillary products but generally not for science data products. In cases where source data are encoded, PDS allows archiving of non-compliant formats using Product_Native. A version that is compliant (if not the original) must be archived using one of a limited set of accepted formats (encoded or otherwise).
- An encoded structure is suitable for complex documents, browse products, etc., but generally not for science data products.
- Contents are a byte stream that must be decoded by software before use (e.g., with Adobe Acrobat©).
- The use of encoded structure objects is restricted by PDS to a limited set of PDS approved external standards (e.g., PDF/A, JPEG, and GIF).
- Only in exceptional cases will encoded structure objects be considered appropriate for storing observational – that is, science – data. Prior PDS approval is required.
- The attribute **encoding_standard_id** is used to identify the encoding standard to be used. Examples are “PDF/A”, “GIF”, “JPEG”, and “J2C”. See Table 4-2 for other values under subclasses of the **Encoded_Byte_Stream** class.

Table 4-2. Values for encoding_standard_id

| Class | Value for encoding_standard_id |
|--------------------|---|
| pds:Encoded_Audio | WAV M4A/AAC |
| pds:Encoded_Binary | CCSDS Space Communications Protocols |
| pds:Encoded_Header | TIFF |
| pds:Encoded_Image | GIF J2C JPEG PDF PDF/A PNG TIFF |
| pds:Encoded_Native | MSSS Original Data Record Mini Header MSSS Original Data Record Payload Data NEF ⁴ |

⁴ NEF does not fully meet PDS4 requirements for Product_Native in that it does not include documentation of the data format at the bit level (SR, Section 9E). NEF was approved by the PDSMC as an exception to this requirement.

| | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | SEED 2.4 |
| pds:Encoded_Video | MP4/H.264 MP4/H.264/AAC |

The *PDS4 Information Model* [1] defines a base class for each of these four structures: **Array**, **Table_Base**, **Parsable_Byte_Stream**, and **Encoded_Byte_Stream**. A base class is a generic definition that can be built upon to form more specific definitions, without losing the basic features of the class. For instance, the **Array** base class is used to form the more specific **Array_2D** class and from that the **Array_2D_Image** class.

4.2.2 Policy on Formats for Science Data, Supplemental Data, and Documentation

The above four structures cover all types of products in a PDS archive. There are further restrictions on science data products, also called observational products. The general philosophy is that in order to preserve data for the long term, formats must be as simple as possible, well-described, and not reliant on specific software, because that software may be unavailable in the future. PDS recognizes that the goal of preservation is often in conflict with another PDS goal, which is to make the data easily available to the science community today. To meet this need PDS allows copies of data to be archived in supplemental formats. PDS also provides tools that transform products from archive-quality formats to various other formats currently popular with users.

The PDS Management Council has adopted a *Policy on Formats for Data and Documentation*, and the list of supplemental formats is available online on the PDS Policies page, <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/policy/>. The PDS Transform Tool is available on the PDS4 Tools page, <https://pds.nasa.gov/tools/about/>.

Here is a summary of the policies. Refer to the policy page above for details.

Science data must be archived in PDS using only these formats:

- Binary or ASCII tables with fixed-width, identically structured records
- ASCII tables with delimited records, such as CSV files
- Binary arrays of no more than 16 dimensions
- SPICE kernels⁵.
- MPEG-4 video or audio file meeting defined encoding methods of H.264 for video and AAC for audio. See the Standards Reference [2], Section 4D for additional restrictions on video formats.

Documentation must be archived using only these formats:

⁵ SPICE kernels use a special format defined by the PDS Navigation and Ancillary Information (NAIF) Node for storing navigation and geometry data (<http://naif.jpl.nasa.gov>).

- UTF-8 text without markup (e.g., no HTML). UTF-8 includes traditional 7-bit ASCII text.
- PDF/A-1a (preferred) or PDF/A-1b (see <https://www.loc.gov/preservation/digital/formats/fdd/fdd000251.shtml>).
- MPEG-4 video. Video format files may be included as document products (and only as document products) provided that they are supplemental material and are not intended to replace textual documentation. See the *Standards Reference* [2], Section 8A.2.2 for additional restrictions on video formats.

Figures that accompany documentation may be embedded in a PDF document or provided as separate files formatted as JPEG, GIF, PNG, or TIFF images.

4.2.3 Data Storage Considerations

Here are a few more rules to consider when describing your data products. For a full description, see the *Standards Reference* [2], Section 4.

- Each digital object must be stored in one of the four basic data formats.
- A digital object must be contained in a single file (i.e., a digital object cannot span multiple files).
- A file may contain multiple digital objects⁶.
- Multiple digital objects within a file are not required to use the same storage structure.
- When multiple digital objects are contained in a single file, they must be contiguous; they may not overlap in storage.
- Binary data in tables or arrays may be stored as 1, 2, 4, or 8-byte integers, signed or unsigned, or as 4 or 8-byte floating-point numbers in IEEE 754 format, or as complex numbers formed from floating-point components. Bytes may be stored in most-significant-byte-first order (MSB, “big-endian”) or in least-significant-byte-first order (LSB, “little-endian”).

The situation becomes very complicated when fields do not align with byte boundaries. It's not true that binary data must be stored as n-byte integers or m-byte floats where $m, n \leq 8$ and a byte is always 8 bits. In general, PDS4 cannot deal with 6-bit bytes, for example; but such data exist. And binary flags are sometimes as small as a single bit. Sets of sequential fields can often be identified that do align with byte boundaries; in those cases the `Field_Binary`, `Packed_Data_Fields`, and `Field_Bit` classes can be used to describe the complete bit string. For example, one-bit flags are often grouped into eight-bit binary fields that align with byte boundaries.

Note that when ordering the elements in a `File_Area` that describe a data object, it is considered good practice to follow a sequential order, such that the object descriptions in the XML label are provided in the same sequence as they appear in the data file's byte sequence. While not a

⁶ Except for documents, where there is a limit of one object per file.

requirement (except in the case of ordering fields in specifications of records), adhering to this order can improve clarity and consistency.

4.2.4 Example Data

This document frequently refers to the set of PDS4 examples online at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>. On this page you will find a set of examples for each major release of PDS4. When designing a new data product it is best to use the most recently released examples.

There are two different sets of examples:

- A set of example products. This includes a representative set of products that would exist within an archive (e.g., character table, binary table, document, etc.).
- An example of a complete archive — a bundle with collections, each having products.

4.3 Organizing the Bundle and Collections

The next step in designing an archive is to organize the data products into collections and the collections into bundles.

For simplicity, imagine that your archive includes a single table of observational data, and that the remainder of the archive consists of supplementary information, which will help future scientists understand and use the data. We will organize this material into a single bundle with three collections:

Browse Collection

Data Collection

Document Collection

The data, obviously, will be in the data collection; an abbreviated or reduced-resolution version of the data will be in the browse collection. Documents will go into the document collection.

These are typical collections, but none of these collections is required. See *Standards Reference* [2] Section 2A.5 for other types of collections that may be used. It's best to consult your PDS node about what collections to include.

4.3.1 Directory Organization

PDS does not set requirements for the physical storage organization of an archive (see *Standards Reference* [2], Section 2B.1), but you will probably find it helpful to let the physical organization of directories follow the logical organization of bundles and collections. Your bundle can be organized into a simple directory structure with one directory in the bundle root for each collection, as shown below. A file whose name ends in either “.xml” or “.lblx” is a label that describes the file with the same name but a different extension; for example, **collection_data.xml** and **collection_data.csv**.

```

bundle root
| - bundle.xml
| - readme.txt
|
| - browse
|   | - collection_browse.csv
|   | - collection_browse.xml
|   |
|   | - browse_product.img
|   | - browse_product.xml
|
| - data
|   | - collection_data.csv
|   | - collection_data.xml
|   |
|   | - data_file.tab
|   | - data_file.xml
|
| - document
|   | - collection_document.csv
|   | - collection_document.xml
|   |
|   | - errata_document_product.pdf
|   | - errata_document_product.xml
|   | - mission_document_product.pdf
|   | - mission_document_product.xml
|   | - instrument_document_product.pdf
|   | - instrument_document_product.xml
|   | - data_description_product.pdf
|   | - data_description_product.xml
|
| - miscellaneous
|   | - collection_misc.csv
|   | - collection_misc.lblx
|   |
|   | - misc_document_product.pdf
|   | - misc_document_product.lblx

```

The root level subdirectories each correspond to a single collection and have the name of the collection. Each subdirectory contains a collection inventory file and its XML label file.

The bundle root contains **bundle.xml**, the XML label file for the bundle product, and **readme.txt**, an optional file that is described in **bundle.xml**.

4.3.2 Directory and File Naming

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the collections in your bundle and the root level subdirectories. Beneath this level you may create subdirectories as needed.

In the example above, there is only one observational data file in the data directory, **data_file.tab**, so no data subdirectories are needed. If there is a large number of observational data files, you will probably want to establish subdirectories under the data directory. Choose a directory organization that seems natural for your data - balancing the number of files in a subdirectory and the number of subdirectories in a path. Grouping the data products by time is a

common method — for example, having directory names based on the UTC date, each directory containing files with names based on the UTC time (*Standards Reference* [2], Section 2B.2.2.2).

It is common practice to use the label file name as the last component of the Logical Identifier (LID) for the data product, so if you intend to do this, you should choose a file naming scheme for your data products that results in a unique name for each file within each directory. It is tempting to put as much identifying information as you can fit into the file name, for quick selection of products without having to open up the labels. This can result in long and cumbersome file names that are hard to read, so aim for a balanced approach. You can use dashes and underscores to separate parts of a file name for readability.

As mentioned in Section 3, if you are archiving data as part of a mission, it is often advantageous to negotiate these naming conventions well in advance, in the context of the entire mission, and with regard to naming conventions adopted by past missions with similar instruments.

Rules for forming file and directory names are given in *Standards Reference* [2], Section 6C. Here are a few things to keep in mind.

4.3.2.1 *File Naming Rules*

- The file name must be unique within a directory. The same file name may appear in different directories.
- The file name must be no longer than 255 characters (and must be commensurate with other length limits, such as for path names).
- The file name must be case-insensitive; for example, “MyFile.txt” and “myfile.txt” are not permitted in the same directory.
- The file name must be constructed from the character set

| | |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| A-Z | ASCII 0x41 through 0x5A, |
| a-z | ASCII 0x61 through 0x7A, |
| 0-9 | ASCII 0x30 through 0x39, |
| dash “-” | ASCII 0x2D, |
| underscore “_” | ASCII 0x5F, and |
| period “.” | ASCII 0x2E. |
- The file name must not begin or end with a dash, underscore, or period.
- The file name must include at least one period followed by an extension. A file name may have more than one period, but PDS will consider all periods other than the final one to be part of the base name.

4.3.2.2 *Directory Naming Rules*

- The directory name must be unique within its parent directory.
- The directory name must be no longer than 255 characters (and be commensurate with other length limits, such as for path names).
- The directory name must be case-insensitive; for example, “MyDirectory” and “mydirectory” are not permitted in the same parent directory.
- Directory and file names must be constructed from the character set

| | |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| A-Z | ASCII 0x41 through 0x5A, |
| a-z | ASCII 0x61 through 0x7A, |
| 0-9 | ASCII 0x30 through 0x39, |
| dash “-” | ASCII 0x2D, and |
| underscore “_” | ASCII 0x5F. |
- The directory name must not begin or end with a dash or underscore.

Using the same base name for label and data file names is considered good practice; but this is not a PDS4 requirement and is not always possible, such as when a product consists of two or more data files.

4.3.2.3 *Directory Path Naming Rules*

Directory path names are the concatenation of two or more directory names. The directory names are separated with a forward slash “/”. Directory paths in PDS4 labels are always given relative to the directory containing the label and may only point to subdirectories of that directory (i.e. the use of “./” and “../” is not permitted). In addition, a directory path in a PDS4 label must not start with forward slash, but must end with a forward slash (e.g. a correctly formed directory path would be “mydirectory/mysubdirectory/”).

4.3.3 [Determining the Documentation Needed](#)

You and your consulting PDS node should sketch out the contents of the document collection early in the design process. PDS requires that products, collections, and bundles be documented so that scientists in future years can understand (1) how the data were collected and processed, (2) what the data mean, and (3) the limitations of the data. Refer to the *Standards Reference* [2], Section 8, and confer with your PDS representative to determine all of the required and appropriate documentation for the document collection.

Documentation takes three forms in PDS: (a) XML labels, (b) documents included within the archive, and (c) references to material that is publicly available elsewhere.

Documentation considered essential to understanding or using the archive, except for published journal articles, must be submitted as part of the archive. Journal articles may be included if permitted by the copyright holder; otherwise, they should be cited in the archive documentation.

As an example, documentation might include the following:

- An errata file that describes any changes, known errors, or anomalies in the archive.
- A copy of a published journal article that describes the mission.
- A copy of a published journal article that describes the instrument.
- A User's Guide that explains how to read and interpret the data.

For mission archives, it is common practice to include the Software Interface Specification (SIS) document that the mission may require the instrument team to generate. This document goes into the details of the structure, content, and processing of the data products. Missions may also require teams to generate calibration plans, data, and/or reports, which are also suitable for inclusion in the archive.

PDS-compliant formats for documents are UTF-8 and PDF/A. UTF-8 is plain text, including traditional 7-bit ASCII text files. In a PDS archive UTF-8 documents may not include any embedded markup language such as HTML. PDF/A is an ISO-standardized format of PDF (Portable Document Format) suitable for long-term archiving. PDF/A-1a, the preferred level of PDF/A, means a document is in complete compliance with the ISO requirements. PDF/A-1b, also acceptable in PDS, means a document is in minimal compliance. Many software applications that generate PDF files are capable of generating PDF/A files. Your PDS representative can convert standard PDF documents to PDF/A if needed.

Once you have a document in UTF-8 or PDF/A format, you may provide the same document in additional formats if you think they will be convenient. For example, if you have a PDF/A document, you may wish to provide a Microsoft Word version of it also. See the *Policy on Formats for PDS4 Data and Documentation* at

https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/policy/format_policies_final.pdf, and the *List of Supplemental Formats* at https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/policy/Supplemental_Formats.pdf.

5 Assigning Unique Identifiers

When you have determined the contents and organization of your archive, and you have settled on a directory and file naming scheme, the next step is to make a plan for uniquely identifying the products in the archive. As we mentioned in Section 2.5, Unique Identifiers, all products – data, documentation, and other components – carry a unique Logical Identifier (LID) that follows a set of formation rules. It’s important to determine these LIDs before you go further in creating labels for your products because the LIDs are needed for the labels. Also, you and your PDS representative will need to work with a data engineer at the PDS Engineering Node to ensure that your LIDs are unique across PDS and, for mission data, to ensure that they conform to the mission-wide LID design.

You may also have a Digital Object Identifier (DOI) assigned to your product. DOIs are covered in Section 5.5.

5.1 General Concepts

Every product has an identifier that is unique across all products registered and archived with the PDS. This identifier is referred to as a LIDVID and is the concatenation of a logical identifier (LID) and a version identifier (VID). We’ll address the construction of each in the following sections.

Here are some general rules:

- LIDs must be unique across PDS.
- One LID covers all versions of a single product; the VID distinguishes among versions⁷. Rules for incrementing VIDs are found in the *Standards Reference* [2] Section 6D.3.
- Each LID in a PDS archive begins with the agency identifier `urn:nasa:pds:`⁸. This ensures that any LID that is unique within PDS is also unique within NASA and within the global federation of agencies that subscribe to this identification system — that is, the prefix ensures that products have globally unique identifiers.
- LIDs are restricted to lower-case letters, digits, the dash, the period, and the underscore. Colons are also used but only in a prescribed way to delimit fields (see below).
- Each PDS4 LID is constructed as four, five, or six fields, for bundles, collections, and products respectively, where each pair of fields is separated by a colon. Each field must begin with a letter or digit.
- LID maximum length is 255 characters.

⁷ Discuss with your consulting node the conditions under which a new version should actually be a different product. Versions generally succeed each other and result from improvements — incrementally better calibration, for example. If the processing algorithm itself changes significantly, you may want to consider defining a new set of products rather than incrementing the version.

⁸ You may find other prefixes in archives maintained by other agencies (for example, `urn:esa:psa:` for the European Space Agency PSA archive), but do not use these when preparing data for delivery to PDS.

5.2 Constructing Logical Identifiers (LIDs)

The complete set of requirements for LID construction is given in Section 6D.2 of the *Standards Reference* [2]. We give a summary here. LIDs for context products have special requirements, which are discussed in Appendix J [2]. LIDs for products not suitable for the PDS Archive (i.e., those products defined using Product_External) are discussed in Section 9 [2].

Recall that each basic product is delivered to PDS as a member of a collection and that each collection is a member of a bundle. LIDs are constructed based on a hierarchical set of these relationships.

LIDs are constructed by concatenating fields of characters. The fields are separated by colons. This is the only use of colons permitted in LIDs.

- Bundle LIDs are constructed by appending a unique bundle-specific identifier to the agency identifier, such as `urn:nasa:pds` or `urn:esa:psa`.

Bundle LID = `urn:nasa:pds:<bundle_id>`

Bundle LID = `urn:esa:psa:<bundle_id>`

Since all PDS bundle LIDs are constructed this way, the bundle LID will be globally unique.

- Collection LIDs are constructed by appending a unique collection identifier to the parent bundle's LID, for example

Collection LID = `urn:nasa:pds:<bundle_id>:<collection_id>`

Since all PDS collection LIDs are constructed in this way and the collection identifier is unique within the bundle LID, the collection LID will be globally unique.

- Basic Product LIDs are constructed by appending a unique product identifier to the parent collection's LID.

Product LID = `urn:nasa:pds:<bundle_id>:<collection_id>:<product_id>`

Since the product LID is based on the collection LID, which is unique across PDS, the product LID will be globally unique.

5.2.1 Examples

The following examples are based on a hypothetical mission.

| | <i>Name</i> | <i>Abbreviation</i> |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>spacecraft</i> | Super SpaceCraft 01 | ssc01 |
| <i>instrument</i> | High Resolution Photon Counter | Hirespc |
| <i>mission phase</i> | Cruise, Mercury, Earth phase | Cruise |

The Hirespc instrument team decides to use the spacecraft clock count (sclock) at the start of each observation as the product field of the LID for observational data products. They also decide to create a separate bundle for each phase of the mission. This is all the information we need to start designing LIDs.

Cruise Phase Bundle

Bundle LID

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise
```

Note that in the above example bundle field, ssc01.hirespc.cruise, we used periods as separators. Alternatively we could have used dashes, underscores, or some combination of the three. Discuss the use of period, dash, and underscore in LIDs with your consulting node; there may be a preference.

Collection LIDs

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:browse
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:context
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:data
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:document
```

If there is a large number of products, it might be desirable to subdivide the data products into two or more collections by processing level (data_raw, data_derived, etc.), by year (data_2006, data_2007, etc.), or by a different discriminator. Many discriminators are permitted; you should use whichever is best suited to your data. Discuss with your consulting node.

Product LIDs [examples of data products identified by a spacecraft clock count of 31234567 in various collections]

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:browse:browse_31234567
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:data:data_raw_31234567
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:data:data_derived_31234567
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:document:errata
```

5.3 Constructing Version Identifiers (VIDs)

Detailed requirements and formation rules for versioning LIDs are provided in the *Standards Reference* [2], Section 6D.3; we provide a brief summary here.

Version IDs are used for all types of products, including basic products, collections, and bundles.

- VIDs are appended to LIDs by a double colon (“:”).
- VIDs must be of the form M.n, where M denotes a major version and n denotes a minor version.
- The major number (M) is initialized to 1 for archive products. Whenever the major number (M) is incremented, the minor number (n) is reset to 0.

- Neither M nor n should be prepended with zeros; each is simply incremented as an integer. Thus 1.1 and 1.10 are different versions, and 1.01 is invalid.

5.4 LIDVID Construction

A *version identifier* (VID) may be appended to a logical identifier (LID) to identify one of several versions of the same bundle, collection, or product. Use double colons to append the VID to the LID. The combination is called a *versioned identifier* (LIDVID). LIDVIDs are used to locate products within PDS; every version of every product within PDS has a unique LIDVID.

The following example LIDVIDs are based on the example LIDs in Section 5.2.1. In all cases the VID is 1.0.

5.4.1 Examples

Cruise Phase Bundle

Bundle LIDVID

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise::1.0
```

Collection LIDVIDs

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:browse::1.0
```

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:context::1.0
```

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:data::1.0
```

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:document::1.0
```

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:xml_schema::1.0
```

Product LIDVIDs [data products for sclock = 31234567]

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:browse:browse_31234567::1.0
```

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:data:data_raw_31234567::1.0
```

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:data:data_derived_31234567::1.0
```

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:document:errata::1.0
```

```
urn:nasa:pds:ssc01.hirespc.cruise:xml_schema:table_character_0411f::1.0
```

When you have constructed draft LIDs and LIDVIDs contact your PDS node representative to verify that they are unique and conform to the rules.

5.5 Digital Object Identifiers

A Digital Object Identifier (DOI) is an externally assigned identifier for a resource which is commonly used in publications to reference or cite the resource. A DOI is generated by a DOI Registration Agency (RA). Typically a DOI is assigned to a Collection product, but may also be assigned to a Bundle product and to some basic products – for example, documents. An assigned DOI will be included in the product label. DOIs are assigned as part of the archive design and development process. Your PDS contact will help you in obtaining a DOI.

You might wonder why a product may have two different unique identifiers assigned, a DOI and a LID. The reason is that they serve two different purposes. A DOI is used to cite data in publications, and there is a system in place which can track the use and impact of any publication (data or papers). A LID is used by PDS to manage the archive and is used at a much finer granularity than is a DOI. The two types of identifiers have complementary purposes.

5.6 Researcher and Contributor Identifiers (RORID and ORCID)

RORID and ORCID are two distinct identifiers used in academic and research contexts. ORCID is used to identify individual researchers, while RORID is used to identify research organizations. Both identifiers play important roles in enhancing the attribution of research in both academic and research contexts.

Many funding agencies, publishers, and research institutions now require or encourage the use of RORID and ORCID as part of their compliance and reporting policies. By adopting these identifiers, researchers and organizations can fulfill these requirements and demonstrate their commitment to transparent and accountable research practices.

Accurate Attribution:

By providing unique identifiers for researchers and research organizations, RORID and ORCID help ensure accurate attribution of research outputs. This reduces ambiguity and helps distinguish between individuals or organizations with similar names, ensuring that credit is properly assigned for contributions to the scholarly community.

Integration and Interoperability:

RORID and ORCID facilitate integration and interoperability between different research information systems and databases. By incorporating these identifiers into various platforms and workflows, researchers, publishers, funding agencies, and institutions can streamline processes related to manuscript submission, grant applications, reporting, and evaluation.

Persistent Identification:

Both RORID and ORCID provide persistent digital identifiers that remain consistent throughout a researcher's career or an organization's existence.

This ensures that research outputs and affiliations can be accurately tracked and linked over time, even in the face of changes such as name variations, institutional affiliations, or organizational restructuring.

Enhanced Discoverability:

By associating research outputs with RORID and ORCID identifiers, scholarly content becomes more discoverable and accessible. This facilitates easier retrieval and citation of relevant works, ultimately contributing to the visibility and impact of research findings.

5.6.1 ORCID (Open Researcher and Contributor ID)

ORCID is a persistent digital identifier that distinguishes individual researchers and contributors in the academic and scientific community. It serves as a unique identifier that can be linked to a

scientist's or researcher's work throughout their career. ORCID helps ensure that research outputs (such as publications, datasets, and grants) are accurately attributed to the correct individual, regardless of name changes, institutional affiliations, or variations in name format. Researchers can create and manage their ORCID profiles, which include information about their education, affiliations, publications, and other contributions to the research community.

The ORCID is a 16-digit number randomly assigned by the ORCID registry. An example ORCID identifier would have the following structure: 0000-0001-5109-3700. In PDS it is expressed as a fully formed URI like this: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5109-3700>.

<https://orcid.org/>

5.6.2 RORID (Research Organization Registry ID)

RORID is a unique identifier assigned to research organizations, such as universities, research institutes, libraries, and funding agencies. It helps identify and disambiguate research organizations across various systems and databases. RORIDs facilitate interoperability and integration between different research infrastructure platforms, enabling accurate attribution of research outputs to their affiliated organizations. Just as ORCID provides unique identifiers for individual researchers, RORID serves a similar purpose for research organizations.

The RORID is a number randomly assigned by the RORID registry. In PDS it is expressed as a fully formed URI like this: <https://ror.org/027k65916>.

<https://ror.org/>

6 Designing Labels

6.1 Overview of Labels and Schema

Labels are fundamental to PDS products; they describe both the content and format of products. They also allow links to be established among products, so that observational data can reference descriptions of the instrumentation that collected the bits, the spacecraft that hosted the instruments, and the organizations that supported the activity. They also help to bind related products into collections and related collections into bundles. Using labels written in XML, and constrained by an XML schema and a set of Schematron rules, helps ensure that PDS4 products are thoroughly and consistently documented, and that their metadata are available to the wide range of third-party software that reads and writes XML.

The *PDS4 Information Model* [1], *PDS4 Data Dictionary* [3], and their XML representation in the *PDS4 Common Schema and Schematron* [4] serve as a library of generic definitions for each PDS4 product type. PDS also maintains data dictionaries for specialized disciplines such as geometry and cartography and for specific planetary missions; these are called Local Data Dictionaries or LDDs. They are also expressed as XML schemas for use in PDS labels. The PDS4 Schema and all current Local Data Dictionary schemas are available at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/schema/released/>.

When a schema is used in a PDS4 label it is associated with a namespace. A namespace is a context for the terms defined in the schema. The common PDS4 schema has the namespace **pds**, and it is the default namespace in a PDS4 label. Other namespaces correspond to Local Data Dictionaries such as **cart** for the cartography dictionary, **disp** for the display dictionary, and **mven** for the MAVEN mission dictionary. If classes or attributes from other namespaces are used in the label, the terms are prefixed with that namespace, as in **cart:map_projection_name** and **disp:vertical_display_direction**. Only namespaces that are registered in the Namespace Registry may be used in PDS4 labels (<https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/schema/pds-namespace-registry.pdf>).

When you prepare data for delivery to PDS, you will certainly be involved in creating labels; you may also be involved in creating discipline- or mission-specific LDDs. This section will focus on the components of labels, design choices you will need to make, and tools you can use. Basic information about XML and XML schemas can be found in Appendix C, specific instructions for editing PDS XML labels are in Appendix D, and a discussion about creating Local Data Dictionaries is available on the Small Bodies Node PDS4 Wiki (<https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu>).

6.2 Basic Product Labels

We can think of the material in the PDS archive as either observational or supplementary data (see Appendix A in *Concepts* [5] for formal definitions). We discuss labels for observational products first; then we discuss aspects of non-observational (supplementary) product labels, which differ slightly. Labels for aggregate products – that is, collections and bundles – are discussed in Appendix K.

You and your node representative should discuss the nature of the files that will be included in your archive, roughly categorizing the products according to whether they are observational or

supplementary. The node representative can then identify the appropriate product types, such as Product_Observational and Product_Document, and their associated controlling files — common, discipline, and mission schema and Schematron files. This set of schemas and Schematron files will be used to generate label templates and will provide the syntactic and semantic validation criteria for ensuring the integrity of the products in your archive. If you don't have them already, you can get them online at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/schema/released/> or the node representative can provide the files to you.

6.2.1 Product Type Selection

Each PDS4 label must identify the type, or class, of product the label is describing. The product type determines the set of required and optional classes and attributes to be specified in the product label. Product types, in alphabetical order, are as follows (*PDS4 Information Model* [1]):

- **Product_Ancillary** – a basic product containing data that are supplementary to observational data.
- **Product_Browse** - a basic product containing a low resolution or “quick-look” version of an observational product.
- **Product_Bundle** - an aggregate product used to identify the member collections of an archive bundle.
- **Product_Collection** - an aggregate product used to identify the member basic products of an archive collection.
- **Product_Context** - a basic product identifying the physical (instrument, spacecraft, target, people) and conceptual (investigation, node) objects related to an observational product.
- **Product_Document** - a basic product identifying a single logical document, such as an interface specification, instrument description, or user's manual; the document product may be archived in multiple formats under the single logical Product_Document definition.
- **Product_File_Text** - a basic product consisting of a single digital file with ASCII character encoding.
- **Product_Native** - a basic product containing data in the original format returned by the observing system, used for data that cannot be described using one of the PDS4 formats for observational data. See *Standards Reference* [2] Section 9E for restrictions on the use of this product type.
- **Product_Observational** - a basic product comprising one or more images, tables, and/or other fundamental data structures that are the result of a science or engineering observation.
- **Product_SPICE_Kernel** - a basic product consisting of a SPICE kernel.

- **Product_Thumbnail** - a basic product consisting of a highly reduced version of an image, even smaller than a Product Browse image, typically used in displaying the results from search interfaces.
- **Product_XML_Schema** - a product consisting of XML formatted schemas, Schematron files, or any other reference schemas used in the interpretation of an observational product.
- **Product_Zipped** – a product containing other products and associated files, packaged using a PDS4-approved aggregation algorithm such as Zip.

The selection of the appropriate product class, when the choice is not obvious, is outlined in Appendix B, How to Select the Right Class for a Product.

6.2.2 Basic Product Labels for Observational Products

The science data products in your archive will be described using Product_Observational labels, so we'll go into more detail about them in this section.

6.2.2.1 Selecting the Structural Description for Observational Products

Based on the nature of the observational data, you and your node representative will determine which subclasses to use for describing the observational objects (e.g., **Array**, **Table**, **Header**, etc.) in your archive. The **Product_Observational** class allows the following subclasses (*PDS4 Information Model* [1]).

For binary array data:

- **Array_1D**
- **Array_2D**
- **Array_2D_Image**
- **Array_2D_Map**
- **Array_2D_Spectrum**
- **Array_3D**
- **Array_3D_Image**
- **Array_3D_Spectrum**

For binary tabular data:

- **Table_Binary**

For character tabular data:

- **Table_Character** (fixed width fields)
- **Table_Delimited** (variable width fields)

The following are allowed, but are less frequently used, and special constraints may apply:

- **Header**
- **Encoded_Audio**
- **Encoded_Header**
- **Encoded_Binary** (Supplemental)
- **Encoded_Byte_Stream** (Supplemental)
- **Encoded_Image** (Supplemental)
- **Encoded_Video**
- **Parsable_Byte_Stream** (Supplemental)
- **Stream_Text**

When a class with one or more levels of subclasses could be used to describe an object, the most specific subclass that is appropriate and allowed in the class must be used. For example, when a two-dimensional array contains image pixels, and **Array**, **Array_2D**, and **Array_2D_Image** are possible choices, **Array_2D_Image** must be used rather than **Array** or **Array_2D**.

6.2.2.2 Basic Product Label Organization – Observational Products

In a **Product_Observational** label there are several blocks of information called *areas*. Each area contains one or more classes, each of which may have several attributes. The areas, plus some XML overhead at the beginning, are shown in Figure 6-1 explained in the text that follows. The figure omits the contents of each area for brevity; see Appendix E for the details.

- XML Prolog
 - The statement beginning with `<?xml` is an XML declaration. It means that the label is an XML versioned document.
 - The statement beginning with `<?xml-model` is a processing instruction. It identifies the Schematron file against which the label is validated. A label may have more than one of these statements.
- Root Tag
 - Provides a declaration of the root element of the label; the product type — in this case, **Product_Observational**.
 - Lines beginning with `xmlns:` identify the namespaces used in the label (i.e., the **pds** namespace plus any additional discipline or mission namespaces from the Namespace Registry)
 - Lines beginning with `xsi:schemaLocation` match namespaces to the locations where the associated schemas are found.
- Identification Area
 - Provides identification information specific to the product (the LID and VID, the information model version, the product class, etc.)

- Provides citation information specific to the product
- Provides modification history specific to the product
- Observation Area
 - Provides information about the investigation, instrument, target, times, etc.
 - Includes subsections for relevant classes specific to one or more discipline dictionaries, and to the mission (or an equivalent namespace).
- Reference List Area
 - Provides identification information for products, such as source products or journal articles, that are relevant to understanding the product. References may be made to sources both internal and external to PDS.
- File Area
 - Identifies the file(s) containing the digital object(s), and
 - Includes classes that describe each digital object in the given file (e.g., the description and parameters of each header, table, and image).
- End Tag
 - The end tag corresponds to and closes the Root Tag.

| |
|--|
| XML Prolog |
| <pre><?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?> <?xml-model href="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.sch" schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?></pre> |
| Root Tag |
| <pre><Product_Observational xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1" xmlns:pds="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1" xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance" xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1 http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd"></pre> |
| Areas |
| <pre><Identification_Area> 27 lines of detail </Identification_Area> <Observation_Area> 76 lines of detail </Observation_Area> <Reference_List> 10 lines of detail </Reference_List> <File_Area_Observational> 41 lines of detail</pre> |

| |
|----------------------------|
| </File_Area_Observational> |
| End Tag |
| </Product_Observational> |

Figure 6-1. Example Label Structure for Product_Observational

Any basic product may include multiple objects and multiple object types. For example, you may have a product comprising an **Array_2D_Image**, a **Table_Character** histogram of pixel values, and a **Header**. The three objects, which may or may not all reside in the same file, coupled with the single XML label that describes these objects, form the single digital product. If they are all in the same file, then the label's File area will have a **File_Area_Observational** class that permits inclusion of multiple digital objects (e.g., **Header**, **Table_Character**, **Array_2D_Image**). If the objects are in two or more files, then the label will have a separate **File_Area_Observational** class for each file. Ask your consulting node to recommend the best method for your products.

If your observational products have associated browse objects, you may treat them as separate browse products and associate them with the corresponding observational products using the **Internal_Reference** class within the Reference List area.

If your observational products have associated source products, you may associate them with the corresponding observational products using the **Source_Product_Internal** and **Source_Product_External** classes within the **Reference List** class. If you need to reference many associated source products, you may list the source products in a separate table and use the

Table_Delimited_Source_Product_Internal and **Table_Delimited_Source_Product_External** classes within the **File_Area_Observational_Supplemental** class to describe the contents of the separate table. See Section E.2.3.1 for details.

With two exceptions, all **Product_Observational** labels must have the structure shown in Figure 6-1 (*PDS4 Information Model* [1]). The exceptions are:

- The **Reference_List** class is optional; and
- One or more **File_Area_Observational_Supplemental** classes, which allow supplementary data objects to be carried along with observational data products, may be added. An example of a label that uses the **File_Area_Observational_Supplemental** class is **thermal_neutron_map.xml** in the *DPH Examples* (<https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>).

This structural consistency simplifies extraction of information from such labels, facilitating search and retrieval of products by future users. Note, however, that there is considerable flexibility for content within the areas shown in Figure 6-1.

For additional information regarding the definitions and *cardinality* of the attributes and classes used within the definition of a basic product label, consult the *PDS4 Data Dictionary* [3].

6.2.3 Basic Product Labels for Non-Observational Products

Labels for non-observational products are structurally similar to those for observational products (Section 6.2.2); but there are more product types, and those product types have more variations. This section highlights the differences.

6.2.3.1 *Selecting the Appropriate Types for Non-Observational Products*

Common product types for non-observational products include:

- **Product_Ancillary**
- **Product_Browse**
- **Product_Context**
- **Product_Document**
- **Product_File_Text**
- **Product_Native**
- **Product_SPICE_Kernel**
- **Product_Thumbnail**
- **Product_XML_Schema**

These product types are defined in the *PDS4 Information Model* [1].

6.2.3.2 *Basic Product Label Organization – Non-Observational Products*

The label for a non-observational (that is, a supplementary) product is similar to the label for **Product_Observational**, but the many options for the different product types make a general description impossible. Supplementary product labels begin with the XML Prolog and Root Tag, and they end with the End Tag (see Figure 6-1). They include the **Identification_Area** and (optionally) the **Reference_List**; but, after that, the customization for each supplementary product type defies generalization. Start by selecting one of the non-observational product types listed above and look up that product class in the *PDS4 Information Model* [1] to see its components. You will need to work closely with your consulting node when designing these labels. Your node representative can provide examples of the product types to help you choose.

6.2.4 Composite Structures

When an observational product contains multiple objects in the same file, sometimes it is important to describe the relationships among the objects. The **Composite_Structure** class provides a method for making associations among the classes in the label that describe the multiple objects. **Composite_Structure** can also make associations between a class that describes an object and another kind of class in the label that relates to the object, such as an **Array_2D_Image** class and a **Display_Settings** class.

The objects that are described as components of a **Composite_Structure** must all reside in the same file. There may be other objects in the file that are not part of the **Composite_Structure**.

Use of **Composite_Structure** is optional. It should be used only when the additional relationship information is important. For instance, a **Composite_Structure** is likely to be suitable for a data product consisting of an **Array_3D_Spectrum** and several related backplanes. On the other hand, a **Composite_Structure** would not normally be used for a data product consisting of a single **Array_2D_Image** with a header.

Each component of a **Composite_Structure** must be assigned a `<local_identifier>` because all of the associations are made using `<local_identifier>` values.

Here is an outline of the class.

```
<Composite_Structure>
  title                [0,1]
  local_identifier     [0,1]
  type_description    [0,1]
  description         [0,1]
  Local_ID_Reference  [2,*]
  Local_ID_Relation  [1,*]
```

The numbers in brackets give the minimum and maximum number of instances for each element. [0,1] indicates the element is optional, but if used may only occur once. [1,*] indicates it is required and may be used as many times as necessary. [2,*] means at least two instances of the element are required.

Local_ID_Reference appears twice. In the first instance it identifies the primary component of the complex structure using the `<id_reference_type>` value “has_primary_component”. In the second instance it provides an inventory of all of the components of the composite structure, explicitly including the primary component, using the `<id_reference_type>` “has_component”.

```
<Local_ID_Reference>
  comment              [0,1]
  id_reference_to     [1,*]
  id_reference_type   [1,1]
```

Local_ID_Relation defines a one-directional relationship between two or more classes in the label. Every component mentioned in **Local_ID_Reference** must appear in a **Local_ID_Relation** class. There may be more than one **Local_ID_Relation**.

```
<Local_ID_Relation>
  comment              [0,1]
  id_reference_from   [1,*]
  id_reference_to     [1,*]
  id_reference_type   [1,1]
```

Local_ID_Relation must use one of the following values for `<id_reference_type>`.

```
has_axis_values
has_backplane
has_display_settings
has_spectral_characteristics
```

Here is an example. Suppose our composite structure consists of:

- a 2D array with the <local identifier> “reflectance_array”, which is the primary component of the composite structure,
- three additional 2D arrays which are considered backplanes of the primary component, having <local identifier> values of “longitude”, “phase_angle”, and “emission_angle”.

We want to ensure that <id_reference_from> and <id_reference_to> are used consistently. Perhaps the easiest way to get a handle on their use is to consider a truncated entry from the resulting composite structure outlined above. Here we show the relationship between the primary component and one of its backplanes:

```
<Local_ID_Relation>
  <id_reference_from>reflectance_array</id_reference_from>
  <id_reference_to>emission_angle</id_reference_to>
  <id_reference_type>has_backplane</id_reference_type>
</Local_ID_Relation>
```

To state the relationship as a sentence, use this chart.

| Subject | Predicate | Object |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| <id_reference_from> | <id_reference_type> | <id_reference_to> |
| reflectance_array | has_backplane | emission_angle |

This gives us “The reflectance array has a backplane named emission_angle.”

Here is how the entire composite structure class might look.

```
<Composite_Structure>
  <title>Cassini VIMS Ring Reflectance 0001</title>
  <local_identifier>Cassini_VIMS_Ring_Reflectance_0001</local_identifier>
  <type_description>2D array with backplanes</type_description>
  <description>
    VIMS ring reflectance array with backplanes longitude, phase angle,
    and emission angle
  </description>

  <Local_ID_Reference>
    <comment>
      This inventory lists the primary component of the
      composite structure.
    </comment>
    <id_reference_to>reflectance_array</id_reference_to>
    <id_reference_type>has_primary_component</id_reference_type>
  </Local_ID_Reference>

  <Local_ID_Reference>
    <comment>
      This inventory lists all of the components of the
      composite structure.
```

```

    </comment>
    <id_reference_to>reflectance_array</id_reference_to>
    <id_reference_to>longitude</id_reference_to>
    <id_reference_to>phase_angle</id_reference_to>
    <id_reference_to>emission_angle</id_reference_to>
    <id_reference_type>has_component</id_reference_type>
  </Local_ID_Reference>

  <Local_ID_Relation>
    <comment>This inventory identifies the relationship
      of the primary component to the other components.</comment>
    <id_reference_from>reflectance_array</id_reference_from>
    <id_reference_to>longitude</id_reference_to>
    <id_reference_to>phase_angle</id_reference_to>
    <id_reference_to>emission_angle</id_reference_to>
    <id_reference_type>has_backplane</id_reference_type>
  </Local_ID_Relation>
</Composite_Structure>

```

6.2.5 Aggregate Product Labels

There are two types of aggregate products:

- **Product_Collection**
- **Product_Bundle**

As mentioned in Section 4.1, a collection is a group of related products. A **Product_Collection** product is simply a list, or inventory, of the products in the collection. The products are listed by their LIDs or LIDVIDs. As it is possible for a product to belong to more than one collection, the inventory also tells whether this is the primary collection for a product or whether it is a product’s secondary collection. A **Product_Collection** product is accompanied by an XML label.

Similarly, a bundle is a group of related collections. A **Product_Bundle** product is an inventory of the collections in the bundle. Unlike a **Product_Collection** product, a **Product_Bundle** product consists of the label only, not a label and a separate file. The inventory of collections is included in the label.

Instructions for creating **Product_Collection** and **Product_Bundle** labels are in Appendix K.

6.2.6 Special Characters in Product Labels

In PDS4 labels some characters have a special meaning to software that interprets XML. For instance, “<”, “>”, and sometimes “&” are used to delimit tags, as in

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
```

as you can see in Figure 6-1. The XML standard provides a structure known as CDATA (for Character Data) that allows special characters to be entered as regular text. Here is an example.

```
<description>
  <![CDATA[
    Within this Character Data block I can use otherwise reserved characters
    like <, >, &.
  ]]>
</description>
```

PDS permits the reasonable use of XML's CDATA structure. For example, CDATA may be used when the text includes a formula that uses reserved characters such as "<" and ">", or when it includes an XML fragment. If CDATA is used in a PDS4 label, it is likely to be scrutinized during peer review. For more information about reserved characters and CDATA, refer to XML standard documentation such as <https://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml/>.

6.3 Data Dictionary Overview

6.3.1 What Is a Data Dictionary For?

A data dictionary has several purposes. First, the dictionary is a reference for users of the PDS, defining attributes and classes that describe planetary data. Second, the dictionary is a reference for data producers in designing data labels. Third, the dictionary ensures that attributes and classes in the data descriptions are used in a standard, consistent, and predictable manner.

Conceptually, a data dictionary defines the attributes and classes that may be used in PDS4 product labels. It is intended to be readable both by humans and by software. Practically speaking, it must contain definitions as well as the syntax and semantic constraints placed on values of attributes. For classes, it provides the explicit list of attributes defining the class and indicates which are required, optional, and repeatable.

Every attribute and class that is used in any PDS label must first be defined in a data dictionary.

Data dictionaries are classified as:

- Common
- Discipline-specific
- Mission-specific

The common dictionary is the fundamental PDS4 Data Dictionary, which is represented using the **pds** namespace in labels, schemas and Schematron files. Discipline-specific and mission-specific dictionaries are known as Local Data Dictionaries (LDDs). All PDS archives are based on the common dictionary; they may also include classes and attributes defined in one or more PDS discipline and/or mission dictionaries. Only namespaces that are registered in the Namespace Registry may be used in PDS4 labels (<https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/schema/pds-namespace-registry.pdf>).

The list is hierarchical. Discipline and mission dictionaries reference the common dictionary and any other relevant discipline dictionaries. However, a mission-specific dictionary is not referenced by any other dictionary.

Discipline-specific data dictionaries are produced by PDS. These include dictionaries intended to support archives relevant to specific discipline nodes (e.g., an Atmospheres Node dictionary

managed by the Atmospheres Node) and dictionaries that support cross-discipline concepts such as geometry and cartography.

Mission-specific data dictionaries are produced by PDS in consultation with mission personnel who are responsible for archive development. They contain attributes and classes specific to a particular mission or investigation. For example, the MAVEN mission data dictionary includes the attributes **mission_phase_name**, **mission_event_time_start_count**, and **mission_event_time_stop_count**, among others. A mission may have a single dictionary or multiple dictionaries; for example, it may have one dictionary for instrumentation descriptors and another for data descriptors.

Creating a Local Data Dictionary is a big job. If you and your consulting PDS node determine that your archive should use an LDD, the node will participate actively in its design to ensure conformance with PDS standards. After all, PDS will have to maintain your LDD indefinitely.

6.3.2 Why You Need to Understand Data Dictionaries

During the process of designing labels for your observational and non-observational products, you may discover that you want to include certain items of information in the labels but you can't find any relevant attributes or classes for them in the PDS4 common data dictionary. The first thing to do is ask your PDS node representative, in case you have overlooked the classes or attributes you need. The next step is to look for the relevant definitions in one of the existing Local Data Dictionaries. For example, if you are labeling an image and you want to include map projection information, the Cartography LDD is the logical place to look. Or, if you want to include mission-specific information in the label, look for a Local Data Dictionary for the mission. You'll find the currently available Local Data Dictionaries online at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/schema/released/>. Each LDD is given in three parts: an XML schema file (extension .xsd), a Schematron file containing validation rules (extension .sch), and a PDS4 label that describes them both (extension “.xml” or “.lblx”).

It's possible that the new attributes or classes you want rightly belong in one of the existing LDDs. In this case you and your PDS node representative should contact the PDS node that maintains the LDD to see whether the desired attributes and/or classes can be added.

It's also possible that the attributes or classes you want do not belong in either the common PDS4 Data Dictionary or any of the current LDDs. In this case you'll need to create a new LDD to define these items, which is a non-trivial task, as we have mentioned. You'll need help from your PDS node representative.

The Small Bodies Node PDS4 Wiki (<https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu>) has detailed instructions for creating and using LDDs.

6.4 Label Design Tools

PDS provides and recommends tools to help you design labels, validate labels, produce many labels at one time, and create a Local Data Dictionary. You may use these tools or any others that you prefer. This section discusses label design tools. Validation tools are discussed in Section 11.5, bulk label generation tools in Section 7, and the Local Data Dictionary tool (LDDTool) is discussed on the Small Bodies Node PDS4 Wiki (<https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu>). PDS tools are

available on the PDS4 Tools web page at <https://pds.nasa.gov/tools/about/>. User-contributed tools are available in the PDS Tool Registry at <https://pds.nasa.gov/tools/tool-registry/>.

6.4.1 XML-Aware Editors

When you are ready to begin designing XML labels for your data products, you do not need to start with a blank slate. One of the advantages of having the PDS4 Information Model expressed as an XML schema is that an XML-aware editor can read the schema and automatically generate a draft label for you. You can then add and subtract parts of the label as needed and fill in some of the blanks. The editor will alert you if you make a change that is not supported by the model.

You can create a complete, valid PDS4 label in this way. You can also create a label *template* – that is, a label with some placeholders that can be filled in later by software. For instance, you could draft a simple label with placeholders for the product LID, VID, and file name. You would then use software (see Section 7) to create a label for each data product by starting with the template and filling in the missing information for each product.

Two XML-aware editors are commonly used within PDS, the oXygen editor (<http://www.oxygenxml.com>) and the Eclipse editor (<http://www.eclipse.org>). Both of these make it easier to view XML files, which can appear daunting on your first encounter. They offer color highlighting and different graphic views of an XML file, as well as instant validation of the label based on its associated schema. Eclipse is an open source product available for free. It is the framework that underlies several commercial products, including oXygen. As you might expect, oXygen has more functionality and is generally easier for new users to learn than the free Eclipse editor.

It's also possible to use a standard text editor to read and write XML labels. Some provide XML highlighting and other options. Most popular web browsers can display XML files with some kind of helpful formatting. If yours doesn't immediately recognize and format the XML, try using the View Source option in the browser.

Your initial draft label will be based on the type of product to be described. For example, if you are labeling a two-dimensional image, you'll start with a generic **Product_Observational** label with the subclass **Array_2D_Image**. Your PDS node representative can create the initial draft label for you, or you can do it yourself following the instructions in Appendix D. Once you have the initial draft, you may modify it to suit your needs. Details about editing a label are in Appendix E.

6.4.2 Copying a Similar Label

Another way to draft a label is to start with a completed PDS4 label for a similar product. Your PDS representative can help you find one. It's best to use a recent label that relies on the current PDS Information Model, and one that uses the product class that you need. You will still find an XML-aware editor to be very useful for modifying the existing label to fit your needs. Details about editing a label are in Appendix E.

7 Mass Producing Labels

If you are developing an archive with more than just a few products, you will want to automate label generation as much as possible. A common approach is to first generate a label template and then use a software tool to fill in the template to create a label for each data product. A label template looks like the final label except that it contains placeholders that software will replace.

8 Documenting the Archive

Archives include documentation to augment the information furnished in the product labels and provide assistance in understanding the data products and accompanying materials. Typical archive documents include:

- Relevant flight project documents
- Instrument papers
- Science articles
- Software Interface Specifications (SISs)
- Software user manuals

Criteria for inclusion of a document in a PDS archive are:

- The document is necessary (or at least useful) for evaluating, understanding, and using the data.
- Document distribution is not restricted.

A scientist who is familiar with the field, but not necessarily with the observing system or data, should be able to understand and use the data based on information contained in labels, in documents within the archive, and in external documents referenced by the archive.

8.1 Documents Included in the Archive

Your archive bundle will probably include a document collection. Each document in the collection will be described by a PDS4 label. When designing these labels for your documents, you may use the **Product Document** and/or **Product File Text** classes (see Section 6.2.1). Documents must be in a PDS-compliant format — UTF-8 text, PDF/A-1a (which is preferred), or PDF/A-1b (see Section 4.3.3). Document labels are fairly simple to create compared to labels for observational products, but you can always get help from your consulting node representative. Figure 8-1 shows how to use the **Product Document** class to describe a document. You may see the full label in the *DPH Examples* [7] online at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>.

Documents prepared for inclusion in an archive must conform to the *Policy on Formats for PDS4 Data and Documentation* at https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/policy/format_policies_final.pdf.

```

<Product_Document xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd">

  <Identification_Area>
    [17 lines omitted]
  </Identification_Area>

  <Document>
    <publication_date>2016-06-16</publication_date>
    <description>Description of the MER Pancam
      photometry cube dataset.</description>
    <Document_Edition>
      <edition_name>MER Pancam Photometry Dataset Description
</edition_name>
      <language>English</language>
      <files>1</files>
      <Document_File>
<file_name>pancam_photometry_archive_description.pdf</file_name>
        <document_standard_id>PDF/A</document_standard_id>
      </Document_File>
    </Document_Edition>
  </Document>
</Product_Document>

```

Figure 8-1. Example Product_Document Label

Documents in an archive are expected to meet not only the PDS label and format requirements, but also the structural, grammatical and lexical requirements of a refereed journal submission. Documents submitted for archiving that contain spelling errors, poor grammar or illogical organization may be rejected and may ultimately lead to the rejection of the submitted data for lack of adequate documentation.

8.2 Documents External to PDS

To ensure the integrity of the archive, the preferred approach is to have all documentation within the archive, but in some cases, this is either impractical or impossible. For example, when a copyright holder will not allow a document to appear in the archive, a reference to the document must be supplied instead. The reference should appear in the label of the product to which the document applies.

Use the **External_Reference** class to cite a document that resides outside of PDS. This class occurs inside the **Observing_System_Component** class in your **Product_Observational** label. It also occurs inside the **Reference_List** class, which can be used in many types of labels. You

can include any number of **External_References** and **Internal_References** in a **Reference_List**.

The **External_Reference** class provides a complete bibliographic citation to a published work, optionally including its Digital Object Identifier (DOI) and a description of the work. Figure 8-2 shows an example of an **External_Reference** to a published paper.

```
<Reference_List>

  <Internal_Reference>
    <lidvid_reference>
      urn:nasa:pds:investigator_spectra:calibration:calib_foil::1.0
    </lidvid_reference>
    <reference_type>data_to_calibration_product</reference_type>
    <comment>Spectrum of 25 micrometer Fe foil
      used for calibration</comment>
  </Internal_Reference>

  <External_Reference>
    <doi>10.1002/2016JE987654321</doi>
    <reference_text>Investigator, J.O., 2017, Spectral Properties
      of Laboratory-Synthesized Glasses, Journal of Geophysical
      Research - Planets, doi:10.1002/2016JE987654321</reference_text>
  </External_Reference>

</Reference_List>
```

Figure 8-2. Examples of **Internal_Reference** and **External_Reference**.

8.3 Documents Elsewhere in PDS

Just as the **External_Reference** class is used to cite a reference outside of PDS, the **Internal_Reference** class may be used to link to a document registered in the PDS archives, using the document's LID or LIDVID. Figure 8-3 also shows an **Internal_Reference** to a calibration product using the LIDVID for the product.

Notice that an internal reference must include both a LID (or LIDVID) and a reference type. You can look up the appropriate value for reference type in the online *PDS Information Model* [1] (<https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/im/current/>) by searching for "reference_type in Internal_Reference".

8.4 Restricted Documents

Certain documents have restricted distributions and therefore cannot be included in PDS archives, which are accessible to anyone with an Internet connection. The most common situations involve documents protected by copyright (when the copyright holder has not given

permission for re-use) and documents covered by United States International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR). Both circumstances are covered by United States law, and violations could lead to serious consequences for both PDS and the individuals involved. Discuss the issues with your consulting node should it appear that your documents may be restricted; your consulting node may wish to raise the question to higher levels within PDS and NASA.

Copyright issues are the easiest to resolve. If the documents in question are available to the public through the copyright holder, then **External_Reference** is the appropriate way to cite the document. The data user can negotiate access to the documents with the copyright holder, possibly in exchange for a fee.

ITAR covers a wide range of hardware, software, and operations topics in the weapons, missiles, and technology areas. In general, the results of science investigations are not subject to ITAR control, but the performance, design, and operation of the equipment may be. Documentation concerning the construction and operational details of new state-of-the-art instrumentation are likely candidates for review. NASA centers (e.g. JPL and GSFC) and institutions heavily involved in technology (e.g. JHU/APL) often have ITAR review teams, which can determine whether questionable documents are suitable for release and certify them as such. If not suitable, investigation teams may have to rewrite the documents; determining when redaction has a negative impact on the ability of a science user to understand and work with the data can be challenging.

In the end it is the responsibility of the data provider, not PDS, to ensure that archive documents submitted to PDS are unrestricted.

8.5 Creating a Label Template

A good way to create a label template is to start with a valid label for a particular data product, and then generalize by inserting placeholders for those values that will change from one product to the next. Appendix D and Appendix E walk you through the process of creating a label using an XML-aware editor and then modifying it to create a label template.

8.6 The PDS MLabel Tool

PDS provides the MLabel Tool (Metadata Injector), which generates PDS4 labels from either a PDS3 label or a PDS3-specific Document Object Model⁹ (DOM) object. This is useful if you are migrating PDS3 data to PDS4 rather than building a new PDS4 archive from scratch. The MLabel Tool allows you to generate PDS4 labels having values that map directly to the keywords and keyword values in the original PDS3 label. For more information, go to:

<https://nasa-pds.github.io/mi-label/>

⁹ The Document Object Model (DOM) is a programming interface for HTML and XML documents. It provides a structured representation of the document and it defines a way that the structure can be accessed from programs so that they can change the document structure, style and content.

8.7 PDS Node-Specific Tools

Several of the PDS Nodes have created their own tools for generating labels from a label template. Ask your consulting node for a recommendation.

8.8 The PDS Tool Registry

The PDS Tool Registry at <https://pds.nasa.gov/tools/tool-registry/> accepts tools submitted by PDS users. Submissions to the Tool Registry are vetted by the PDS Engineering Node. Check the registry for label-generation tools.

9 Creating and Using Context Products

9.1 What Are Context Products?

As mentioned before in Section 2.6, context products provide a way to associate the material in an archive with relevant information outside the archive – to place an archive in the context of the whole of PDS.

There are context products for missions, spacecraft, instruments, laboratories, observatories, telescopes, planetary bodies, PDS nodes, and a few other things. A context product is an exception to the general rule that a product consists of a digital object and a PDS label; a context product consists of a label only. It contains a unique identifier for the physical or conceptual object (i.e. the mission, spacecraft, etc.), a brief description of the object, and an optional list of references that provide more information about the object.

All context products belong to the master context bundle, which is maintained by the PDS Engineering Node. If you are submitting an archive to PDS that requires new context products – that is, your archive is related to a mission, spacecraft, instrument, etc., that is not already in the master context bundle – you will need to work with your consulting node representative to create the new context products. Figure 9-1 is the context product for the target (planet) Mars.

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<?xml-model href="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1301.sch"
  schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?>
<Product_Context
  xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
    http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1301.xsd">

  <Identification_Area>
    <logical_identifier>
      urn:nasa:pds:context:target:planet.mars
    </logical_identifier>
    <version_id>1.0</version_id>
    <title>MARS</title>
    <information_model_version>1.3.0.1</information_model_version>
    <product_class>Product_Context</product_class>
    <Modification_History>
      <Modification_Detail>
        <modification_date>2014-11-21</modification_date>
        <version_id>1.0</version_id>
        <description>
          extracted metadata from PDS3 catalog and
          modified to comply with PDS4 Information Model
        </description>
      </Modification_Detail>
    </Modification_History>
  </Identification_Area>

  <Target>
    <name>MARS</name>
    <type>Planet</type>
    <description>
      [lines omitted]
    </description>
  </Target>
</Product_Context>

```

Figure 9-1. Example of a Context Product: Target Mars

9.2 How Context Products are Generated

When creating a new context product it is easiest to modify an existing one of the same type. Look in the PDS4 master context bundle, which is available at <https://pds.nasa.gov/data/pds4/context-pds4/>. Alternatively, use an XML-aware editor to create a draft context product label from the PDS4 common schema, using the method described in Appendix D. When you have your draft label, use the instructions in Appendix E to modify it.

The most important element in a context product is its LID. If you need to create a new context product, you should review the guidelines given in Appendix J for forming a context product LID. You or your consulting PDS node will need to submit your proposed LIDs to a data engineer at the PDS Engineering Node to make sure they are unique and acceptable.

9.3 How Context Products are Maintained

Context products are maintained in the master context bundle by a data engineer at the PDS Engineering Node. It is not necessary to include them in your archive bundle, although you may choose to do so. If you do, they must be listed as secondary members of a context collection in your bundle. See *Standards Reference* [2] Section 8B.3 for details.

If a change to a context product is needed, notify the data engineer responsible for maintaining the master context bundle. Ask your consulting node representative if you don't know who the data engineer is.

9.4 How Context Products are Used

The **Observation_Area** section of a **Product_Observational** label includes several places where an **Internal_Reference** is called for. In each case the LID in the **Internal_Reference** is the LID of a context product. This is how a data product is connected to a mission, spacecraft, instrument, and target. Figure 9-2 is a label excerpt from the *DPH Examples* [7] online showing the **Observation_Area** of a **Product_Observational** label.

You will also find **Internal_References** to context product LIDs in the label for a collection product. This is how a collection is associated with a mission, spacecraft, instruments, and targets.

You may run into other kinds of labels with an **Internal_Reference** class that requires a context product LID, but these are the most common uses.

```

<Product_Observational [9 lines omitted]>

  <Identification_Area> [6 lines omitted] </Identification_Area>

  <Observation_Area>
    <Time_Coordinates>
      <start_date_time>2005-12-30T18:06:12.650Z</start_date_time>
      <stop_date_time>2005-12-30T18:07:35.467Z</stop_date_time>
    </Time_Coordinates>
    <Investigation_Area>
      <name>Mars Exploration Rover</name>
      <type>Mission</type>
      <Internal_Reference>
        <lid_reference>
          urn:nasa:pds:context:investigation:mission.mars_exploration_rover
        </lid_reference>
        <reference_type>data_to_investigation</reference_type>
      </Internal_Reference>
    </Investigation_Area>
    <Observing_System>
      <name>MER 2 Pancam</name>
      <Observing_System_Component>
        <name>MER2</name>
        <type>Spacecraft</type>
        <Internal_Reference>
          <lid_reference>
            urn:nasa:pds:context:instrument_host:spacecraft.mer2</lid_reference>
          <reference_type>is_instrument_host</reference_type>
        </Internal_Reference>
      </Observing_System_Component>
      <Observing_System_Component>
        <name>Pancam</name>
        <type>Instrument</type>
        <Internal_Reference>
          <lid_reference>urn:nasa:pds:context:instrument:pancam.mer2</lid_reference>
          <reference_type>is_instrument</reference_type>
        </Internal_Reference>
      </Observing_System_Component>
    </Observing_System>
    <Target_Identification>
      <name>Mars</name>
      <type>Planet</type>
      <Internal_Reference>
        <lid_reference>urn:nasa:pds:context:target:planet.mars</lid_reference>
        <reference_type>data_to_target</reference_type>
      </Internal_Reference>
    </Target_Identification>

    <Discipline_Area> [67 lines omitted] </Discipline_Area>
  </Observation_Area>

  <File_Area_Observational> [487 lines omitted] </File_Area_Observational>
</Product_Observational>

```

Figure 9-2. Example of Context Product LIDS in Product_Observational Label

10 Assembling the Whole Archive

When the time comes to deliver your archive to PDS, you will need to assemble all the pieces and validate the archive as a whole. If your delivery is the first of many over an extended time period, as for a mission, it will of course have only a partial delivery of data products, but all the other components must be present. We'll discuss validation in Section 11. This section explains what a fully assembled archive looks like.

Recall that the design of your archive (Section 4.3) defined the bundle and collections it would contain, the directory organization, and the directory and file naming schemes. Start assembling your archive by building a directory tree to hold the pieces. In particular, if you have more than about 20 data products, consider how to divide your many data files and their labels into subdirectories. The directory tree usually mimics the bundle and collection hierarchy, but it doesn't have to.

Figure 10-1 is a diagram of a typical directory tree. This example uses directory names in uppercase and file names in lowercase just for illustration; this is not required. You can see a different example online at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>.

Section 2.B of the *SR* [2] describes a suggested organization for assembling a PDS4 bundle for transfer to the PDS node that will permanently house it. You and the node may agree to use this organization, or you can agree to use something different. The transfer organization may be temporary, in that the archiving node may want to rearrange some aspects of your bundle in order to integrate it into their overall holdings. In the top level directory is the bundle product. It's a label for the bundle as a whole. It may have any name starting with **bundle**, such as **bundle_raw.xml**, but plain **bundle.xml** is fine. A readme file, if present, can be an overview of the contents of the bundle. It's described in **bundle.xml**.

There are no requirements for specific collections in a bundle, not even a data collection (you could have a bundle containing only documents, for example.) But if the bundle does contain a data collection, the suggested organization puts it in a directory whose name starts with **data** (*SR* [2] Section 2B.2.2). Similarly, if the bundle contains browse or document collections, they may be in directories whose names start with **browse** or **document**. Subdirectories beneath these directories can be named as you see fit. If you have browse products you'll probably find it convenient to store them in a structure that matches the data directory structure. Review Section 4.3.2 for rules about naming files and directories.

For each collection there must be a collection inventory table and its label, and these must be in the top level of the collection's directory. Their names must start with **collection**. A collection inventory is simply a table listing the LIDs or LIDVIDs of the products in the collection. As it is possible for a product to belong to more than one collection, the collection inventory also tells whether each product is a primary or secondary member of the collection. (It's a secondary member if it is already a primary member of another collection.) The collection inventory label describes the inventory table, of course, but it contains additional information about the collection that must follow the specific requirements in the *Standards Reference* [2] Section 9C.

Instructions for generating collection inventories and labels, along with bundle labels, are found in Appendix K.¹⁰

```
readme.txt
bundle.xml
DATA
  collection_data_inventory.csv
  collection_data_inventory.xml
  YEAR1
    data_2017_01_01.csv
    data_2017_01_01.xml
    ...
  YEAR2
    data_2018_01_01.csv
    data_2018_01_01.xml
    ...
BROWSE
  collection_browse_inventory.csv
  collection_browse_inventory.xml
  YEAR1
    browse_2017_01_01.jpg
    browse_2017_01_01.xml
    ...
  YEAR2
    browse_2018_01_01.jpg
    browse_2018_01_01.xml
    ...
DOCUMENT
  collection_document_inventory.csv
  collection_document_inventory.xml
  errata.txt
  errata.xml
  userguide.pdf
  userguide.xml
```

Figure 10-1. Example Archive Directory Structure

It's worth repeating that a context collection is not required. If your bundle has not introduced any new context products to PDS4 (see Section 9), there is no reason to include a context collection. Even if your bundle does require new context products, they may be delivered separately to the PDS Engineering Node, as negotiated with your consulting node. See the *Standards Reference* Section 8B.3. If you do include a context product collection in your bundle, the products should be listed in the context collection inventory table as secondary products. They are always primary products in the PDS4 master context bundle.

¹⁰ If your collection meets the criteria for a Mission Science Data Collection defined in the *Standards Reference* [2] Section 9C.3.1, then your collection inventory label must include the metadata listed in that section.

When you have built your bundle's directory structure, you may populate it with the files that belong in each directory, and update the collection inventory tables accordingly. When all the pieces are in place you can then validate the bundle. This is discussed in Section 11, Archive Validation.

11 Archive Validation

Archives submitted to PDS must be shown to be valid before they can be accepted. Validation means ensuring that the archive is complete, internally consistent, and consistent with other PDS archives and that the products in the archive are documented, correctly labeled, and error-free.

The PDS node that receives your delivery will perform most of these validation checks (except for science validation, as explained in Section 11.3). If errors are found, you will have to correct them and re-deliver. It's best to run the PDS-supplied validation tools on your bundles before delivering them in order to avoid last-minute corrections.

11.1 Label Validation

To be compliant with PDS4 standards an XML label must:

- Be both semantically and syntactically correct as prescribed by the XSD and the SCH.
- Be compliant with the class and attribute structures defined by the PDS and relevant discipline nodes and missions in their respective XSDs
- Be compliant with the rules governing specific attributes and their values as set by the PDS and relevant discipline nodes and missions in their respective SCH files
- Be compliant with the rules governing both URI namespace constructs and fully resolvable schema location constructs to the PDS4 “released” repository for referenced XSD and SCH documents.
- Be compliant in that references to the IM schema (XSD) and the IM SCH must be present in the XML label.
- Be compliant in that there must exist a reference to an XSD and if applicable an SCH for each namespace in the XML label.
- Be compliant in that the version of the referenced IM schema (XSD) is the same version as the referenced IM SCH, for example:
 - PDS4_PDS_1L00.xsd
 - PDS4_PDS_1L00.sch
- Be compliant in that the version(s) of the Mission and Discipline LDDs can either be the same or an earlier version of the IM version; but cannot be a version that is later than the IM version.
- Properly describe the structure of the referenced digital objects.
- Have a file name ending with either the extension “xml” or “lblx”.

See Appendix I for more information about validation using Schematron (SCH) documents.

11.2 Data Product Validation

Validation against the schemas and Schematron files demonstrates only that a label is correctly formed and populated; it does not show that the label correctly describes its data product. You need to ensure that the data product can be read or displayed correctly using only the information in the label. For large deliveries it may be impractical to apply this test to every product, but you should at least do spot checks of each type of product. This kind of validation needs to be done by the data provider, who knows what to expect in a data product and would be able to recognize an error caused by misreading the file. The receiving PDS node will also do spot checks of the data.

You may test your labels and data products using PDS tools (see below) or using your own label-reading software that you would normally use to work with the data. If your archive contains browse data, they may be useful for comparing to the observational data as a test to see if the latter are being read correctly.

11.3 Science Validation

Science validation means checking that the data products in your archive contain the data you expect them to contain. This can be done by spot checking products, as just mentioned, but the best way to validate the science content of your data is to use the products. That is, the products that go into the archive should be *the same products that you use for research*, so that you are likely to uncover any anomalies in them in the course of your science analysis. This kind of validation can be performed only by the scientist providing the data. PDS personnel might not use your data products in a research environment.

11.4 Archive Validation

The archive as a whole must be complete, internally consistent, and consistent with other PDS archives. To be complete, it must have all the products, collections, and bundles that it should have according to both its documentation and also the peer review results. Of course, a mission-based archive that accumulates over many deliveries may not be complete until the end of the mission, but the products, collections, and bundles expected for a particular delivery must be complete.

To be internally consistent, all products must belong to at least one collection and must be listed in the collection's inventory. All collections must belong to at least one bundle and must be listed in the bundle label. LIDVIDs must be correctly formed and unique.

To be consistent with other PDS archives, internal references must lead to documents and context products that actually exist.

11.5 Validation Tools

11.5.1 XML-Aware Editors

The best way to ensure a valid label is to use an XML-aware editor (see Section 6.4.1). These editors can show you at a glance where the label is not compliant with the schema(s). This is

useful as a first step in validation, but keep in mind that XML-aware editors validate only the contents of the label, not the data file.

11.5.2 The PDS4 Validate Tool

The PDS4 Validate Tool is used for validating PDS4 product labels, collections and bundles. It can be found on the PDS Tools page, <https://pds.nasa.gov/tools/about/>.

The Validate Tool performs the following checks:

- Checks whether a label complies with the schemas and Schematrons specified in its XML prolog and root tag
- Checks that files referenced in the label exist
- Checks that file names in the label have the same case as the actual file names
- Checks that file checksums in the label, if any, match the actual file checksums
- Checks that file checksums in the Checksum file, if any, match the actual file checksums
- Checks the referential integrity of LIDs and LIDVIDs, including
 - Is the LID valid?
 - Is the LID listed in the collection inventory product?
 - Are all LIDs listed in the collection inventory present in the collection?
 - For a collection product LID: is it listed in the bundle label?
 - Are all collection product LIDs listed in the bundle label present in the bundle?

11.5.3 The Small Bodies Node PDS4 Viewer and Other Tools

The Small Bodies Node maintains a set of tools for reading and manipulating PDS4-labeled data at https://pdssbn.astro.umd.edu/tools/tools_readPDS.shtml. While most of the tools are intended for use by programmers, the set also includes the PDS4 Viewer, a standalone program that reads and displays PDS4 images, tables, spectra and arrays, at http://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu/wiki/PDS4_Viewer. This tool can be useful for verifying that a label correctly describes its data object.

11.5.4 The PDS Transform Tool

The PDS Transform Tool converts PDS3 and PDS4 labels and data into other formats, including JPEG, GIF, PNG, TIFF, and others. This tool is found on the Tools page.

<https://pds.nasa.gov/tools/about/>

11.5.5 Other Tools Maintained by PDS

Other label-reading tools maintained by the PDS Engineering Node include software libraries, which can also be found on the Tools page.

<https://pds.nasa.gov/tools/about/>

11.5.6 Tools Contributed by PDS Users

PDS maintains a Tool Registry at <https://pds.nasa.gov/tools/tool-registry/> to which PDS users may submit tools they have developed and download tools submitted by other users. Submissions to the Tool Registry are vetted by the PDS Engineering Node.

12 Peer Review

12.1 Why Peer Review Is Needed

Peer review is the key to the integrity of the PDS holdings. Every archive submitted to PDS must pass a peer review before it can be accepted. Archive peer review is analogous to the review of an article submitted to a scientific journal, although the procedure is different. Your consulting PDS node representative will coordinate the peer review of your archive by soliciting reviewers, setting a schedule, making the bundle available, collecting review comments, and coordinating your response to the comments.

The review committee will include scientists who are experienced in the types of data in the bundle and, preferably, have a particular interest in your data. In the case of a mission-related bundle, the ideal mix of science reviewers includes some from outside the mission, some from within the mission but outside the instrument team, and possibly some who are involved in the team but not in the data product design. This last group may be the most critical of reviewers, having a real stake in the outcome. The committee will also include at least one PDS reviewer from another node and a PDS data engineer from the Engineering Node. Finally, the data provider(s) are included in the review committee. Reviews are held openly; data providers know who the reviewers are, all comments are shared with the whole committee, and members are expected to discuss any serious issues in order to reach a resolution.

When a reviewer submits a comment that recommends a change to the review material, or asks a question about it, the comment is called a *lien*. The review coordinator keeps a master list of all liens raised during a review. The data provider is asked to respond to each lien by stating what action, if any, will be taken to resolve it. If a recommendation will not be followed, the data provider must explain why, and the reviewer may either accept or reject that explanation. The period of time during which the data provider is working on the review recommendations is called *lien resolution*.

As a rule the archive is accepted by PDS when all liens have been resolved. If outstanding liens do not materially affect scientific use of the data, the archive may be declared *certified* before all liens have been resolved. Once certified, the archive may be released online. Archives distributed from PDS web sites should be marked as Certified Data, or the web site should make the statement that all available archives are Certified Data unless otherwise indicated. See the PDS Policy Defining Certified Data on the *PDS Policies* web page, <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/policy/>. Note that delivery is not complete until all liens have been resolved regardless of whether the data have been certified.

12.2 Typical Review Procedure

The approach to conducting a peer review varies depending on whether the archive accumulates over a long period of time, as for a planetary mission, or is completed in a single delivery, as for observatory or laboratory data.

Usually individual data providers are funded to generate an archive and deliver it to PDS in a single delivery near the close of the funding period. In this case the complete archive should be submitted to peer review sufficiently in advance of the end of funding to allow changes to be

made if needed. Your PDS representative will work out a schedule with you. With a single delivery, the products that are reviewed are the products that will be archived.

In the case of a mission that will deliver many times over several years, it is not practical to conduct a review for every delivery, nor to wait until the end of the mission to review the complete archive. The review must take place in time for any recommended changes to be made to the processing pipeline software, well before routine data handling begins. Therefore this kind of review covers a representative sample of pipeline data products and their documentation — typically a Data Product Software Interface Specification (SIS) document¹¹. When actual data are delivered, they are validated by the receiving node for compliance with PDS standards and for compliance with the peer-reviewed documentation.

In general, the procedure is as follows, although it may vary slightly among PDS nodes.

1. The data provider delivers the archive (or a representative sample) to the coordinating node. Node personnel confirm that the delivery is suitable for review.
2. The review coordinator assembles a list of potential reviewers and invites them by email.
3. The review coordinator announces the opening of the review and provides access to a password-protected web site where the review materials are posted.
4. Reviewers have about two weeks to examine the materials and email their comments to the review coordinator.
5. The review coordinator posts comments (either separately, or collated in one list, or both) on the web site.
6. The data providers have about two weeks to email their response to the comments, which the review coordinator posts on the web site.
7. The review coordinator decides whether there is reason to hold a review teleconference, based on the data providers' response, for instance if there is an issue that cannot be resolved by email. If so, a teleconference is arranged at a time convenient for reviewers, data providers, and PDS representatives.
8. The review coordinator posts a list of the review liens (comments that require an action). At the teleconference, if there is one, the unresolved liens are discussed one by one and decisions are made for their resolution; otherwise the data providers simply begin working on the liens. Data providers may decline to follow a reviewer's recommendation if they can justify doing so (for example, because of insufficient resources), as long as the reviewer agrees and the resulting archive would still be PDS-compliant. A record of the resolution of each lien is posted on the review web site and retained by the PDS node.
9. The data provider resolves the liens within a given period of time, say from two weeks to a month. (The schedule should take into consideration other mission activities going on; in particular the liens should be resolved before delivery testing begins.)

¹¹ A SIS document is usually a mission deliverable. PDS does not require an archive to include a SIS; the requirement is only for adequate documentation to use and understand the archive. However, if an instrument team has to write a SIS anyway, they may include it in the archive if it helps meet the PDS documentation requirement (*Standards Reference* [2] Section 8A).

10. The data provider delivers revised materials to the review coordinator, who posts them on the review web site.
11. The review coordinator invites the reviewers to examine the revised material to be sure their comments have been addressed. If the reviewers are satisfied, the review is complete.

A representative archive that is to be delivered as real data in many installments – e.g., a mission archive – will not be made public because it is not an actual delivery; it contains only examples of data products. Actual deliveries will take place according to a schedule agreed upon between the mission and PDS. See Section 13 for details of how these archives are to be delivered to PDS.

13 Archive Delivery

The organization of PDS4 products (i.e., bundles and collections) is a logical, not a physical, structure. However, products transferred to, within, or from PDS need to be placed into a physical structure. PDS uses delivery packages to accomplish such transfers.

In most cases it is convenient to organize delivery packages into a physical structure that parallels the logical structure of the archive (i.e., the bundle product at the root level with subdirectories for collections, etc.). However, alternate organizations are possible (such as flat directory structures for incremental deliveries of accumulating collections). PDS only requires that the sender and receiver agree on the structure in advance and that an MD5 checksum be provided for each file transferred (*PDS Policy on Use of Checksums*, PDS Policies page, <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/policy/>).

This section describes the typical procedures involved in delivering data to PDS. In practice, you should work with the discipline node that will receive the delivery to agree on the procedures that you will use.

13.1 Contents of the Delivery to PDS

A delivery to PDS may have up to three components:

1. The delivery package containing the material being transferred,
2. The checksum file, a list of individual MD5 checksums for every file in the delivery package,
3. The optional delivery manifest, which maps each product's LIDVID to the name of the product's label file.

13.1.1 The Delivery Package

The term *package* is used to indicate the batch of archival material being transferred. A delivery package may come as a compressed file, e.g. with Zip or gzip. It may come on an external drive delivered through the mail, or by electronic transmission such as FTP. The data provider and receiver determine the best packaging options for the delivery. In the absence of a transfer agreement, PDS provides a default procedure (see *PDS Standards Reference* [2], Section 2B.2) which is often recommended by discipline nodes.

13.1.2 The Checksum File

The checksum file is a file provided with each transfer to, from, or within PDS. The purpose of the checksum file is to allow the recipient to verify that the files have been delivered intact. By computing checksums on the files after delivery and comparing them to the original checksum file, the recipient will know if any errors have been introduced. The checksum file is required for every delivery.

The checksum file lists a checksum for *every* file in the package (not just every label file). If the delivery package is a zip file, tar file, etc., the checksum file must contain an entry for every file in the package after the package has been unpacked. Currently PDS uses MD5 checksums, so the

checksum manifest is output from an MD5 checksum utility such as md5deep. Each entry consists of a 32-character hexadecimal MD5 checksum value, followed by two spaces, followed by the file specification name relative to the root directory of the package, followed by an ASCII line-ending marker in the form of a line-feed character or carriage-return line-feed pair. The line-ending marker used must be consistent for the entire file.

The example below shows the structure of a checksum file:

```
6dab19e22439a419e3e9b9f4046bf390  ./browse/collection_browse.xml
bcb852a6a9292e304a93668e6cc2068c  ./browse/collection_browse_inventory.csv
3ff61c98cbcd11e99690f76b5f6831b0  ./browse/ele_mom.pdf
fd014ead868211ac6421efd1633c1a33  ./browse/ele_mom_browse.xml
2e47917704231a1f8bd2d3a66e5588b3  ./data/collection_data.xml
2cef640ecac021083ef1fe3f03f4c6f6  ./data/collection_data_inventory.csv
2b555c42a7e7b4981407c9a824237f4a  ./data/ele_mom.tab
117982301d1b009b842104b134d86d3d  ./data/ele_mom_tblchar.xml
```

Since the checksum file is external to the package, and hence external to the archive, there is no requirement to provide a separate PDS4 XML label for it.

13.1.3 The Delivery Manifest

The delivery manifest is an optional file provided with a transfer to, from, or within PDS. The purpose of the delivery manifest is to show what products are intended to be included in the delivery. It is analogous to a packing slip in a physical package; by comparing the delivery manifest to the package contents, the recipient can tell if a product is missing from the delivery or if it contains any unexpected products. The delivery manifest may be required or optional depending on the policy of the PDS discipline node receiving the delivery.

The delivery manifest maps product LIDVIDs to file names for each product label file in the package. If the delivery package is a zip file, tar file, etc., the manifest must contain entries for every label file in the package after the package has been unpacked. The delivery manifest is in the form of a Table_Character file, a two-field fixed-width table with one row for each of the products in the package. The first field gives the LIDVID of each product in the package. The second field gives the name of the product's label file relative to the root directory of the package. Each record is delimited by an ASCII line-ending marker in the form of a line-feed character or carriage-return line-feed pair. The line-ending marker used must be consistent for the entire file.

The example below shows the structure of a delivery manifest:

```
urn:nasa:pds:example.dph.sample:browse:collection::1.0  ./browse/collection.xml
urn:nasa:pds:example.dph.sample:browse:ele_mon::1.0    ./browse/ele_mom_browse.xml
urn:nasa:pds:example.dph.sample:data:collection::1.0   ./data/collection.xml
urn:nasa:pds:example.dph.sample:data:ele_mon::1.0     ./data/ele_mom_data.xml
```

Since the delivery manifest is external to the package, and hence external to the archive, there is no requirement to provide a separate PDS4 XML label for it.

13.1.4 The Difference Between a Delivery Manifest and a Checksum File

The delivery manifest and the checksum file are not the same, and one file cannot serve both purposes. A delivery manifest has an entry for every *label* file in the delivery. It tells the recipient whether the delivery is complete; that is, whether it contains exactly what the sender intended to send. A checksum file has an entry for *every file* in the delivery – labels, data, and all. It tells the recipient whether any file in the delivery is corrupted. The delivery manifest may or may not be required by the PDS node receiving the delivery. The checksum file is always required.

13.2 Transfer Procedures

You and your PDS node representative should agree on the procedure for delivering your archive to PDS. If your archive consists of a single delivery, then there may be nothing more for you to do if the PDS node already has the complete archive. Check with your node representative to be sure. On the other hand, if you will be delivering the archive incrementally, a more formal procedure should be put in place.

13.2.1 Logistics

When planning a delivery, both sides should have the following information.

- What is the format of the delivery package (e.g., a zip file)?
- What is the delivery mechanism (e.g., FTP “push” by provider to recipient’s server)?
- What URL, account and password will be used to make the transfer?
- Is a delivery manifest required?
- What handshaking methods will be used? For example,
 - Notification of delivery
 - Notification of receipt
 - Notification of checksum verification, manifest verification, and validation status

If the delivery contains any damaged files, or is missing any files, it’s the provider’s job to replace them with intact ones, either individually or by repeating the whole delivery, as agreed between the two parties. If unexpected files are present, the provider must tell the recipient what to do with them. If there are validation errors, the data provider is responsible for correcting the errors.

13.2.2 Delivery Checklist

The following discussion applies mainly to missions delivering data in scheduled installments, say every three months, over a long period of time. A mission usually involves several instrument teams delivering to several PDS nodes at the same time, and one node may be receiving data from several teams. With so many interfaces and moving parts, a formal delivery plan is necessary.

A good approach is for each pair of provider and recipient to agree on a delivery checklist to follow. Table 13-1 is an example of such a checklist.

Table 13-1. Example of an Archive Delivery Checklist

| Step | Task | Responsibility |
|------|--|------------------------|
| 1. | Agree on transfer method for delivery | Provider and recipient |
| 2. | Establish staging area at provider's site | Provider |
| 3. | Establish staging area at recipient's site | Recipient |
| 4. | Determine destination address, account and password | Provider and recipient |
| 5. | Determine whether delivery manifest is required | Recipient |
| 6. | Create delivery package, checksum file, and possibly delivery manifest in provider's staging area | Provider |
| 7. | Notify recipient that delivery is ready for transfer | Provider |
| 8. | Transfer the package to recipient's staging area | Provider |
| 9. | Notify recipient that package has been delivered | Provider |
| 10. | Notify provider that package has been received | Recipient |
| 11. | Verify checksums and report to provider | Recipient |
| 12. | Verify delivery manifest, if any, and report to provider | Recipient |
| 13. | Validate the delivery for PDS compliance and for compliance with previously reviewed documentation, and report to provider | Recipient |

After the first few deliveries the procedure may become routine enough that an actual checklist is no longer needed; but the checksum files, delivery manifests, and reports should continue to be produced, and the recipient PDS node may maintain them for future reference.

Most mission archives are cumulative; that is, each delivery adds more data to existing collections in an existing bundle, rather than a new bundle being created each time. For the second and subsequent deliveries of such an archive, the PDS node will probably ask the data provider to deliver only new files and files that have changed from previous deliveries. There should be no need to re-deliver parts of the archive that have not changed. See Section 13.3 for guidance about delivering revisions of previously released data products.

A note on the terms *delivery* and *release*: Both PDS and missions often talk about data releases as milestones, and missions usually publish a release schedule. A release happens when data are made publicly available on PDS web sites. A delivery, on the other hand, happens a few weeks before the release date, when a data provider delivers a package to a PDS node. (The schedule will be agreed upon by the mission and PDS.) Be careful to use the right terms when discussing data deliveries and releases to avoid misunderstandings.

13.3 Revising Previously Released Products

It's not unusual for data products that have been released to PDS to be revised later by the data provider, especially for mission-generated products. A common reason for revising data products is that calibration data or procedures have improved. Occasionally revisions occur to correct errors that have been discovered in the data. PDS policy is to retain previous versions of the data for at least six months in a place accessible to users, unless the revisions have been made to correct major errors that render the previous versions unfit for use. This policy applies to archives that are accumulating from an ongoing mission; contents are considered to have *draft* status as long as the mission is ongoing. If data products in a closed collection – one for which the mission is over and no new products are expected – are revised, the previous versions are considered to be *superseded*, and will not be made available for use except in special circumstances. See the PDS policies for draft data and superseded data on the *PDS Policies* page, <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/policy/>.

PDS nodes are careful to ensure that the data available online are the most current versions, but that older versions are retained for the specified time period. As a data provider you can help make this happen by informing your receiving node when a delivery contains revised products, exactly which products have been revised, and what you think should be done with the previous versions.

13.3.1 What Should Be Changed in the Labels of Revised Data Products

In a **Product_Observational** label the following attributes should be updated to indicate that the product has been revised:

- <version_id> in the Identification Area
- <creation_date_time> in the File Area
- <Modification_History> in the Identification Area

creation_date_time and **Modification_History** are optional but strongly recommended when changes are introduced; the first can be very useful in confirming the version change; the latter can provide information on what was changed and why. <logical_identifier> in the Identification Area should *not* change. If you think this is incorrect, then what you have may not be a revision but a new product.

The file names associated with the product do not have to change, but they may. It may be easier for the PDS node to keep track of revisions to products if the file names stay the same, so discuss this with your node representative.

13.3.2 Versioning of Products, Collections, and Bundles

Recall that every product, collection, and bundle has a unique LIDVID, and that the VID part represents a version number. When a data product is revised, its VID should be incremented. A VID has the form M.n where M and n are both integers. M denotes a major version number and n denotes a minor version number. The first time a product is delivered to PDS its VID should be 1.0. If the product is revised later, either the minor version number should be incremented by 1,

or the major version number incremented by 1 and the minor number set back to 0. It's up to the data provider to decide whether a revision is major or minor. Note that changes to a data product label count as a revision, even if the data product itself has not changed.

The addition or deletion of any product in a collection means that the collection itself has been revised because the collection inventory table has changed, so the collection VID should be incremented. If a product is revised, then the collection inventory table may or may not change, depending on whether it lists the products by LIDVID or just LID. If by LIDVID, then the inventory table will have to be revised and therefore the collection VID will have to be incremented.

The same is true of bundles. If a collection is added or removed from a bundle, then the bundle inventory must change and therefore the bundle VID must be incremented. If the inventory lists the collections by LIDVID, and one of the collections is revised, then the inventory must be revised and the bundle VID incremented.

The *Standards Reference* [2] Section 6D.3 explains versioning in detail.

13.4 Making Products Available to Users

Once a delivery has been received, validated, and accepted by a PDS node, it is ready to be released. The PDS node will make it available online and announce it on the node's web site. The node representative will inform the responsible PDS Engineering Node data engineer, who will ensure that the data are also available from the main PDS web site via the search function on the PDS home page (<https://pds.nasa.gov>).

PDS maintains a subscription service to announce new data releases (<https://pds.nasa.gov/datasearch/subscription-service/top.cfm>). Users may subscribe to receive email announcements about releases of selected data sets, documentation, and software. When a node representative informs the PDS data engineer of a new release, together they create an announcement to be sent to subscribers. The announcement may also be posted in media outlets likely to be seen by users, such as the Planetary Exploration Newsletter (PEN) (<http://planetarynews.org>).

A mission or data provider may request that a release be posted and announced at a particular date and time, for example to coincide with the release of a journal publication about the data. PDS will comply with the request as closely as possible.

14 Licenses for Archive Data

NASA encourages the use of open licensing for many of its digital products, data, and resources to promote transparency, collaboration, and the free exchange of knowledge. While NASA often uses the Creative Commons Zero (CC0) license for a significant portion of its materials, it's important to note that not all NASA digital products are licensed under CC0.

Note that once a work is released under the CC0 license, the dedication to the public domain is irrevocable, meaning that the creator cannot later change their mind and impose restrictions. Creators should make this commitment with a clear understanding of the implications.

Using a CC0 license for digital works is a powerful way to promote openness, collaboration, and the free exchange of knowledge and culture while eliminating most copyright barriers.

Should you be less interested in the “background of the licensing issue and more interested in the specifics of how to apply the CC0 license in an XML label, see Section 14.3.

Additional information on NASA Science Data Licenses can be found at:

<https://science.data.nasa.gov/license/>

14.1 NASA Objectives for License Use

NASA's use of the CC0 license aligns with its mission to advance scientific knowledge, inspire the public, and promote global collaboration. By dedicating its resources to the public domain, NASA maximizes the reach and impact of its work and encourages others to explore, learn from, and build upon its findings.

NASA, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, has specific reasons for using the Creative Commons Zero (CC0) license for many of its materials, data, and images. The application of the CC0 license aligns with NASA's goals, principles, and missions.

Using a Creative Commons Zero (CC0) license for digital works is a choice made by creators or authors who want to maximize the public domain status of their work. The CC0 license is one of the most permissive licenses available and essentially relinquishes all copyright and related rights to the extent allowed by law. Here are some reasons why creators might opt for a CC0 license:

- 1 **Maximum Freedom:** CC0 offers the highest level of freedom to users and other creators. It allows anyone to use, modify, distribute, and build upon the work for any purpose, even commercially, without restrictions.
- 2 **Public Domain Dedication:** Creators who use CC0 are essentially dedicating their work to the public domain. This means the work is free from copyright restrictions and can be used by anyone for any purpose without the need for permission or attribution.
- 3 **Encouraging Collaboration:** CC0 encourages collaboration and creativity by removing legal barriers. Others can freely incorporate CC0-licensed works into their projects, leading to the creation of derivative works and the exchange of ideas.

- 4 **Accessibility and Inclusivity:** Using a CC0 license can make content more accessible and inclusive. It allows individuals and organizations to share educational resources, cultural materials, and information without concerns about copyright restrictions.
- 5 **Simplifying Licensing:** CC0 simplifies licensing, as there are no complex restrictions or terms to navigate. This can make it easier for creators and users to understand how the work can be used.
- 6 **Global Applicability:** CC0 is designed to work worldwide, making it suitable for international content sharing. It respects the legal and cultural norms of different jurisdictions.
- 7 **Legal Certainty:** Creators who use CC0 can be confident that their work is truly in the public domain, eliminating any ambiguities or potential legal disputes regarding the scope of the license.
- 8 **Preservation and Archiving:** CC0 can be a useful choice for those who want to ensure the long-term preservation and archiving of their digital works. By allowing unrestricted use, the work is more likely to be preserved for future generations.
- 9 **Promoting Open Data and Research:** In fields like open data and research, using a CC0 license can be instrumental in advancing scientific and educational goals. It allows for the broad dissemination of data and research findings.

14.2 Terms of License Use for NASA Digital Products

The specific licensing terms for NASA digital products can vary depending on several factors:

- 1 **Scientific Data:** NASA's scientific data and research findings are often made available to the public with open access and minimal restrictions. Many of these resources are released under open data principles, which may include the use of licenses like CC0 or similar licenses that allow for unrestricted use.
- 2 **Educational and Outreach Materials:** NASA produces a wide range of educational and outreach materials, such as images, videos, articles, and interactive tools, aimed at engaging the public and educators. Many of these materials are released with open licenses, but the specific license can vary. Some may use CC0, while others might use different Creative Commons licenses, such as CC BY.
- 3 **Software:** NASA develops various software tools and applications. The licensing for NASA software may differ, with some being released under open-source licenses, while others may have more restrictive terms.

- 4 Historical and Archival Content: NASA also manages historical and archival content, which can include photographs, documents, and audiovisual materials. Licensing for these materials may vary, and some may have specific restrictions related to usage and attribution.

NASA's choice of license for its digital products depends on the nature of the content, the intended use, and any applicable legal and policy considerations. NASA's overarching goal is to share its knowledge, discoveries, and achievements with the public, researchers, and educators. They often opt for open and permissive licenses to facilitate these objectives, but the specific licensing terms can differ based on the context.

To use NASA's digital products, it's essential to review the specific licensing information provided with each resource to understand the terms and conditions associated with it.

14.3 How to apply the CC0 License to a Digital Products

CC-licensing your work is simple. PDS has created a class specifically to document the use of the CC0 License:

```
<Identification_Area>
  <License_Information>
    <name></name>
    <description></description>
    <Internal_Reference>
      <reference_type>product_to_license</reference_type>
      <lid_reference></lid_reference>
    </Internal_Reference>
  </License_Information>
</Identification_Area>
```

The CC0 license that PDS4 has adopted can be found here:

https://pds.nasa.gov/ds-view/pds/viewDocument.jsp?identifier=urn%3Anasa%3Aapds%3Asystem_bundle%3Adocument_pds4_standards%3Acreative_commons_zero_1.0.0&version_id=1.0

An example of the metadata to reference the above CC0 license follows:

```
<License_Information>
  <name>Creative Common Public License CC0 1.0 (2024)</name>
  <description>
    Creative Commons Zero (CC0) license information.
  </description>
  <Internal_Reference>
```

```
        <reference_type>product_to_license</reference_type>
        <lid_reference>
urn:nasa:pds:system_bundle:document_pds4_standards:creative_commons_1.0.0::1.0</lid_refer
ence>
        </Internal_Reference>
        </License_Information>
```

A sample CC0 license, both XML label and referenced license document, can be found here:

https://pds.nasa.gov/ds-view/pds/viewDocument.jsp?identifier=urn%3Anasa%3Apds%3Asystem_bundle%3Adocument_pds4_standards%3Acreative_commons_zero_1.0.0&version_id=1.0

Appendices

Appendix A Acronyms and Abbreviations

| | |
|-----------|---|
| AMMOS | Advanced Multi-Mission Operations System |
| APL | Applied Physics Laboratory |
| APPS | AMMOS-PDS Pipeline Service |
| ASCII | American Standard Code for Information Interchange |
| CCSDS | Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (file transfer protocol) |
| CDF | Common Data Format |
| CR | Carriage Return |
| CSV | Comma-Separated Value |
| DIP | Dissemination Information Package |
| DN | Discipline Node (PDS) |
| DOI | Digital Object Identifier |
| DOM | Document Object Model |
| DPH | Data Provider's Handbook |
| DSV | Delimiter-Separated Value |
| DTD | Document Type Definition |
| EN | Engineering Node (PDS) |
| ESA | European Space Agency |
| FITS | Flexible Image Transport System |
| FTP | File Transfer Protocol |
| GIF | Graphics Interchange Format |
| GSFC | Goddard Space Flight Center (NASA) |
| HTML | Hypertext Markup Language |
| IEEE | Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers |
| IM | Information Model |
| ISIS | Integrated Software for Imagers and Spectrometers |
| ISO | International Organization for Standardization |
| ISTP/IACG | International Solar-Terrestrial Physics / Inter-Agency Consultative Group |
| ITAR | International Traffic in Arms Regulations |

| | |
|----------|---|
| J2C | JPEG-2000 compression algorithm |
| JHU | Johns Hopkins University |
| JPEG | Joint Photographic Experts Group (compression algorithm) |
| JPEG2000 | JPEG compression algorithm created in 2000 to supersede original JPEG |
| JPL | Jet Propulsion Laboratory |
| LACE | Label Creation and Editing (tool) |
| LDD | Local Data Dictionary |
| LDT | Label Design Tool |
| LF | Line Feed |
| LID | Logical Identifier |
| LIDVID | Versioned LID |
| LSB | Least Significant Byte First (storage order) |
| MAVEN | Mars Atmosphere and Volatile Evolution (mission) |
| MD5 | Message Digest 5 (checksum format) |
| MSB | Most Significant Byte first (storage order) |
| MSSS | Malin Space Science Systems |
| NAIF | Navigation and Ancillary Information Node (PDS) |
| NASA | National Aeronautics and Space Administration |
| NEF | Nikon Electronic Format |
| ODL | Object Description Language |
| P | Primary (member) |
| PDF | Portable Document Format |
| PDF/A | Portable Document Format (archival) |
| PDS | Planetary Data System |
| PDS3 | PDS version 3 |
| PDS4 | PDS version 4 |
| PNG | Portable Network Graphics |
| PPI | Planetary Plasma Interactions (PDS DN) |
| PSA | Planetary Science Archive (European Space Agency) |
| S | Secondary (member) |
| SBN | Small Bodies Node (PDS) |
| SCH | Schematron |

| | |
|-------|--|
| SEED | Standard for the Exchange of Earthquake Data |
| SI | Système International (International System of Units) |
| SIS | Software Interface Specification |
| SPICE | Spacecraft, Planet, Instrument, Camera Matrix, and Event (historic acronym for system of storing planetary navigation and other ancillary information) |
| SR | Standards Reference |
| TIFF | Tagged Image File Format |
| UCD | User Centered Design |
| URI | Uniform Resource Identifier |
| URL | Uniform Resource Locator |
| URN | Uniform Resource Name |
| UTC | Coordinated Universal Time |
| UTF-8 | Unicode Transformation Format 8 (character set) |
| VICAR | Video Image Communication and Retrieval (software) |
| VID | Version Identifier |
| XAE | XML-aware editor |
| XML | eXtensible Markup Language |
| Xpath | XML Path Language |
| XSD | XML Schema Definition |

Appendix B How to Select the Right Class for a Product

This appendix continues the discussion in Section 6.2.1 about identifying the type of product to be described by a PDS label. The questions below outline the decision process used to select the appropriate product class when the choice is not obvious. For simplicity this outline assumes there is one data object in the product.

1. Is the object digital, a bundle, a collection, or an update to an existing product? If none of these, use **Product_Context**.
2. Does the object contain PDS operational data? If so, use one of several classes for operational products defined in Chapter 3 of the *Data Dictionary* [3] (these are not, strictly speaking, science data). Operational products contain behind-the-scenes data such as class and attribute definitions, and are probably not of interest to science data providers.
3. Is this an aggregation product? If so, choose between **Product_Collection** and **Product_Bundle**. **Product_Collection** contains an Inventory table listing basic products. **Product_Bundle** contains a list of member collections.
4. Is this a product that supports the archive rather than being data per se? That is ...
 - a. Is this a ZIP compressed file? If so, use **Product_Zipped**.
 - b. Is this an XML schema and/or Schematron file? If so, use **Product_XML_Schema**.
 - c. If (4) but neither (a) nor (b) and it is ASCII_Short_String_Collapsed with <LF> or <CR><LF> record delimiters, then use **Product_File_Text**.
 - d. If (4) but not (a), (b), or (c) work with the consulting DN to determine the appropriate product type.
5. Is this a SPICE kernel? If so, use **Product_SPICE_Kernel**.
6. Is this solely a product search aid for humans? If so,
 - a. Is this used in an image index? If so, use **Product_Thumbnail**.
 - b. If not to be used in an image index, use **Product_Browse**.
7. Is this intended primarily to be read by humans? If so, use **Product_Document**.
8. Does the digital object contain observational data?
 - a. If it contains observational data, does it have one of the following PDS4 structures: **Array**, **Encoded_Header**, **Header**, **Stream_Text**, **Table_Binary**, **Table_Character**, or **Table_Delimited**?
 - i. If it has one of these structures and the processing level is “raw”, use **Product_Observational**. (See Table 2-1 for processing level definitions.)
 - ii. If it has one of these structures, is not “raw”, and its function is primarily to support other products in the archive, use **Product_Ancillary**.

- iii. If it has one of these structures, is not “raw”, and its function is not primarily to support other products in the archive, use **Product_Observational**.
 - iv. If it does not have one of these structures but it is in an original format (such as from the observing system), use **Product_Native** (see *Standards Reference* [2] Section 9E for special requirements)
 - v. If it does not have one of these structures and is not in an original observing system format, use **Product_Ancillary**.
- b. If it does not contain observational data, use **Product_Ancillary**.

Appendix C XML Schema Basics

This appendix gives a very basic introduction to XML and XML Schema for the novice user, without getting into PDS-specific details. Section 6, Designing Labels, refers to this appendix.

XML (<https://www.w3.org/TR/xml/>) is a language for writing documents that are intended to be parsed by software. For example, HTML is a specific implementation of XML that is used for writing web pages. PDS labels are written in XML.

XML alone is not sufficient for recording metadata for science products. To organize the metadata it is necessary to impose a structure. Without a structure, you would not know what to put in your PDS XML labels. You would have to make up attributes and classes and validation rules, and no two providers would do it the same way. XML Schema (<https://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema11-1/>) is a standard for defining a structure for XML documents.

Schematron (<http://schematron.com/>) is another standard that PDS uses to ensure quality metadata in labels. It is a way to write validation rules for XML documents when the rules are too complicated to be expressed using XML Schema alone. This is discussed in Appendix I.

C.1 Reading XML Files

At first glance an XML file can appear to be dense and rather cryptic text sprinkled with angle brackets. It helps to view the file in an editor that understands XML and can provide color-coding and other embellishments to make components of the file stand out. See Section 6.4.1, XML-Aware Editors.

You may be familiar with PDS3 labels, which used a `keyword = value` format to record metadata, as in `FILE_NAME = "MYFILE.DAT"`. XML uses *tags*:

```
<file_name>myfile.dat</file_name>
```

In this example `<file_name>` is the tag, which is analogous to the keyword, and `myfile.dat` is the *content*. Every tag includes its angle brackets, and every tag must have a matching *closing tag*, which includes a forward slash. The full line — tag, content, and closing tag — is called an *XML element*. Tags can be nested.

White space outside of tags is ignored, so you can add blank lines, spaces and line endings however you like to make the file easier to read.

Angle brackets (< and >) and the ampersand character (&) have special meaning in XML, so they should not be used in your label except to delimit tags and to indicate special characters. (For example, ` ` indicates a non-breaking space. You won't see many of these special characters in PDS labels.)

Comments in XML start with `<!--` and end with `-->`.

PDS uses the convention that class names have each word capitalized, as in `<Product_Observational>`, and attribute names have all lower case letters, as in `<file_name>`.

The *PDS Small Bodies Node Wiki* (see Section 1.4.4, <https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu>) has a more complete overview of XML as it applies to PDS4.

C.2 Using XML Schema

In order for any XML document, including a PDS4 label, to meet the XML standard, it must be both *well-formed* and *valid*. A well-formed XML document must have correct XML syntax; a valid XML document must conform to the rules of an XML schema document (which you'll find in a file with the extension `.xsd`) and, if applicable, an XML Schematron document (extension `.sch`). Under PDS4, schemas provide the rules governing the structure and some of the content of each XML class, and Schematron documents further constrain the contents of those classes and attributes within classes.

The PDS4 common schema specifies the content of a PDS label, the order of the classes and attributes, which classes and attributes are required and which are optional, and what types of values are expected for each attribute.

Figure C-1 illustrates the PDS4 label components that tell label-parsing software what schemas and Schematron documents apply to the label. Every label begins with an XML prolog that identifies it as an XML document and identifies any Schematron documents that apply to it (the blue text in the figure). The rest of the label is enclosed between a pair of XML tags corresponding to the class of the product being described, such as `Product_Observational`. The opening tag includes information about the namespaces used in the label (red text) and the locations of the XML schemas that go with those namespaces (green text). These components are explained in more detail in Appendix E.3, Editing the XML Prolog and Root Tag.

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<?xml-model href="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.sch"
  schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?>
<?xml-model href="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/disp/v1/PDS4_DISP_1301.sch"
  schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?>
<?xml-model href="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/sp/v1/PDS4_SP_1100.sch"
  schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?>

<Product_Observational
  xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
  xmlns:pds="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
  xmlns:disp="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/disp/v1"
  xmlns:sp="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/sp/v1"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
    http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd
    http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/disp/v1
    http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/disp/v1/PDS4_DISP_1301.xsd
    http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/sp/v1
    http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/sp/v1/PDS4_SP_1100.xsd">

  [many lines omitted]

</Product_Observational>

```

Figure C-1. Schema and Schematron References in a PDS4 Label

Appendix D Creating an Initial Draft Label

This appendix lists the steps to be used in creating a draft label that can then be modified to become a label template, as discussed in Section 6.4. This discussion focuses on specific instructions for the oXygen XML editor, with a link to instructions for the Eclipse XML editor.

D.1 Appropriation

The simplest way to create a draft label is to copy the label from a product that is similar to the one you are planning to label. Your consulting DN data engineer may be able to provide one. If you have in hand a label that describes the kind of product you will be archiving, and the label is fairly recent (the latest PDS4 Information Model, if possible), then you can skip the rest of this appendix and go to Appendix E, XML Label Editing, to learn how to modify the label to make your template.

D.2 Eclipse XML Editor

The Small Bodies Node wiki includes instructions on generating new label files from the PDS4 common schema using the Eclipse XML editor. Go to:

https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu/wiki/Eclipse:_Creating_a_New_XML_File_from_an_XSD_Schema_File

The SBN wiki pages also cover editing of the XML Declaration and Root Tag, so if you follow those instructions you can skip the corresponding parts of Appendix E in this handbook.

D.3 oXygen XML Editor

This set of steps is based on the oXygen 17.0 XML editor (other versions of oXygen should perform similarly). It produces a label from the PDS4 common schema (the xsd file); the label has all levels and all options. You must then remove options you don't want by subsequent editing. XML elements for attributes are created with empty values by default, but you can select an option which inserts values that are either valid or not, your choice. You then need to edit those by inserting legitimate values for your labels.

Step 1: Download a copy of the current common schema (xsd)

- a. The current version of the PDS4 common schema (xsd) can always be found at the PDS4 Schema web page. Go to: <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/schema/released/>.
- b. Click to download
 - The current Schematron file: PDS4_PDS_nnnn.sch
 - The current common schema file: PDS4_PDS_nnnn.xsd

The third file, PDS4_PDS_nnnn.xml, is a PDS4 label that describes the other two. You may wish to download it also, but it is not needed for the exercise we are going to do now.

- c. Save the files to a working directory or folder.

Step 2: Open the common-schema in an XML-aware Editor

- a. Using the oXygen XML editor, open the common schema (e.g. PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd) in your working directory.
- b. Pull down the **Tools** menu from the top menu bar and select **Generate Sample XML Files ...**. You will get a window like the one shown in Figure D-1. (Note that the screenshots in the following figures may look slightly different on your system.)
- c. From the three tabs at the top, select the **Schema** tab.
- d. The **URL** entry will be blank. Use the folder icon at the right to select the local copy of the common schema (the xsd file you just downloaded). This will also populate the **Namespace** with the appropriate value from the xsd file.




Figure D-1. Using oXygen to Generate an XML Label, part 1

- e. Use the down arrow and scroll bar next to the **Root Element** box to select the product type you want — for example, Product_Observational.
- f. The **Output folder** box is filled in with the path to your common schema file. Change this to the path to your working directory, if it is different.
- g. In the **Filename prefix** box, enter the base file name for your label file. As noted at the right, it will be given the extension *.xml.

Step 3: Select Options

- a. Do not select **OK** yet. From the three tabs at the top, select the **Options** tab. You will get a window like the one shown in Figure D-2.




Figure D-2. Using oXygen to Generate an XML Label, part 2

- b. Check the boxes for **Generate optional elements** and **Generate optional attributes**.
- c. For the **Value of elements and attributes** you have three choices:

None

Default (ignore restrictions)

Random (apply restrictions)

You should select **None**; this means that all of the attributes in the label will have empty values. The empty values will be flagged by the real time validation function of the oXygen XML editor; you can insert values and clear the error flags when you edit the label later.

If you select **Default (ignore restrictions)**, meaningless values will be inserted. The real-time oXygen validation may not report errors. If you select **Random (apply restrictions)**, plausible values will be inserted. In both cases, you will have to check the label *very* carefully to prevent bad values from leaking into the final labels. It is simpler and safer to select **None** and work through the label, clearing flagged errors (empty values).

- d. Set **Preferred number of repetitions** to 1. If you need repetitions, you can add them later when you edit the label.
- e. In the **Type alternative strategy** and **Choice strategy** boxes, select **First**. If you select **Random**, the results may be unpredictable. **Random** is useful if you're simply interested in surveying example labels, but when generating a label that will become a label template, you want predictable results.
- f. Check **Generate the other options as comments**. This fleshes out the label with all possible options. Removing the comment notation is not difficult; but removing the large number of unwanted options is the primary disadvantage of this method.

Step 4: Create the label (XML) document

- a. Click OK at the bottom right of the window.
- b. The label will auto-display in oXygen.
- c. The label has everything you need (and quite a bit more). Options included as comments will be set off by `<!--` at the left margin (and by `-->` after the corresponding end tag, which is harder to spot). You can accept the ones you want by removing the special punctuation; you can remove the ones you don't want by deleting the appropriate rows.
- d. Save the label file to your working directory; it will have the unique file name you specified on the Schema page (Figure D-7).

Step 5: Ensure the label is valid (or not)

- a. Your initial label is very likely not valid; little red boxes (or lines) in the right scroll bar indicate where errors exist. Most, if not all, of the errors in the initial label result from missing values.

- b. As you proceed with editing the label (Appendix E of this handbook), you will clear the errors, and the red boxes will disappear. Note that the editor may not flag all of the errors initially. As you clear errors early in the file, red boxes will appear later in the file for errors that have been newly identified.
- c. Once a full set of values has been specified, the label should become valid, indicated by a green box at the top of the right-hand scroll bar.
- d. At this point the label is being validated against the PDS schema, but not against the PDS Schematron rules. Later we will add a statement to the XML prolog section at the top of the label that gives the location of the Schematron rules, and this may cause the XML editor to show errors (i.e. the green box may turn red again). See Appendix E.3.2 for further instructions.

Now that you have an initial draft of your label, you are ready to modify it to create a label template for your data products. A label template is just a label that has some placeholders instead of actual values. The placeholders will be filled in later by software.

Appendix E discusses editing the draft label to create a label template.

Appendix E XML Label Editing

This appendix goes into the details of using the oXygen XML editor to modify an initial draft label. If you do not already have an initial draft label, see Appendix D. For an overview of PDS4 XML labels, see Section 6.1.

The following instructions walk you through the process of editing a draft label to create a single label for a particular product, and then a label template that can be used to generate labels for many products. Your consulting node representative can help you generate a label template for each type of product that will appear in your archive. If you have several different product types with the same structure — for example, different ASCII tables for solar flux and magnetic field — you will probably need separate templates for each.

The PDS Small Bodies Node (SBN) maintains a good online resource for step-by-step instructions in filling out PDS labels at the SBN PDS4 Wiki, on the page https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu/wiki/PDS4_Product_Labels_Step_by_Step.

E.1 Getting Started with an Example

In the *DPH Examples* at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/> you'll find the file **Table_Character_draft1.xml**. This is an initial draft label that was generated in oXygen using the instructions in Appendix D. You may wish to open this file in your own XML-aware editor to follow along as we go over the ways to modify a draft label. When you first open it, the contents may be confusing; refer to the high-level label diagram in Figure 6-1 to get your bearings.

Although the `<XML Prolog>` and the `<Product_Observational>` root tag appear at the beginning of the label, we will discuss editing of the body of the label first. We will return to the XML Prolog and Root Tag later.

As you go through the following sections, keep in mind that this is a tutorial intended to show you the mechanics of editing a PDS4 label, using a simple example. It is not intended to be a comprehensive explanation of every class and attribute that can appear in a label. If you are in doubt about what classes, attributes, and values to include when designing your own label, consult the online resources listed in Section 1.4 and your PDS node representative.

E.2 Editing the Body of the Label

We're going to modify the draft label **Table_Character_draft1.xml** to make it describe a reflectance spectrum that is stored as an ASCII text table with three columns (*fields*) and 224 rows (*records*). Figure E-1 shows a few lines from the beginning and end of this table.

| | | |
|--------|---------|---------|
| 320.0 | 0.05039 | 0.01451 |
| 330.0 | 0.05898 | 0.01123 |
| 340.0 | 0.06849 | 0.01162 |
| 350.0 | 0.07776 | 0.00851 |
| 360.0 | 0.08820 | 0.00314 |
| ... | | |
| 2520.0 | 0.32924 | 0.00205 |
| 2530.0 | 0.32996 | 0.00385 |
| 2540.0 | 0.32930 | 0.00210 |
| 2550.0 | 0.33209 | 0.00437 |

Figure E-1. Example of Table_Character data

The table has fixed-width fields, which means it should be described by the **Product_Observational** subclass **Table_Character** (Section 6.2.2.1). First we'll create a valid label that describes a single table, and then we'll turn it into a label template that can be used to generate labels for a set of tables.

We're going to start by modifying the `<File_Area_Observational>` tag, and then we'll work on the other areas in the body of the label. We're doing things in this order to help those who are completely new to editing PDS4 labels; it's easier to start with what is familiar – the contents of your data files – and move from there to less familiar areas.

E.2.1 A Note on Validation

Section 6.4.1 mentioned that using an XML-aware editor is helpful because it will instantly validate your label based on whether it is compliant with its controlling schema(s). As we are working on the body of the label first, the editor may not correctly validate the label yet; we may need to modify the schema and Schematron files specified in the XML prolog and root tag before we can rely on the editor's validation. That comes in Section E.3. If you would rather work on the XML prolog and root tag first, go on to Section E.3, and then return here.

When the XML prolog, the root tag, and the body of the label have all been modified correctly, the XML-aware editor should show the label as valid. In oXygen this is shown as a green square in the upper right corner of the editing window.

One more comment about validation: The XML-aware editor can tell you only whether a label is valid according to its associated schemas and Schematron files. It cannot tell you whether the label correctly describes its associated data product; you could create a valid label even in the absence of any data. Other tools must be used to do a more complete validation. See Section 11, Archive Validation.

E.2.2 Modifying File_Area_Observational

To start, we will close up all but the highest level tags so that we can see an “outline” of the label. (Look in your editor’s left margin for an arrow or other symbol to click that will open and close a tag.) The result will look similar to this:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<Product_Observational xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
  file:/Z:/stage/pds4/schema/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd">
  <Identification_Area>
  <Observation_Area>
  <Reference_List>
  <File_Area_Observational>
  <File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>
</Product_Observational>
```

E.2.2.1 Removing Unwanted Product Types

Opening up the `<File_Area_Observational>` tag reveals a `<File>` tag, an `<Array>` tag, and all the other possible subclasses of **Product_Observational**, which are given inside comment delimiters. The draft label appears this way because of the choice we made when creating it in step 3.f of Appendix D, **Generate the other options as comments**. The `<Array>` tag is not inside comment delimiters just because it happens to come first alphabetically. By closing up all the comment fields, the label now appears like this:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<Product_Observational xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
  file:/Z:/stage/pds4/schema/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd">
  <Identification_Area>
  <Observation_Area>
  <Reference_List>
  <File_Area_Observational>
    <File>
      <file_name></file_name>
      <local_identifier></local_identifier>
      <creation_date_time></creation_date_time>
      <file_size unit=""></file_size>
      <records></records>
      <md5_checksum></md5_checksum>
      <comment></comment>
    </File>
    <Array>
      <!-- <Array_1D>
      <!-- <Array_2D>
      <!-- <Array_2D_Image>
      <!-- <Array_2D_Map>
      <!-- <Array_2D_Spectrum>
      <!-- <Array_3D>
      <!-- <Array_3D_Image>
```

```

<!--      <Array_3D_Movie>
<!--      <Array_3D_Spectrum>
<!--      <Encoded_Header>
<!--      <Header>
<!--      <Stream_Text>
<!--      <Table_Binary>
<!--      <Table_Character>
<!--      <Table_Delimited>
      </File_Area_Observational>
      <File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>
</Product_Observational>

```

We are creating a label for a **Table_Character** product, so we will now delete all the other types of products from this part of the label, and remove the comment delimiters from around **Table_Character**. Now the label looks like this, with the `<Table_Character>` tag closed up:

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<Product_Observational xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
file:/Z:/stage/pds4/schema/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd">
  <Identification_Area>
  <Observation_Area>
  <Reference_List>
  <File_Area_Observational>
    <File>
      <file_name></file_name>
      <local_identifier></local_identifier>
      <creation_date_time></creation_date_time>
      <file_size unit=""></file_size>
      <records></records>
      <md5_checksum></md5_checksum>
      <comment></comment>
    </File>
    <Table_Character>
  </File_Area_Observational>
  <File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>
</Product_Observational>

```

E.2.2.2 Removing Optional Classes and Attributes

Opening up the `<Table_Character>` tag reveals all the possible classes and attributes that apply to **Table_Character** observational products. The label appears this way because we chose **Generate optional elements** and **Generate optional attributes** in step 3.b in Appendix D.

We can now delete any of the optional parts of the label that we don't need. A class or attribute is optional if its definition in the data dictionary specifies `minOccurs="0"` (minimum number of occurrences is 0). In oXygen you can see the definition of a class or attribute by right-clicking on its name and choosing **Show Definition**. This opens the dictionary in the editor and highlights the definition. For example, by right-clicking on the tag `<Uniformly_Sampled>` inside the `<Table_Character>` tag, we see that its specification is

```
<xs:element name="Uniformly_Sampled" type="pds:Uniformly_Sampled" minOccurs="0"
maxOccurs="1"> </xs:element>
```

This means the **Uniformly Sampled** class is not required (minOccurs = “0”), and may appear no more than once in a label (maxOccurs=”1”). We will delete it from our label because it doesn’t apply to the product we are labeling. We’ll also delete **local_identifier**, another optional attribute. We’ll keep **name** and **description**, even though they are optional. The other attributes and the **Record_Character** class are required.

Now the <Table_Character> tag in the label looks like this, with the <Field_Character> tag closed up for the moment:

```
<Table_Character>
  <name></name>
  <offset unit=""></offset>
  <records></records>
  <description></description>
  <record_delimiter></record_delimiter>
  <Record_Character>
    <fields></fields>
    <groups></groups>
    <record_length unit=""></record_length>
    <Field_Character>
  </Record_Character>
</Table_Character>
```

E.2.2.3 Setting the Values of Attributes

We can now start filling in some values in the label. The values are simply inserted between the starting and ending tags of the attributes. These will be values that apply to a single data product. Later we will discuss how to turn this label into a label template by inserting placeholders for those values that vary from one product to the next.

Here is the <Table_Character> tag with values filled in (again, with <Field_Character> closed up for now):

```
<Table_Character>
  <name>Reflectance Spectrum</name>
  <offset unit="byte">0</offset>
  <records>224</records>
  <description>This table contains a reflectance spectrum with
    fields Wavelength, Reflectance, and Error.</description>
  <record_delimiter>Carriage-Return Line-Feed</record_delimiter>
  <Record_Character>
    <fields>3</fields>
    <groups>0</groups>
    <record_length unit="byte">31</record_length>
    <Field_Character>
  </Record_Character>
</Table_Character>
```

E.2.2.4 Choosing Values from an Enumerated List

Some attributes are defined with a limited set of permissible values, called an enumerated list. One such attribute is `<record_delimiter>` in the above example. To see the values to choose from, you may open the *PDS4 Information Model* [1] in a web browser at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/im/current/> and use the browser's search tool to look up "record_delimiter". It appears in several places, so make sure you are looking at the specification for record_delimiter in the **Table_Character** class – it's in Section 9.37 of the *PDS4 Information Model*.

E.2.2.5 Repeating a Class or Attribute

As our table has three fields, or columns, we have set the value of `<fields>` to 3 in the `<Record_Character>` tag. This tag already includes a `<Field_Character>` tag that we will use to describe one field, but we will need two more for the second and third fields. We can simply copy and paste additional instances of the `<Field_Character>` tag. To check whether there is a limit on the number of fields that can be defined in a record, we can right-click on `<Field_Character>` to see its definition:

```
<xs:choice minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded">
  <xs:element name="Field_Character" type="pds:Field_Character"> </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="Group_Field_Character" type="pds:Group_Field_Character">
    </xs:element>
</xs:choice>
```

This is a little more complicated than the first definition we looked up. The `<xs:choice>` tag means that the **Record_Character** class is required to have at least one **Field_Character** subclass or **Group_Field_Character** subclass, and it may have as many of them as needed (`maxOccurs="unbounded"`).

Here is the `<Record_Character>` tag in our example label now with three `<Field_Character>` tags expanded and filled in.

```
<Record_Character>
  <fields>3</fields>
  <groups>0</groups>
  <record_length unit="byte">31</record_length>
  <Field_Character>
    <name>Wavelength</name>
    <field_number>1</field_number>
    <field_location unit="byte">1</field_location>
    <data_type>ASCII_Real</data_type>
    <field_length unit="byte">9</field_length>
  </Field_Character>
  <Field_Character>
    <name>Reflectance</name>
    <field_number>2</field_number>
    <field_location unit="byte">10</field_location>
    <data_type>ASCII_Real</data_type>
    <field_length unit="byte">10</field_length>
  </Field_Character>
  <Field_Character>
    <name>Error</name>
```

```

    <field_number>3</field_number>
    <field_location unit="byte">20</field_location>
    <data_type>ASCII_Real</data_type>
    <field_length unit="byte">10</field_length>
  </Field_Character>
</Record_Character>

```

The attributes **field_location** and **field_length** are important. They give the starting byte and number of bytes respectively for each field in the record, with the first byte in the record numbered byte 1. The **field_location** and **field_length** values do not count any spaces or delimiters that may appear between fields in a fixed-width record, nor do they count any quotation marks that surround field values.¹² To make things more complicated, a table may have groups of fields that repeat, which are described using the **Group_Field_Character** class (or **Group_Field_Delimited** or **Group_Field_Binary** classes for **Table_Delimited** and **Table_Binary** tables). See Appendix G, Counting Fields and Groups in a Nested Structure, for help in determining the right values for **field_location** and **field_length** in a table that contains groups.

E.2.2.6 Adding an Optional Class or Attribute

In the `<Field_Character>` tags above we left out several of the optional attributes. Suppose now we decide that we want to include some of them after all. In particular, for the Wavelength field we want to specify that the data are in units of nanometers. It's important to remember that *order matters*; the attributes and classes must appear in the label in the same order in which they are specified in the schema where they are defined. The online version of the *Information Model* [1] is not helpful for this purpose because it gives the attributes of a class in alphabetical order. To see them in schema-defined order we must look in the *PDS4 Data Dictionary* [3], <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/dd/current/>.

Searching in that document for “Field_Character”, we see in its definition that the attribute **unit** should come after **field_length** in our label (as we have omitted the optional attribute **field_format** that would come between them). If we try to put it somewhere else in the `<Field_Character>` tag, the editor will flag it as an error.

E.2.2.7 Choosing a Value for the unit Attribute

Now that we have restored the unit attribute, what value should it have? Nanometers or nm? Unfortunately there is no easy way to look up an enumerated list of values for units because there are so many of them and they can be combined for complex measurements. In general, PDS uses SI units and abbreviations. In our simple case, either `<unit>nm</unit>` or `<unit>nanometer</unit>` will do. (Note that the unit name is singular, not plural.) See the *PDS Standards Reference* [2] Section 7, Units, and ask your consulting node if you need help determining the right unit name. The *Small Bodies Node PDS4 Wiki* has a section on Units of Measure at https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu/wiki/Units_of_Measure.

¹² Different attributes are used to describe variable-width records; see the *Information Model* [1] sections on the **Table_Delimited**, **Record_Delimited**, and **Field_Delimited** classes. For more information about tabular data products, see the *Standards Reference* [2] Section 4B, Table Base.

So now the wavelength field has this definition:

```
<Field_Character>
  <name>Wavelength</name>
  <field_number>1</field_number>
  <field_location unit="byte">1</field_location>
  <data_type>ASCII_Real</data_type>
  <field_length unit="byte">9</field_length>
  <unit>nm</unit>
</Field_Character>
```

You may notice another kind of unit specification in the example: `<field_location unit="byte">1</field_location>` and `<field_length unit="byte">9</field_length>`. These attributes refer to the field's starting byte and number of bytes in the record. In this case **byte** is the unit for a label attribute, so it appears as part of the attribute tag, as opposed to **nm**, which is the unit for data in the table.

E.2.2.8 Setting Values for Special Constants

One of the subclasses we left out of the **Field_Character** class is **Special_Constants**. A special constant is a value that may occur in a data product to indicate a special case, such as unknown, invalid, or missing data. Look in the *PDS4 Data Dictionary* [3] under **Special_Constants** to see the complete list. In our example, let's suppose that some of the reflectance values are missing, and that in the table these are given as -999.9 (choosing a value that can't be a real data value). We will put back the **Special_Constants** class in the Reflectance field definition to indicate that a data value of -999.9 means the reflectance at that wavelength is missing.

Looking at the *Data Dictionary* entry for **Field_Character** as we did before for **unit**, we can see that the **Special_Constants** class should come after the **unit** attribute in our label, as we have omitted the intervening optional attributes of **scaling_factor**, **value_offset**, and **description**. Then by clicking on "Special_Constants" in the far right column of the **Field_Character** entry, we go to the definition of Special Constants where the different kinds of constants are listed. The attribute we want is **missing_constant**. Here, then, is our updated `<Field_Character>` tag:

```
<Field_Character>
  <name>Reflectance</name>
  <field_number>2</field_number>
  <field_location unit="byte">10</field_location>
  <data_type>ASCII_Real</data_type>
  <field_length unit="byte">10</field_length>
  <Special_Constants>
    <missing_constant>-999.9</missing_constant>
  </Special_Constants>
</Field_Character>
```

Note that the value that is chosen for a special constant must be the right data type for the field. That is, we chose -999.9 instead of -999 because the field is defined as **ASCII_Real**.

E.2.2.9 The File Tag

Now that our label describes the data file with the `<Table_Character>` tag, let's fill in the remainder of the **File_Area_Observational** area of the label. Just above the `<Table_Character>` tag is the `<File>` tag, where we specify the file name and other characteristics. The only required attribute is **file_name**, but we'll include **creation_date_time** and **records** as well.

```
<File>
  <file_name>Table_Character_Example.tab</file_name>
  <creation_date_time>2017-03-24T12:01</creation_date_time>
  <records>224</records>
</File>
```

The **creation_date_time** must be given in the PDS standard date-time format, as specified in the *Standards Reference* [2] Section 5A.2.

E.2.3 Modifying File_Area_Observational_Supplemental

The **File_Area_Observational_Supplemental** part of the label is used to describe any supplemental data that may accompany a **Product_Observational** data product. For example, an image may be accompanied by a histogram or other statistics. A table may be accompanied by a figure showing the data plotted on a graph. The supplemental data may be in a separate file from the **Product_Observational** data, or it may be included in the same file.

Let's assume our example table of reflectance data comes with a plot of the data in a separate file, a PNG image.

Modifying **File_Area_Observational_Supplemental** is done in much the same way as **File_Area_Observational**. You can follow the same directions in Section E.2.2, deleting the unwanted product types and removing the comment delimiters from the desired product type. In the case of our PNG image, the desired product type is **Encoded_Image**. (Refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix B for help choosing the product type.) Here is a completed `<File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>` tag for our example:

```
<File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>
  <File>
    <file_name>Table_Character_Example_Plot.png</file_name>
  </File>
  <Encoded_Image>
    <offset unit="byte">0</offset>
    <encoding_standard_id>PNG</encoding_standard_id>
    <description>This image is a plot of the reflectance data.</description>
  </Encoded_Image>
</File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>
```

E.2.3.1 Identifying Many Source Products Using A Table

One possible kind of supplemental file is one that lists many source products that went into the making of an observational product. As mentioned in section 6.2.2.2, there are a couple of ways to associate source products with observational products.

If you have only a handful of source products, refer to section E.2.6.1 for information about using the **Source_Product_Internal** and **Source_Product_External** classes within the **Reference_List** class.

If you have a large number of source products, too many to list individually in the label, you can list them in a table in a separate file. This section will describe how you can use the **Table_Delimited_Source_Product_Internal** and **Table_Delimited_Source_Product_External** classes within the **File_Area_Observational_Supplemental** class to refer to a table containing information about many source products. This is similar to the **Table_Character** example shown beginning in section E.2.

Table_Delimited_Source_Product_Internal is used to refer to a table of internal source products. For each source product, you must include (1) its reference type and (2) its LIDVID. Reference type values must be one of the following:

```
'data_to_telemetry_source_product',  
'data_to_raw_source_product',  
'data_to_partially_processed_source_product',  
'data_to_calibrated_source_product',  
'data_to_derived_source_product'
```

For example, a table of internal source products might look like this:

```
data_to_calibrated_source_product, urn:nasa:pds:example:calibrated:example_cal_1::2.0  
data_to_calibrated_source_product, urn:nasa:pds:example:calibrated:example_cal_2::1.0  
data_to_calibrated_source_product, urn:nasa:pds:example:calibrated:example_cal_3::1.0  
data_to_derived_source_product, urn:nasa:pds:example:derived:example_derived_1::1.0  
data_to_derived_source_product, urn:nasa:pds:example:derived:example_derived_2::1.0
```

This table could be represented in a PDS label using the **File_Area_Observational_Supplemental** class as follows:

```
<File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>  
  <File>  
    <file_name>example_source_product_table.csv</file_name>  
  </File>  
  
  <Table_Delimited_Source_Product_Internal>  
    <local_identifier>example_source_product_table</local_identifier>  
    <offset unit="byte">0</offset>  
    <parsing_standard_id>PDS DSV 1</parsing_standard_id>  
    <records>5</records>  
    <record_delimiter>Carriage-Return Line-Feed</record_delimiter>  
    <field_delimiter>Comma</field_delimiter>  
  
    <Record_Delimited>  
      <fields>2</fields>  
      <groups>0</groups>  
      <maximum_record_length unit="byte">259</maximum_record_length>  
  
      <Field_Delimited>  
        <name>Reference Type</name>
```

```

    <field_number>1</field_number>
    <data_type>ASCII_String</data_type>
    <maximum_field_length unit="byte">255</maximum_field_length>
    <description>
        This column specifies the reference_type of the source product.
    </description>
</Field_Delimited>
<Field_Delimited>
    <name>LIDVID_LID</name>
    <field_number>2</field_number>
    <data_type>ASCII_LIDVID_LID</data_type>
    <maximum_field_length unit="byte">255</maximum_field_length>
    <description>
        This column specifies the LIDVID of the source product.
    </description>
</Field_Delimited>
</Record_Delimited>
    <reference_type>table_has_source_products</reference_type>
</Table_Delimited_Source_Product_Internal>
</File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>

```

Table_Delimited_Source_Product_External is used to reference a table of external source products. For each external source product, you must include (1) its reference type, (2) its product identifier, and (3) at least one of DOI or curating facility. Reference type values must be one of the following:

```

'data_to_telemetry_source_product',
'data_to_raw_source_product',
'data_to_partially_processed_source_product',
'data_to_calibrated_source_product',
'data_to_derived_source_product'

```

For example, a table of external source products might look like this:

```

data_to_derived_source_product, GO-J/JSA-SSI-2-REDR-V1.0:go_0017/c3/callisto/c036831/:7400r.img,, JAXA
data_to_derived_source_product, GO-J/JSA-SSI-2-REDR-V1.0:go_0017/c3/callisto/c036831/:7500r.img, 13.4321/2016JE987654322, JAXA

```

This table could be represented in a PDS label using the **File_Area_Observational_Supplemental** class as follows:

```

<File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>
  <File>
    <file_name>example_source_product_table_external.csv</file_name>
  </File>

  <Table_Delimited_Source_Product_External>
    <local_identifier>example_source_product_table_external</local_identifier>
    <offset unit="byte">0</offset>
    <parsing_standard_id>PDS DSV 1</parsing_standard_id>
    <records>2</records>
    <record_delimiter>Carriage-Return Line-Feed</record_delimiter>
    <field_delimiter>Comma</field_delimiter>

    <Record_Delimited>
      <fields>4</fields>
      <groups>0</groups>
      <maximum_record_length unit="byte">259</maximum_record_length>

```

```

<Field_Delimited>
  <name>Reference Type</name>
  <field_number>1</field_number>
  <data_type>ASCII_String</data_type>
  <maximum_field_length unit="byte">255</maximum_field_length>
  <description>
    This column specifies the reference_type of
    the source product.
  </description>
</Field_Delimited>
<Field_Delimited>
  <name>External Source Product Identifier</name>
  <field_number>2</field_number>
  <data_type>ASCII_String</data_type>
  <maximum_field_length unit="byte">255</maximum_field_length>
  <description>
    This column specifies the external product ID of the source
    product.
  </description>
</Field_Delimited>
<Field_Delimited>
  <name>DOI</name>
  <field_number>3</field_number>
  <data_type>ASCII_String</data_type>
  <maximum_field_length unit="byte">255</maximum_field_length>
  <description>
    This column specifies the DOI of the source
    product.
  </description>
</Field_Delimited>
<Field_Delimited>
  <name>Curating Facility</name>
  <field_number>4</field_number>
  <data_type>ASCII_String</data_type>
  <maximum_field_length unit="byte">255</maximum_field_length>
  <description>
    This column specifies the curating facility of
    the source product.
  </description>
</Field_Delimited>
</Record_Delimited>
<reference_type>table_has_source_products</reference_type>
</Table_Delimited_Source_Product_External>
</File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>

```

E.2.4 Modifying Identification_Area

To continue with our example label, let's now open up the <Identification_Area> tag near the top of the label. With the subclasses still closed up, the tag looks like this:

```

<Identification_Area>
  <logical_identifier></logical_identifier>
  <version_id></version_id>
  <title></title>
  <information_model_version></information_model_version>
  <product_class></product_class>
  <Alias_List>
  <Citation_Information>
  <Modification_History>

```

```
</Identification_Area>
```

The first two attributes, **logical_identifier** and **version_id**, are for the LID and VID values that were discussed in Section 5, Assigning Unique Identifiers. The **title** attribute should be assigned a value that applies specifically to this individual data product. The **information_model_version** must match the version of the PDS4 schema specified in the root tag, e.g. 1.9.0.0 for schema PDS4_PDS_1900. The **product_class** must match the root tag, e.g. **Product_Observational**.

The **Alias_List** class allows you to specify one or more aliases (other identifiers) for this product. **Citation_Information** gives information needed to cite the product in scientific publications. Both classes are optional, and we will omit them in our example.

Modification_History provides a way to track versions of the product after it has been archived. It is also optional, but we'll include it here to demonstrate.

So now our example label includes this **Identification_Area**, using a made-up value for the LID:

```
<Identification_Area>
  <logical_identifier>
    urn:nasa:pds:examples:tables:Table_Character_Example
  </logical_identifier>
  <version_id>1.0</version_id>
  <title>Example of an ASCII fixed-width table in the tables collection</title>
  <information_model_version>1.9.0.0</information_model_version>
  <product_class>Product_Observational</product_class>
  <Modification_History>
    <Modification_Detail>
      <modification_date>2017-03-23</modification_date>
      <version_id>1.0</version_id>
      <description>Initial version</description>
    </Modification_Detail>
  </Modification_History>
</Identification_Area>
```

- *Completing the Funding_Acknowledgement Class*

The **Funding_Acknowledgement** class is an optional subclass of the **Citation_Information** class. **Funding_Acknowledgement** provides information about an organization or individual that provided funding support to produce an archive. An example of the **Funding_Acknowledgement** class is shown below.

```
<Funding_Acknowledgement>
  <funding_source>National Aeronautics and Space Administration</funding_source>
  <funding_program_name>Cassini Data Analysis Program</funding_program_name>
  <funding_year>2020</funding_year>
  <funding_award>80NSSC21K0530</funding_award>
  <funding_award_title>
    Global Survey of Individual Water Group Ion Properties in Saturn's
    Magnetosphere: Cassini CAPS Observations
  </funding_award_title>
  <funding_acknowledgement_text>
    The data and documentation included in this bundle were funded by
    the 2020 NASA ROSES CDAP, grant number 80NSSC21K0530.
  </funding_acknowledgement_text>
  <Funder_Identifier>
    <funder_identifier>https://ror.org/027kalx80</funder_identifier>
    <funder_identifier_type>RORID</funder_identifier_type>
```

```
</Funder_Identifier>
</Funding_Acknowledgement>
```

funding_source is a required attribute that identifies the name of an organization or individual that provided financial assistance in the production of the archival data. While this is a UTF-8 free text string, searching this string is easier if all data providers use the same value for an organization. Data providers are encouraged to use the primary name for the organization or individual associated with the **funder_identifier** value. See below for more information on the **Funder_Identifier subclass**.

The remaining attributes, all optional, identify a funding program through which the **funding_source** provided funding. **funding_program_name** is the full name of the program, e.g. “Cassini Data Analysis Program”, “Planetary Data Archiving, Restoration, and Tools”, etc. **funding_year** is the program year when the funding award was proposed and awarded, typically not the same as the performance years. For data not associated with a specific program, it indicates the initial year that the funding was announced or awarded. **funding_award** is a grant number or other identifier assigned by the **funding_source** or **funding_program_name** to the award. **funding_award_title** is the title of the proposal under which the funding was awarded. **funding_acknowledgement_text** provides more information about the funding, including any acknowledgement wording required by the funding organization. **Funder_Identifier**, an optional subclass of **Funding_Acknowledgement**, provides a unique, persistent identifier associated with the funding organization or individual named in the **funding_source** attribute. The required attributes **funder_identifier** and **funder_identifier_type** provide the unique identifier and the type of identifier (e.g. “RORID”, “ORCID”, etc.). If the funding source has no such identifier, the **Funder_Identifier** subclass should be omitted. As noted above, the **funding_source** value should be the primary name listed for the funding source by the organization that issued the unique identifier. The **Funder_Identifier** class includes an optional **comment** attribute. This may be used to identify the organization which issued the **funder_identifier** when the **funder_identifier_type** value is “Other”.

E.2.5 Modifying Observation_Area

There’s a lot going on in this part of the label. **Observation_Area** is where you’ll find most of the detailed meta-data about the product. Let’s start by opening the `<Observation_Area>` tag but leaving the subclasses closed so that we can get a high-level view.

```
<Observation_Area>
  <comment></comment>
  <Time_Coordinates>
  <Primary_Result_Summary>
  <Investigation_Area>
  <Observing_System>
  <Target_Identification>
  <Mission_Area>
  <Discipline_Area>
</Observation_Area>
```

Remember that this is an exercise in label editing, not a comprehensive guide to the appropriate use of every class and attribute. We'll be skipping most of the optional classes and attributes here, and calling attention to some that you're most likely to need help with. In particular, we'll omit the optional attribute **comment** and the optional class **Primary_Result_Summary**.

E.2.5.1 How to Specify a Nil Value

The **Time_Coordinates** class in the **Observation_Area** is required. It has to include the **start_date_time** and **stop_date_time** attributes, although these can be left blank if they are not available. A value left blank is called a *nil* value. There are two things to remember about using nil values:

1. An attribute can have a nil value only if its definition allows.
2. You have to give a reason why the value is nil.

Checking the definition of **start_date_time** (by right-clicking on the tag in the editor) shows that it is nillable:

```
<xs:element name="start_date_time" nillable="true" type="pds:start_date_time"
minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"> </xs:element>
```

When inserting a label attribute with a nil value, use a statement like this to specify the reason:

```
<start_date_time xsi:nil="true" nilReason="inapplicable"></start_date_time>
```

The allowed values for nilReason are:

- inapplicable
- anticipated
- missing
- unknown

Let's assume that the data product in our example has a start time, but typically stop times are not recorded for these observations. So we'll say that **stop_date_time** is nil because it is inapplicable. Our `<Time_Coordinates>` tag now looks like this:

```
<Time_Coordinates>
  <start_date_time>2016-04-19T12:01:33Z</start_date_time>
  <stop_date_time xsi:nil="true" nilReason="inapplicable"></stop_date_time>
</Time_Coordinates>
```

E.2.5.2 Investigation Area and Observing System (or What Context Product LIDs Are For)

The `<Investigation Area>` tag records information about the mission or other coordinated data collection project for which the data product was acquired. The `<Observing_System>` tag records information about the instrument(s) that acquired the data product. These are not places to

describe the project and instrumentation in detail. They simply identify the project and instruments and point to other resources where more information can be found. The other resources may be external to PDS in the form of published documents, or they may be internal to PDS in the form of instrument context products, as discussed in Section 9.

Here are the `<Investigation_Area>` and `<Observing_System>` tags for our example product. We'll assume the data collection was done by an individual investigator, and a single instrument component was used to acquire the data. The context product LIDs in this example are made up.

```
<Investigation_Area>
  <name>Spectral Properties of Planetary Glasses</name>
  <type>Individual Investigation</type>
  <Internal_Reference>
    <lid_reference>
      urn:nasa:pds:investigator_spectra:document:about_this_archive
    </lid_reference>
    <reference_type>data_to_investigation</reference_type>
  </Internal_Reference>
</Investigation_Area>
<Observing_System>
  <name>RELAB</name>
  <description>Brown University Reflectance Spectroscopy Laboratory
</description>
  <Observing_System_Component>
    <name>RELAB Bidirectional Reflectance Spectrometer</name>
    <type>Instrument</type>
    <Internal_Reference>
      <lid_reference>urn:nasa:pds:instrument:bdrs.relab</lid_reference>
      <reference_type>is_instrument</reference_type>
    </Internal_Reference>
  </Observing_System_Component>
</Observing_System>
```

E.2.5.3 Target_Identification

The `<Target_Identification>` tag is required. It gives the name and type of the target of the observation, along with an optional description and internal reference. The target can be a planetary body such as a planet, satellite, or asteroid, or a laboratory sample, or many other things. See the complete list of target types in the *Data Dictionary* [3] by searching for “type in Target_Identification”. In our example the target is a synthetically generated laboratory sample.

Here is our `<Target_Identification>` tag.

```
<Target_Identification>
  <name>ALK-2</name>
  <type>Synthetic Sample</type>
</Target_Identification>
```

We have omitted the `<Internal_Reference>` tag because this laboratory sample does not have a PDS context product that we can refer to. If the target of the observation had been a planet, say Mars for example, then the `<Target_Identification>` tag would include the optional `<Internal_Reference>` tag with the LID for the Mars context product, like this.

```
<Target_Identification>
  <name>Mars</name>
  <type>Planet</type>
```

```

    <Internal_Reference>
      <lid_reference>urn:nasa:pds:context:target:planet.mars</lid_reference>
      <reference_type>data_to_target</reference_type>
    </Internal_Reference>
  </Target_Identification>

```

E.2.5.4 Mission_Area and Discipline_Area are for Local Data Dictionaries

Local data dictionaries were introduced in Section 6.3. Depending on your data products, you may not need to use any of the existing Local Data Dictionaries, much less create a new one, but if you do, this is the place in the label where those dictionary elements – classes and attributes – are placed. In particular, elements from a mission data dictionary go into the Mission_Area and elements from any other Local Data Dictionaries go into the Discipline_Area.

The creation and use of Local Data Dictionaries is a broad topic that is not covered in this handbook; see the relevant tutorials on the Small Bodies Node PDS4 Wiki (<https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu>). For the purpose of this label-editing demonstration we will omit Local Data Dictionaries from our example. This completes the modification of the Observation_Area part of the label.

E.2.6 Modifying Reference_List

The final top-level tag to modify in our example is <Reference_List>. It is optional, but we'll include it for demonstration. Here is how it looks fully expanded.

```

  <Reference_List>
    <Internal_Reference>
      <lid_reference></lid_reference>
<!--
      <lidvid_reference></lidvid_reference>-->
      <reference_type></reference_type>
      <comment></comment>
    </Internal_Reference>
    <External_Reference>
      <doi></doi>
      <reference_text></reference_text>
      <description></description>
    </External_Reference>
    <Source_Product_Internal>
      <lidvid_reference></lidvid_reference>
      <reference_type></reference_type>
      <comment></comment>
    </Source_Product_Internal>
    <Source_Product_External>
      <external_source_product_identifier></external_source_product_identifier>
      <reference_type></reference_type>
      <doi></doi>
      <curating_facility></curating_facility>
      <description></description>
    </Source_Product_External>
  </Reference_List>

```

The <Reference_List> tag can contain any number of <Internal_Reference>, <External_Reference>, <Source_Product_Internal>, and/or <Source_Product_External> tags. Internal references are LIDs or LIDVIDs for resources

within PDS. (Notice that `<lidvid_reference>` is enclosed in comment delimiters. Either `<lid_reference>` or `<lidvid_reference>` is required, but not both.) External references lead to resources outside of PDS. See Section 8, Documenting the Archive.

We'll include a made-up external reference in our example label.

```
<Reference_List>
  <External_Reference>
    <doi>10.1002/2016JE987654321</doi>
    <reference_text>Investigator, J.O., 2017, Spectral Properties
      of Laboratory-Synthesized Glasses, Journal of Geophysical
      Research - Planets, doi:10.1002/2016JE987654321</reference_text>
  </External_Reference>
</Reference_List>
```

This completes the body of our example label. Both the original draft and the completed version can be found in the *DPH Example Products* at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>. The next section shows how to modify the XML prolog and the root tag, which are important for validating the label.

E.2.6.1 Identifying Source Products

Let's take a closer look at **Source_Product_Internal** and **Source_Product_External**. These classes are optional members of the **Reference_List** class, and are used to refer to one or more source products. A source product is one which is used as input data for the creation of a new product.

The **Source_Product_Internal** class is used to refer to source products in the PDS registry system, while the **Source_Product_External** class is used to refer to source products outside the PDS4 registry system in either a legacy PDS archive (e.g. PDS3, PDS2, NSSDC) or a non-PDS data archive (e.g. JSC, SDDPT).

E.2.6.1.1 Source_Product_Internal

The **Source_Product_Internal** class must contain one or more **lidvid_reference** attributes and exactly one **reference_type** attribute. It may optionally contain a **comment** attribute.

The value of `<reference_type>` must be one of the following:

`data_to_raw_source_product`, `data_to_partially_processed_source_product`, `data_to_calibrated_source_product`, or `data_to_derived_source_product`.

Let's put this all together into an example that identifies two raw source products that were used to create our data product:

```
<Reference_List>
  <Source_Product_Internal>
    <lidvid_reference>
      urn:nasa:pds:insight_cameras:data:d00110004_596874381edr_f0101_0060m::1.0
    </lidvid_reference>
    <lidvid_reference>
      urn:nasa:pds:insight_cameras:data:d00210004_596874610edr_f0101_0060m::1.0
    </lidvid_reference>
    <reference_type>data_to_raw_source_product</reference_type>
    <comment>Reference pointer to the raw source product</comment>
```

```
</Source_Product_Internal>  
<Reference_List>
```

E.2.6.1.2 Source_Product_External

The **Source_Product_External** class must contain one or more **external_source_product_identifier** attributes and exactly one **reference_type** attribute. It must also contain either the **doi** attribute, the **curating_facility** attribute, or both. It may optionally contain the **description** attribute.

All source products listed within a single **Source_Product_External** class must correspond to the same DOI and/or curating facility.

The **external_source_product_identifier** attribute is a unique identifier for a non-PDS4 source product.

For a legacy PDS archive, this identifier would, ideally, be constructed using the format **DATA_SET_ID:PRODUCT_ID**. This is the closest equivalent to the PDS4 logical identifier.

Here's an example of how we'd construct this identifier for an MSL source product:

```
MSL-M-NAVCAM-2-EDR-V1.0:NLB_542040434EDR_F0611332NCAM00375M1
```

However, if the **PRODUCT_ID** is not unique within the legacy data set, then more information will need to be provided in the identifier, delimited by colons, until a unique identifier has been formed. For example, **DATA_SET_ID:PRODUCT_ID:FILE_NAME** or **DATA_SET_ID:VOLUME_ROOT:DIRECTORY_PATH:PRODUCT_ID**.

Let's examine a specific example of an identifier constructed in this way, for a Galileo product that does not have a unique **PRODUCT_ID**.

Using these legacy PDS archive keywords and values:

```
DATA_SET_ID: GO-J/JSA-SSI-2-REDR-V1.0
```

```
DIRECTORY_PATH: go_0017/c3/callisto/c036831/
```

```
FILE_NAME: 7400r.img
```

the resulting **external_source_product_identifier** would be:

```
GO-J/JSA-SSI-2-REDR-V1.0:go_0017/c3/callisto/c036831/:7400r.img.
```

For a non-PDS data archive, the **external_source_product_identifier** should be constructed in a similar format using a unique data set identifier and product identifier, where possible, and adding additional information until the identifier is unique within the data set. If unclear, contact PDS node personnel for assistance with generating this identifier.

The **curating_facility** attribute provides the name or identifier of the curating facility or PDS node which is currently maintaining the data set or volume and which is responsible for maintaining catalog information.

Let's put this all together into a simple **Source_Product_External** example, using our MSL product identifier from above.

```
<Reference_List>  
<Source_Product_External>
```

```

    <external_source_product_identifier>
      MSL-M-NAVCAM-2-EDR-V1.0:NLB_542035319EDR_F0611332NCAM00276M1
    </external_source_product_identifier>
    <reference_type>data_to_raw_source_product</reference_type>
    <curating_facility>IMG</curating_facility>
    <description>Reference pointer to MSL EDR source product</description>
  </Source_Product_External>
</Reference_List>

```

E.3 Editing the XML Prolog and Root Tag

This section addresses how the XML prolog and root tag of an XML label are formed. Both are always found at the beginning of a PDS4 XML label. Here again is our example label with all tags below the root tag closed up.

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<Product_Observational xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
file:/Z:/stage/pds4/schema/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd">
  <Identification_Area>
  <Observation_Area>
  <Reference_List>
  <File_Area_Observational>
  <File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>
</Product_Observational>

```

E.3.1 XML Declaration Statement

The first XML tag in our label declares the version and encoding of the XML document; it is required, and is created automatically from the PDS4 common schema (PDS4_PDS_nnnn.xsd), if the label has been generated by an XML-aware editor. Typically, it looks like this:

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8">

```

E.3.2 Schematron References

Now we have to add an XML tag manually to tell the label-reading software how to locate the PDS4 common Schematron file. Enter this just after the first XML tag.

```

<?xml-model href="https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.sch"
schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?>

```

The `href` XML attribute points to the PDS4 common Schematron file (the `.sch` file). Use the latest released version of the Schematron file unless you have a particular reason not to.

If the label will use any Local Data Dictionaries (LDDs), then their associated Schematron files must be referenced using similar notation. These XML tags must be added immediately after the common Schematron XML tag. Our example label for a reflectance spectrum does not use any LDDs, but suppose we were labeling an image data product and we needed to use classes and attributes from the PDS Cartography and Imaging Sciences Node Local Data Dictionary. In that case we would add a third XML tag to specify the Schematron file for that LDD:

```

<?xml-model href="https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/img/v1/PDS4_IMG_1100.sch"
schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?>

```

All currently available PDS4 schemas and Schematron files can be found online at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/schema/released/>.

Another resource for information on the XML Prolog is https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu/wiki/Anatomy_of_the_XML_Prolog at the PDS Small Bodies Node.

Now that we have added the location of the PDS4 common Schematron rules, the XML editor will apply the rules to the label. If any of the rules are not being followed, the editor will flag this part of the label as an error. In the case of our example label, notice that after adding the `xml-model` tag for the PDS common Schematron, the green box in the oXygen editor changes to red, and another red mark in the right margin shows the location of the error. Clicking on that red mark highlights the `<Identification_Area>` tag, and this error message appears.

E [ISO Schematron] The value of the attribute `logical_identifier` must only contain lower-case letters

This is a PDS4 Schematron rule. We can correct the error by changing

```
<logical_identifier>  
  urn:nasa:pds:examples:tables:Table_Character_Example</logical_identifier>
```

to

```
<logical_identifier>  
  urn:nasa:pds:examples:tables:table_character_example</logical_identifier>
```

With this change the error message disappears and the box color changes back to green.

E.3.3 Local File References and Catalog Files

Both of the above Schematron references point to an online location where the Schematron file is found. It is possible to point to a file on your local system instead. Reasons why you might want to do that include:

1. You are working offline.
2. You want to use a development version of a Schematron file that is available locally but not yet online.
3. Your XML-aware editor does not recognize an online reference.¹³

In the end, when you deliver your labels to PDS they must use online references to both schemas and Schematron files. It would be cumbersome to edit every label each time you switched back and forth from local to online access during your label development. To get around this, an XML *catalog file* may be used to map the permanent location listed in the label to a working location on your file system. A catalog file is used only by an XML-aware editor when opening an XML file; the catalog file is not part of the PDS archive. Both oXygen and Eclipse editors support XML catalog files. See Appendix H for instructions on setting up a catalog file.

¹³ The oXygen editor does recognize online references. Some versions of the Eclipse editor do not.

E.3.4 Product Type and Namespaces in the Root Tag

The next statement in the label is the root tag for **Product_Observational**.

```
<Product_Observational xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
file:/Z:/stage/pds4/schema/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd">
```

This statement was generated automatically by oXygen when it was created using the procedure in Section D.3. In step 2.e, **Product_Observational** was selected as the root element.

Inside this `<Product_Observational>` tag are two namespace references, which begin with `xmlns`, and one schema location reference, which begins with `xsi:schemaLocation`.

The reference `xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"` specifies the default namespace for the label, the PDS namespace. All PDS4 labels should have the default namespace set in this way.

If we needed to include any Local Data Dictionary components in our label, we would add a reference for each LDD namespace after the PDS namespace. (Only namespaces that are registered in the Namespace Registry may be used in PDS4 labels (<https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/schema/pds-namespace-registry.pdf>.) For example, if we were using the Cartography and Imaging Sciences Node Local Data Dictionary, we would add the **img** namespace, and the root tag would then look like this:

```
<Product_Observational xmlns=https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
xmlns:img="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/img/v1"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
file:/Z:/stage/pds4/schema/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd">
```

The next namespace reference, `xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"`, specifies the XML Schema Instance namespace **xsi**, which helps the label reading software interpret the schemas used in the label. This is a bit of boilerplate that should be present in every PDS4 XML label.

E.3.5 Schema Locations in the Root Tag

The reference

```
xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
file:/Z:/stage/pds4/schema/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd"
```

tells label-reading software where to look for the PDS4 common schema. The value of `xsi:schemaLocation` is always given as one or more pairs of character strings. The first string in the pair is a namespace – in this case, the PDS4 common namespace. The second string is the location of the schema file (the `.xsd` file) for that namespace. Notice that our label was created with a local file reference for the schema file (starting with `file:`). We could leave it that way for now, but let's change the local reference to the permanent online location of the PDS4 schema file. (The discussion in Section E.3.3 above about using an XML catalog file to tell your editor where to look for Schematron files applies to schema files as well.) Now we have

```
xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd"
```

The `xsi:schemaLocation` value may have several namespace-schema pairs, one pair for the PDS4 common schema and one pair for each Local Data Dictionary used in the label. If our label needed to use the Image Node Local Data Dictionary, we would include the **img** namespace and its location, like this:

```
xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd
http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/img/v1
https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_IMG_1100.xsd"
```

Notice there is no punctuation between namespace names and schema file names, only white space. The white space can be arranged to make the pairs easier to read, as in the above example.

E.3.5.1 Use `http` or `https`?

You may have noticed the use of both `http` and `https` in the above examples. It's confusing because the actual *name* of a namespace looks very much like the URI of a file location. In the above example,

```
http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
```

is the fully-qualified name of the PDS4 common namespace, and

```
https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4\_PDS\_1700.xsd
```

is the location of the schema file for the namespace, an actual URI. Namespace names in PDS generally start with `http`. File location URIs may start with either `http` or `https` depending on the server providing the file. File locations under `pds.nasa.gov` always start with `https`.

E.3.6 The End Tag

Finally, don't forget to end the `<Product_Observational>` tag with its right angle bracket after the final schema location value, and end the entire label with the matching closing tag `</Product_Observational>`. Our example label now looks like this, with all but the root tag closed up.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<?xml-model href="https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.sch"
schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?>
<Product_Observational xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd">
  <Identification_Area>
  <Observation_Area>
  <Reference_List>
  <File_Area_Observational>
  <File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>
</Product_Observational>
```

Both the original draft of the example label (**Table_Character_draft1.xml**) and the completed version (**Table_Character_Example**) can be found in the *DPH Examples* at <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>.

E.4 Turning a Label into a Label Template

Now that our example reflectance spectrum label is complete and valid based on the PDS4 schema and Schematron, we will use it as the basis for a label template.

Going through the label we can identify the attributes that we expect to have different values from one reflectance spectrum data file to the next. They are:

- `<logical_identifier>`
- `<title>`
- `<modification_date>`
- `<start_date_time>`
- `<name>` in `<Target_Identification>`
- `<type>` in `<Target_Identification>`
- `<file_name>` in `<File_Area_Observational>`
- `<file_name>` in `<File_Area_Observational_Supplemental>`
- `<creation_date_time>`
- `<records>` in `<File>`
- `<records>` in `<Table_Character>`

For each of these we will replace the value currently in the label with a placeholder value that has the name of the field delimited by character strings that software will recognize. For example, we'll replace

```
<start_date_time>2016-04-19T12:01:33Z</start_date_time>
```

with

```
<start_date_time>${start_date_time}</start_date_time>.
```

A note on placeholders: the way you represent a placeholder in your label template will depend on the requirements of the software that is going to read it. We chose the delimiters `${` and `}`, but there is no single correct way to do it. If you're planning to use an existing label generation tool, find out its requirements for placeholders. If you're writing your own label generation software you're free to choose a placeholder syntax. Be aware that inserting placeholders instead of actual values may cause your label template to appear invalid in the XML-aware editor. For instance, when we substitute the string `${start_date_time}` in our example label, the editor will show this as a validation error because "`${start_date_time}`" is not a valid date-time value.

The completed label template can be found in the *DPH Examples* as:

Table_Character_Example_template.xml.

Appendix F Using Local_Internal_Reference and local_identifier

The **Local_Internal_Reference** class and **local_identifier** attribute allow one part of a label to refer to an object described in another part of the same label. (This is not to be confused with the **Internal_Reference** class discussed in Section 8.3.) Many **Product_Observational** subclasses include the optional attribute **local_identifier**, which may be set to any name you choose, with the intent of using that name in another part of the label that needs to refer to the product. (The term “local” here means local within the label.)

A common use of **Local_Internal_Reference** and **local_identifier** is in the Display Local Data Dictionary. For example, if you have an image product described as an **Array_2D_Image**, you need to indicate how the image should be displayed. The Display LDD includes the **Display_Settings** class for this purpose. The relevant parts of the image label might look like the excerpt below.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<?xml-model href="https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.sch"
schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?>
<?xml-model href="https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/disp/v1/PDS4_DISP_1700.sch"
schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?>

<Product_Observational xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
  xmlns:disp="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/disp/v1"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="
    http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
    https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd
    http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/disp/v1
    https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/disp/v1/PDS4_DISP_1700.xsd
  ...
  <Observation_Area>
  ...
    <Discipline_Area>
      <disp:Display_Settings>
        <Local_Internal_Reference>
          <local_identifier_reference>
            Image_XYZ</local_identifier_reference>
          <local_reference_type>
            display_settings_to_array</local_reference_type>
        </Local_Internal_Reference>
        <disp:Display_Direction>
          <disp:horizontal_display_axis>
            Sample</disp:horizontal_display_axis>
          <disp:horizontal_display_direction>
            Left to Right</disp:horizontal_display_direction>
          <disp:vertical_display_axis>
            Line</disp:vertical_display_axis>
          <disp:vertical_display_direction>
            Top to Bottom</disp:vertical_display_direction>
        </disp:Display_Direction>
      </disp:Display_Settings>
    ...
  </Discipline_Area>
</Observation_Area>
<File_Area_Observational>
...
  <Array_2D_Image>
```

```

<name>Example Image</name>
<local_identifier>Image_XYZ</local_identifier>
<offset unit="byte">0</offset>
<axes>2</axes>
<axis_index_order>Last Index Fastest</axis_index_order>
<Element_Array>
  <data_type>IEEE754LSBSingle</data_type>
  <unit>degree</unit>
</Element_Array>
<Axis_Array>
  <axis_name>Line</axis_name>
  <elements>3387</elements>
  <sequence_number>1</sequence_number>
</Axis_Array>
<Axis_Array>
  <axis_name>Sample</axis_name>
  <elements>3387</elements>
  <sequence_number>2</sequence_number>
</Axis_Array>
</Array_2D_Image>
</File_Area_Observational>
</Product_Observational>

```

The Display LDD (namespace **disp**) is specified in the XML prolog and the `<Product Observational>` root tag, and its classes and attributes are used in the `<Discipline_Area>` tag. The image is described in the `<Array_2D_Image>` tag. Notice that the image’s **local_identifier** attribute is set to “Image_XYZ”, and that this value also appears in the **local_identifier_reference** attribute of the **Local_Internal_Reference** class inside the **disp:Display_Settings** class, as highlighted in red text. In English, this means the display settings of samples left to right, lines top to bottom apply to the image identified in the label as “Image_XYZ”. This is a simple example. Imagine a product consisting of multiple images that might require different display settings; using local identifiers it is possible to specify different settings for the different images.

The name chosen for `local_identifier` and `local_identifier_reference` may be composed using upper or lower case letters, numerals, the dash, period, and underscore. Spaces are not allowed. The name must start with a letter or underscore (*SR* [2] Section 6D.1).

Local_Internal_Reference has two required attributes, **local_identifier_reference** and **local_reference_type** (and an optional **comment** attribute). The first is the value of a **local_identifier** that appears somewhere else in the label. The second is the type of reference, in this example “display_settings_to_array”. Values for **local_reference_type** should be defined by the Schematron rules for the LDD.

Appendix G Counting Fields and Groups in a Nested Structure

Section E.2.2.5 of Appendix E shows how to repeat a class or attribute in a label, using **Field_Character** in a table as an example. It mentions that tables may have groups of fields that repeat, using the **Group_Field_Character**, **Group_Field_Delimited**, or **Group_Field_Binary** classes. In these cases it can be confusing to know how to count the byte offsets for fields and groups in order to enter the correct values for the **field_location** and **field_length** attributes. This appendix explains how to do that.

In a table with fixed-width columns (**Table_Character** or **Table_Binary**) it is possible to have a group of fields (i.e., columns) that repeats. It is also possible for a group to contain another group. With a nested structure like this it can be hard to figure out what to put in the label for the attributes **field_location** (starting byte of a field) and **group_location** (starting byte of a group) for each field in the table.

Figure G-1 illustrates how to count the bytes in a label for a table with nested groups. For simplicity, we have assumed that every field has length 4 bytes and that the **Group_Field_Z1** begins at **group_location** 1. The bullets below show the number of fields in the various groups; multiplication by 4 gives the number of bytes. Rather than numbers, the content of each XML element is given as an arithmetic expression for **field_location**, **field_length**, **group_location**, and **group_length**.

- **Group_Field_Z1** has J fields plus B1 plus B2 repeated L times
= $\{J + B1 + B2\} * L$ total fields
= $\{J + W * M + [N + (Q + T * V) * U] * S\} * L$ total fields
- **Group_Field_B1** has W fields repeated M times
= $W * M$ total fields
- **Group_Field_B2** has N fields plus E1 repeated S times
= $[N + E1] * S$ total fields
= $[N + (Q + T * V) * U] * S$ total fields
- **Group_Field_E1** has Q fields plus G1 repeated U times
= $(Q + G1) * U$ total fields
= $(Q + T * V) * U$ total fields
- **Group_Field_G1** has T fields repeated V times

= T*V total fields

Note that the minimum value for <fields> is “0” and the sum <fields> + <groups> must be at least 1.

```

<Group_Field_Z1>
  <group_length>L{4J+4MW+[4N+4(VT+4Q)U]S}</group_length>
  <group_location>1</group_location>
  <fields>J</fields>
  <groups>2</groups>

  <Field_A1>
    <field_length>4</field_length>
    <field_location>1</field_location>
  </Field_A1>

  <Field_A2>
    <field_length>4</field_length>
    <field_location>5</field_location>
  </Field_A2>

  ...

  <Field_AJ>
    <field_length>4</field_length>
    <field_location>4(J-1)+1</field_location>
  </Field_AJ>

```

```

<Group_Field_B1>
  <group_length>4MW</group_length>
  <group_location>4J+1</group_location>
  <fields>W</fields>
  <groups>0</groups>

  <Field_C1>
    <field_length>4</field_length>
    <field_location>1</field_location>
  </Field_C1>

  <Field_C2>
    <field_length>4</field_length>
    <field_location>5</field_location>
  </Field_C2>

  ...

  <Field_CW>
    <field_length>4</field_length>
    <field_location>4(W-1)+1</field_location>
  </Field_CW>

  <repetitions>M</repetitions>
</Group_Field_B1>

```

```

<Group_Field_B2>
  <group_length>[4N+4(VT+4Q)U]S</group_length>
  <group_location>4J+4MW+1</group_location>
  <fields>N</fields>
  <groups>1</groups>

  <Field_D1>
    <field_length>4</field_length>
    <field_location>1</field_location>
  </Field_D1>

  <Field_D2>
    <field_length>4</field_length>
    <field_location>5</field_location>
  </Field_D2>

  ...

  <Field_DN>
    <field_length>4</field_length>

```

```

        <field_location>4(N-1)+1</field_location>
    </Field_DN>
    <Group_Field_E1>
        <group_length>(4VT+4Q)U</group_length>
        <group_location>4N+1</group_location>
        <fields>Q</fields>
        <groups>1</groups>
        <Field_F1>
            <field_length>4</field_length>
            <field_location>1</field_location>
        </Field_F1>
        <Field_F2>
            <field_length>4</field_length>
            <field_location>5</field_location>
        </Field_F2>
            ...
        <Field_FQ>
            <field_length>4</field_length>
            <field_location>4(Q-1)+1</field_location>
        </Field_FQ>
        <Group_Field_G1>
            <group_length>4VT</group_length>
            <group_location>4Q+1</group_location>
            <fields>T</fields>
            <groups>0</groups>
            <Field_H1>
                <field_length>4</field_length>
                <field_location>1</field_location>
            </Field_H1>
            <Field_H2>
                <field_length>4</field_length>
                <field_location>5</field_location>
            </Field_H2>
                ...
            <Field_HT>
                <field_length>4</field_length>
                <field_location>4(T-1)+1</field_location>
            </Field_HT>
            <repetitions>V</repetitions>
        <Group_Field_G1>
            <repetitions>U</repetitions>
    </Group_Field_E1>
    <repetitions>S</repetitions>
</Group_Field_B2>
<repetitions>L</repetitions>
</Group_Field_Z1>

```

Figure G-12. Nested Groups and Fields in a Table Label

Appendix H Using XML Catalog Files to Locate Schema

As discussed in Section E.3, the **xsi:schemaLocation** attribute of the root tag contains pairs of values where the first value is a namespace and the second is the location of the XML schema for that namespace. For example:

```
xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd">
```

The location can be the path and file name of a schema on your local file system, or it can be a URI that leads to the schema on another system. The final, archived version of the label must use the official URIs for the PDS4 common schema and other schemas; no references to local files are permitted. But there are times during label development when you might want to use a local version of a schema; perhaps you are using the schema for a new LDD that is not yet published at PDS, or perhaps you are working offline. An XML catalog file provides a way for you to use a local schema even when your label specifies an official URI. These catalog files are used by some XML-aware editors, including oXygen and Eclipse, to translate the schema location specified in the label to a different location specified in the catalog file.

Here is an example of an XML catalog file that causes the editor to use local copies of the PDS common schema and Schematron files instead of the ones online at PDS.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<catalog xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:entity:xmlns:xml:catalog">
  <uri name="https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd"
      uri="file:///Z:/stage/pds4/schema/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd"/>
  <uri name="https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.sch"
      uri="file:///Z:/stage/pds4/schema/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.sch"/>
</catalog>
```

When this catalog file has been registered in the XML-aware editor¹⁴, wherever the editor sees the schema location `https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd` in the label, it will substitute the schema location

`file:///Z:/stage/pds4/schema/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd`. It will do the same for the location of the Schematron file

`file:///Z:/stage/pds4/schema/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.sch`.

Here is an even simpler method.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<catalog xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:entity:xmlns:xml:catalog">
  <rewriteURI uriStartString="https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4"
             rewritePrefix="file:///Z:/stage/pds4/schema"/>
</catalog>
```

With this catalog file, the editor will substitute the string `file:///Z:/stage/pds4/schema` where it finds the string `https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4` in the label.

¹⁴ In oXygen, select Options -> Preferences -> XML Catalog -> Add, and browse to the location of your catalog file.

An XML catalog file may contain multiple `uri` and/or `rewrite` tags. When the editor is processing the file, it will stop at the first statement that matches, so they should be arranged in order from specific to general.

There are other ways to use catalog files to redirect the editor, but these are two of the most common. See the PDS Small Bodies Node page *Understanding XML Catalog Files* at https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu/wiki/Understanding_XML_Catalog_Files for a fuller explanation.

Once the XML catalog file has been created, it will need to be registered with the XML-aware editor that you are using. (Note that not all XML-aware editors accommodate catalog files, and the ones that do may interact with them differently.) Once registered, you will also need to ensure that the XML catalog file is being referenced by your XML product labels, possibly by re-validating the product label, or closing and re-opening the label, or closing and re-opening the editor.

Remember that XML catalog files are only for use by your XML-aware editor when examining XML labels. They are not part of a PDS archive.

Appendix I Using Schematron Rules to Help Validate Labels

This appendix introduces the use of Schematron rules to help validate PDS4 labels. Section 11.1, Label Validation, refers to this appendix. Using Schematron rules is a rather advanced skill, so if you are new to this topic, ask for help from your consulting node.

In the field of markup languages, **Schematron** is a rule-based validation language for making assertions about the presence or absence of patterns in XML trees. It is a structural schema language expressed in XML using a small number of XML elements and XPath, which is a syntax for expressing the path to elements in an XML document (<https://www.w3.org/TR/xpath-30/>).

Schematron is capable of expressing constraints in ways that XML Schema cannot. For example, it can require that the content of an XML element be controlled by one of its siblings. Or it can require that the root element, regardless of what element that is, have specific attributes.

Constraints and content rules may be associated with plain English validation error messages, allowing translation of numeric Schematron error codes into meaningful user error messages.

One possible use of Schematron is to ensure that an optional class or attribute is included in the label. You can do this by adding a rule to a Schematron file. For example, the optional attribute **description** was omitted from the **Field_Character** classes in the label exercise in Appendix E. If you wanted to ensure that **description** was always included in **Field_Character** in your labels, you could create a Schematron rule, such as the one below, that would generate an error message if **description** were missing. In the example, the text in the `<sch:assert>` tag is the error message that would be displayed.

You would need to work with your consulting node to add this constraint to a Local Data Dictionary Schematron file, since you may not modify the PDS4 common Schematron file.

```
<sch:pattern>
  <sch:rule context="//pds:Field_Character">
    <sch:assert test="pds:description">
      The description in Field_Character must exist.
    </sch:assert>
  </sch:rule>
</sch:pattern>
```

The following are good sources of additional information:

- Roger Costello has posted a good set of introductory tutorials. There is no need to run through all of the tutorials. But “Two Types of XML Validation”, “Usage and Features”, and “Overview” will set the stage for what Schematron is.
 - <http://www.xfront.com/schematron/index.html>
- Miloslav Nic has posted a great set of starter examples and how-to information at:
 - <http://zvon.org/xxl/SchematronTutorial/General/toc.html>

Appendix J Forming Logical Identifiers (LIDs) for Context Products

This appendix gives rules for forming unique Logical Identifiers (LIDs) for new PDS context products. See Section 5 for a discussion of LIDs and Section 9 for information about context products. Like all PDS products, context products must be assigned identifiers that are unique across PDS. If the data products you are providing are related to instruments, spacecraft, missions, etc., that do not already have PDS context products, then you will need to provide them. Your consulting discipline node will help determine what context products are needed. New context products for instruments will require the help of the discipline node to select the correct value for **instrument_type**.

A context product LID has the form **urn:nasa:pds:context:[1]:[2].[3]**. This follows the form for regular LIDs, **bundle:collection:product**. The bundle identifier is **context**. Field [1] is the collection identifier, and fields [2] and [3] form the product identifier.

Use Table J-1 to choose values for fields [1], [2], and [3] for each context product you will create. To guarantee that the LIDs are unique, you or your consulting node should send them to the PDS Engineering Node data engineer who is responsible for approving new LIDs. The data engineer will either approve your choices or suggest better ones.

Notes:

Text in *italics* indicates an actual value to be used exactly as shown.

The delimiter between fields [2] and [3] is a period, not a colon.

Examples are shown with spaces between fields for clarity. Actual LIDs do not have any spaces.

Table J-1. LIDs for Context Products

urn : nasa : pds : context : [1] : [2] . [3]

| Type of Context Product | Field [1] | Field [2] | Field [3] |
|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------|
| Investigation | <i>investigation</i> | Type of investigation, one of: <i>mission</i> <i>observing_campaign</i> <i>field_campaign</i> <i>individual</i> <i>other</i> | Investigation name |
| Example: | <i>urn : nasa : pds : context : investigation : mission . osiris-rex</i> (OSIRIS-REx asteroid sample return mission) | | |
| Instrument Host | <i>instrument_host</i> | Instrument Host type, one of: <i>lander</i> <i>rover</i> <i>spacecraft</i> <i>aircraft</i> <i>balloon</i> <i>suborbital</i> | Instrument host name |
| Example: | <i>urn : nasa : pds : context : instrument_host : spacecraft . osiris-rex</i> (OSIRIS-REx spacecraft) | | |
| Facility | <i>facility</i> | Facility type, one of: <i>laboratory</i> <i>observatory</i> | Facility name |
| Example: | <i>urn : nasa : pds : context : facility : observatory . mcdonald</i> (McDonald Observatory) | | |

| Type of Context Product | Field [1] | Field [2] | Field [3] |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Telescope | <i>telescope</i> | Host of telescope | Name of telescope |
| Example: | <i>urn : nasa : pds : context : telescope : bopps . sto</i> (Stratospheric Terahertz Observatory telescope on the Balloon Observation Platform for Planetary Science [BOPPS]) | | |
| Instrument | <i>instrument</i> | Instrument host (spacecraft, facility, or telescope ID, or <i>multi-host</i> or <i>no-host</i>) | Instrument ID |
| Examples: | <i>urn : nasa : pds : context : instrument : kaguya . grs</i> (GRS instrument on Kaguya spacecraft) <i>urn : nasa : pds : context : instrument : relab . bd-vnir</i> (bi-directional VNIR spectrometer at the RELAB facility) | | |
| Resource | <i>resource</i> | <i>Resource</i> | Name of resource |
| Example: | <i>urn : nasa : pds : context : resource : resource . orbital_data_explorer</i> | | |
| PDS Affiliate or PDS Guest | <i>personnel</i> | <i>Personnel</i> | Person's given name or initial, followed by an underscore, followed by family name |
| Example: | <i>urn : nasa : pds : context : personnel : personnel . richard_chen</i> | | |

| Type of Context Product | Field [1] | Field [2] | Field [3] |
|-------------------------|---------------|---|----------------|
| Target | <i>target</i> | Type of target, one of: <i>asteroid</i> <i>astrophysical</i> <i>calibration_field</i> <i>calibrator</i> <i>centaur</i> <i>comet</i> <i>dust</i> <i>dwarf_planet</i> <i>equipment</i> <i>exoplanet_system</i> <i>galaxy</i> <i>interstellar_object</i> <i>laboratory_analog</i> <i>magnetic_field</i> <i>meteoroid</i> <i>meteoroid_stream</i> <i>nebula</i> <i>planet</i> <i>planetary_nebula</i> <i>planetary_system</i> <i>plasma_cloud</i> <i>plasma_stream</i> <i>ring</i> <i>sample</i> <i>satellite</i> <i>star</i> <i>star_cluster</i> <i>trans-neptunian_object</i> | name of target |

| Type of Context Product | Field [1] | Field [2] | Field [3] |
|-------------------------|--|---------------|--|
| Example: | <i>urn : nasa : pds : context : target : satellite . amalthea</i> | | |
| Node | <i>node</i> | <i>Node</i> | Node name, one of: <i>atmos</i> <i>en</i> <i>geosciences</i> <i>imaging</i> <i>naif</i> <i>pds-mgt</i> <i>ppi-ucla</i> <i>psa</i> <i>rings</i> <i>rs</i> <i>sbn</i> |
| Example: | <i>urn: nasa : pds : context : node : node . atmos</i> | | |
| Agency | <i>agency</i> | <i>Agency</i> | Agency name, one of: <i>esa</i> <i>jaxa</i> <i>nasa</i> <i>ros</i> |
| Example: | <i>urn : nasa : pds : context : agency : agency . esa</i> | | |

Node and Agency context products are created and maintained by the PDS Engineering Node. Their LIDs are included here for completeness. It is unlikely that any data provider would need to create a new Node or Agency context product LID.

Appendix K Generating Labels for Collection Inventories and Bundles

This appendix gives instructions for creating labels for the two types of aggregate products, **Product_Collection** and **Product_Bundle**, which were introduced in Section 6.2.5.

K.1 Product_Collection

A **Product_Collection** product defines a collection of basic products that are related in some way. It consists of an inventory of its member products and an accompanying label. Observational data, for example, may be gathered into one or more data collections, documents into a document collection, etc.

The mechanics of defining a collection are straightforward; you create an Inventory table, which is a delimited table with two fields. The first field identifies each member as either primary (P) or secondary (S), as defined in the following section. The second field lists the logical identifiers (LIDs or LIDVIDs) of all the products that are members of the collection.

You then create a label that describes the Inventory table. The Inventory label contains a logical identifier (LID) that uniquely identifies the collection. It also contains a version identifier (VID) that distinguishes among several versions of the collection product (if there is more than one).

If your collection meets the criteria for a Mission Science Data Collection as defined in Section 9C.3.1 of the *Standards Reference* [2], then its label must include the attributes listed in that section.

K.1.1 Members of a Collection

The members of a collection are designated as being either primary (P) or secondary (S).

- Every product must be a primary member of one and only one collection.
 - That collection is the one in which the product is first registered in the PDS.
- Products already registered in the PDS may be secondary members of other collections.
 - For example, a collection of Saturn ring images from an imaging experiment may be created as secondary members because the full set of images from that experiment were previously registered in another collection.
- Primary members must be identified in the collection inventory using LIDVIDs.
- Secondary members may be identified in the collection inventory using either LIDs or LIDVIDs based on which is more appropriate for that collection and product (*Standards Reference* [2] Section 9C).

K.1.2 Collection Inventory

As stated, the Inventory table is a two-field **Table_Delimited** object where each row (or record) of the table describes one of the member products of the collection. The first field of each record specifies whether the product is a primary (P) or secondary (S) member of the collection. The second field specifies either the LID or LIDVID of the product. The only permitted delimiter

between the two fields is a comma. The Inventory table file name should be of the form **collection[_*].csv** where the optional base name extension can indicate the type of collection — for example, **collection_browse.csv** or **collection_data_raw.csv**.

Here is the Inventory table in the file **collection_eetable_inventory.csv** in the *DPH Examples* (<https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>).

```
P,urn:nasa:pds:izenberg_pdart14_meap:data_eetable:ele_evt_12hr_orbit_2011-2012::1.0
P,urn:nasa:pds:izenberg_pdart14_meap:data_eetable:ele_evt_8hr_orbit_2012-2013::1.0
P,urn:nasa:pds:izenberg_pdart14_meap:data_eetable:ele_evt_8hr_orbit_2014-2015::1.0
```

K.1.3 Generating and Populating a Product_Collection Label

You may use the procedure described in Appendix D to create a draft **Product_Collection** label and modify it using the information in this section. For an example, we will use the label for the Inventory table shown above. It looks like this with the lower-level tags closed up.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<?xml-model href="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.sch"
schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?>
<Product_Collection xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd">
  <Identification_Area>
  <Context_Area>
  <Collection>
  <File_Area_Inventory>
</Product_Collection>
```

K.1.3.1 Identification Area

The **Identification_Area** class includes the same subclasses that it did in the **Product_Observational** label in Appendix E. The `<logical_identifier>` tag is filled in with the LID for the collection as a whole (Section 5.2). The `<title>` also applies to the collection as a whole. The `<Citation_Information>` is required if the collection is a Mission Science Data Collection (*Standards Reference* [2] Section 9C.3.1). Its **description** attribute should provide a terse (less than 5000 bytes) description of the contents of the collection suitable for display in a web browser.

Here is the **Identification_Area** part of our example **Product_Collection** label.

```
<Identification_Area>
  <logical_identifier>
    urn:nasa:pds:izenberg_pdart14_meap:data_eetable
  </logical_identifier>
  <version_id>1.0</version_id>
  <title>
    Izenberg PDART 2014 MESSENGER Advanced Products Energetic Electrons
    Table Collection
```

```

</title>
<information_model_version>1.7.0.0</information_model_version>
<product_class>Product_Collection</product_class>
<Citation_Information>
  <author_list>Izenberg, N.</author_list>
  <publication_year>2016</publication_year>
  <keyword>MESSENGER</keyword>
  <keyword>Neutron Spectrometer</keyword>
  <description>
    Izenberg PDART 2014 MESSENGER Advanced Products Energetic
    Electrons Table Collection
  </description>
</Citation_Information>
<Modification_History>
  <Modification_Detail>
    <modification_date>2016-04-08</modification_date>
    <version_id>1.0</version_id>
    <description>Initial PDS4 version</description>
  </Modification_Detail>
</Modification_History>
</Identification_Area>

```

K.1.3.1.1 Citation Information

The **Citation_Information** subclass in the **Identification_Area** provides information that can be used when citing archival material in journal articles, abstract services, and other reference contexts. Typical use of **Citation_Information** in a Collection label looks like this:

```

<Citation_Information>
  <!--<author_list>Caesar, J.; ...</author_list> deprecated in 1M00-->
  <!--<editor_list>Smith, A.; ...</editor_list> deprecated in 1M00-->
  <publication_year>2014</publication_year>
  <keyword>Astrometry</keyword>
  <keyword>Gamma Ray</keyword>
  <keyword>Magnetosphere</keyword>
  <keyword>Voyager</keyword>
  <keyword>Jupiter</keyword>
  <keyword>ppi-ucla</keyword>
  <description>B products in PPI VG2PLS archive.</description>
  <List_Author>
    <Person>
      <display_full_name>J. Caesar</display_full_name>
      <given_name>J.</given_name>
      <family_name>Caesar</family_name>
      <person_orcid>http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5109-0000</person_orcid>
      <sequence_number>1</sequence_number>
      <Affiliation>
        <organization_name>Roman Executive</organization_name>
        <organization_rorid>https://ror.org/027k00</organization_rorid>
        <sequence_number>1</sequence_number>
      </Affiliation>
    </Person>
    <Person>
      <display_full_name>Hank Brutus</display_full_name>
      <given_name>Hank</given_name>
      <family_name>Brutus</family_name>
      <person_orcid>http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5109-0001</person_orcid>
      <sequence_number>2</sequence_number>
      <Affiliation>
        <organization_name>Roman Senate</organization_name>
        <organization_rorid>https://ror.org/027k00</organization_rorid>
      </Affiliation>
    </Person>
  </List_Author>
</Citation_Information>

```

```

        <sequence_number>1</sequence_number>
    </Affiliation>
</Person>
<Person>
    <display_full_name>Elizabeth Windsor II</display_full_name>
    <given_name>Elizabeth II</given_name>
    <family_name>Windsor</family_name>
    <sequence_number>3</sequence_number>
    <Affiliation>
        <organization_name>UK Royal</organization_name>
    </Affiliation>
</Person>
<Person>
    <display_full_name>D. Padgett</display_full_name>
    <given_name>Deborah</given_name>
        <family_name>Padgett</family_name>
        <sequence_number>1</sequence_number>
    <Affiliation>
        <organization_name>Jet Propulsion
Laboratory</organization_name>

<organization_rorid>https://ror.org/027k65916</organization_rorid>
    <sequence_number>1</sequence_number>
    </Affiliation>
</Person>
</List_Author>
<List_Editor>
    <Person>
        <display_full_name>A. Smith</display_full_name>
        <given_name>A.</given_name>
        <family_name>Smith</family_name>
        <person_orcid>http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5109-0001</person_orcid>
        <sequence_number>1</sequence_number>
    <Affiliation>
        <organization_name>Jet Propulsion Lab</organization_name>
        <organization_rorid>https://ror.org/027k65</organization_rorid>
    </Affiliation>
</Person>
<Person>
    <display_full_name>Andres Felipe Alzate Lopera</display_full_name>
    <given_name>Andres Felipe</given_name>
    <family_name>Alzate Lopera</family_name>
    <sequence_number>2</sequence_number>
    <Affiliation>
        <organization_name>Applied Physics Lab</organization_name>
    </Affiliation>
</Person>
</List_Editor>
</Citation_Information>

```

The following constitutes the formation “rules” for citing Authors, Editors, and Contributors:

- (1) semi-colon is the delimiter for author_name (e.g., Evans, M.W.; Smith, Richard).
- (2) comma is the delimiter for <last_name> and <first_name> (e.g., Evans, M. W).
- (3) No comma in author_name indicates use as listed (e.g., Jet Propulsion Labs).

(4) If the name contains a suffix (e.g., "Jr.", "Sr.", "III", etc.) it should be placed before the comma (e.g., Jones III, Edward).

(5) Titles prefixing a person's name should be placed before the last_name (e.g., Lord Padams, Jordan; Dr. Hughes, Steven)

The **description** and **publication_year** attributes are required; the others are optional but highly recommended because their inclusion improves the PDS4 search process. The **keyword** attribute is especially useful for listing individual terms that may satisfy search criteria.

In order to have a DOI assigned to a collection product, that product must have an author or editor in its **Citation_Information**¹⁵. Once a DOI is assigned it is included in the **Citation_Information** via the <doi> attribute. For example:

```
<Citation_Information>
  <author_list>Beann, Mehdi; Lyness, Eric</author_list>
  <publication_year>2014</publication_year>
  <doi>10.17189/1408898</doi>
  <description>
    This collection contains the data collected by the Neutral Mass
    Spectrometer (NMS) instrument aboard the Lunar Atmosphere and
    Dust Environment Explorer (LADEE) satellite.
  </description>
</Citation_Information>
```

K.1.3.2 Context Area

The **Product_Collection** label may include a **Context_Area** class, something that is not present in a **Product_Observational** label. **Context_Area** contains attributes that are used in searching for PDS4 products. This is where you provide reference information that describes the collection and links other PDS4 products to the collection. Although it is optional, it is recommended that you include it to help users who are searching for data products like yours.

Context_Area consists of the following optional¹⁶ subclasses:

- **Time_Coordinates** – start and stop times for the collection
- **Primary_Result_Summary** – high-level description of the types of products in the collection
- **Investigation_Area** – information about the mission or data collection effort (similar to **Investigation_Area** in **Product_Observational**)
- **Observing_System** – information about the instrumentation used to acquire the products in the collection (similar to **Observing_System** in **Product_Observational**)

¹⁵ You can do this by citing the author or editor using the <list_author> and/or <list_editor> attributes. Or, you cite the author and/or editor in the <List_Author> and/or <List_Edito> classes.

¹⁶ Some of these are required for Mission Science Data Collections. See *Standards Reference* [2] 9C.3.1.

- **Target_Identification** – information about the target(s) of the observations in the collection (similar to **Target_Identification** in **Product_Observational**)
- **Mission_Area** – a place for classes and attributes from a mission Local Data Dictionary
- **Discipline_Area** – a place for classes and attributes from node or discipline Local Data Dictionaries.

Here is the **Context_Area** part of our example Inventory label.

```

<Context_Area>
  <Time_Coordinates>
    <start_date_time>2011-03-25Z</start_date_time>
    <stop_date_time>2015-04-30Z</stop_date_time>
  </Time_Coordinates>
  <Primary_Result_Summary>
    <purpose>Science</purpose>
    <processing_level>Derived</processing_level>
  </Primary_Result_Summary>
  <Investigation_Area>
    <name>MESSENGER</name>
    <type>Mission</type>
    <Internal_Reference>
      <lid_reference>
        urn:nasa:pds:context:investigation:mission.messenger
      </lid_reference>
      <reference_type>collection_to_investigation</reference_type>
    </Internal_Reference>
  </Investigation_Area>
  <Observing_System>
    <name>MESSENGER</name>
    <Observing_System_Component>
      <name>MESSENGER</name>
      <type>Host</type>
      <Internal_Reference>
        <lid_reference>
          urn:nasa:pds:context:instrument_host:spacecraft.mess
        </lid_reference>
        <reference_type>is_instrument_host</reference_type>
      </Internal_Reference>
    </Observing_System_Component>
    <Observing_System_Component>
      <name>NS</name>
      <type>Instrument</type>
      <Internal_Reference>
        <lid_reference>
          urn:nasa:pds:context:instrument:ns.mess
        </lid_reference>
        <reference_type>is_instrument</reference_type>
      </Internal_Reference>
    </Observing_System_Component>
  </Observing_System>
  <Target_Identification>
    <name>Mercury</name>
    <type>Planet</type>
    <Internal_Reference>
      <lid_reference>
        urn:nasa:pds:context:target:planet.mercury
      </lid_reference>
      <reference_type>collection_to_target</reference_type>
    </Internal_Reference>
  </Target_Identification>
</Context_Area>

```

```
</Target_Identification>
</Context_Area>
```

K.1.3.3 Collection Area

The **Collection** area contains two attributes – **description**, which is optional, and **collection_type**, which is required. The **collection_type** attribute must have one of the following values:

- Browse
- Calibration
- Context
- Data
- Document
- Geometry
- Miscellaneous
- SPICE Kernel
- XML Schema

Here is the **Collection_Area** part of our example Inventory label.

```
<Collection>
  <collection_type>Data</collection_type>
</Collection>
```

K.1.3.4 File_Area_Inventory

File_Area_Inventory is similar in structure to **File_Area_Observational** described in E.2.2, with constraints specific to inventory tables. It has a **File** subclass and an **Inventory** subclass. Both are required.

Here is the **File_Area_Inventory** part of our example Inventory label. It includes the required attributes and a few, but not all, of the optional attributes. In most labels **File_Area_Inventory** will look exactly like this except for the values of **file_name**, **creation_date_time**, and **records**.

```
<File_Area_Inventory>
  <File>
    <file_name>collection_eetable_inventory.csv</file_name>
    <creation_date_time>2016-04-08</creation_date_time>
  </File>
  <Inventory>
    <offset unit="byte">0</offset>
    <parsing_standard_id>PDS DSV 1</parsing_standard_id>
    <records>3</records>
    <record_delimiter>Carriage-Return Line-Feed</record_delimiter>
    <field_delimiter>Comma</field_delimiter>
    <Record_Delimited>
```

```

<fields>2</fields>
<groups>0</groups>
<Field_Delimited>
  <name>Member Status</name>
  <field_number>1</field_number>
  <data_type>ASCII_String</data_type>
  <maximum_field_length unit="byte">1</maximum_field_length>
  <description>
    P indicates primary member of the collection
    S indicates secondary member of the collection
  </description>
</Field_Delimited>
<Field_Delimited>
  <name>LIDVID_LID</name>
  <field_number>2</field_number>
  <data_type>ASCII_LIDVID_LID</data_type>
  <maximum_field_length unit="byte">255</maximum_field_length>
  <description>
    The LID or LIDVID of a product that is a member
    of the collection.
  </description>
</Field_Delimited>
</Record_Delimited>
<reference_type>inventory_has_member_product</reference_type>
</Inventory>
</File_Area_Inventory>

```

For further discussion regarding collection products, see the *SBN PDS4 Wiki* (<https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu>) or Section 9C of the *Standards Reference* [2].

K.2 Product_Bundle

Like collections, bundles are aggregate products. A bundle is a set of related collections. PDS does not impose requirements on bundles except that (1) bundle LIDs must be distinct within the overall holdings of PDS, (2) each bundle must be approved by a PDS peer review, and (3) if a bundle meets the criteria for a Mission Science Archive Bundle as defined in Section 9D.3.1 of the *Standards Reference* [2], then its label must include the attributes listed in that section. As part of the design discussion with your consulting DN data engineer (Section 4.3 of this document), you should have determined which collections will be included in your bundle.

A **Product_Bundle** identifies all of its member collections. Unlike collection products, which consist of a label and an inventory table, a bundle product consists of a label only. The inventory is embedded within the label because there is usually only a small number of collections in a bundle. A **Product_Bundle** may optionally refer to a separate **readme** file.

K.2.1 Generating and Populating a Product_Bundle Label

You may use the procedure described in Appendix D to create a draft **Product_Bundle** label and modify it using the information in this section. As an example, we will use the label for the bundle **izenberg_pdart_meap** in the online *DPH Examples* (<https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>). It looks like this with the lower-level tags closed up. This bundle contains four collections.

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<?xml-model href="https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.sch"

```

```
schematypens="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron"?>
<Product_Bundle xmlns="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="http://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1
https://pds.nasa.gov/pds4/pds/v1/PDS4_PDS_1700.xsd">
  <Identification_Area>
    <Context_Area>
      <Bundle>
        <Bundle_Member_Entry>
        <Bundle_Member_Entry>
        <Bundle_Member_Entry>
        <Bundle_Member_Entry>
      </Product_Bundle>

```

K.2.1.1 Identification_Area

The **Identification_Area** class includes the same subclasses that it did in the **Product_Collection** label in Section K.1.3.1 above and in the **Product_Observational** label in Appendix E. The `<logical_identifier>` tag is filled in with the LID for the bundle as a whole (Section 5.2). The `<title>` also applies to the bundle as a whole. The `<Citation_Information>` and `<Modification_History>` tags are not required but are shown as examples. The description in `<Citation_Information>` should be a summary of the bundle contents suitable for display in a web browser.

Here is the **Identification_Area** part of our example **Product_Bundle** label.

```
<Identification_Area>
  <logical_identifier>urn:nasa:pds:izenberg_pdart14_meap</logical_identifier>
  <version_id>1.0</version_id>
  <title>Izenberg PDART 2014 MESSENGER Advanced Products Bundle</title>
  <information_model_version>1.7.0.0</information_model_version>
  <product_class>Product_Bundle</product_class>
  <Citation_Information>
    <author_list>Izenberg, Noam</author_list>
    <publication_year>2016</publication_year>
    <description>
      MESSENGER Advanced Products (MEAP) by Noam Izenberg, PDART 2014
    </description>
  </Citation_Information>
  <Modification_History>
    <Modification_Detail>
      <modification_date>2017-02-09</modification_date>
      <version_id>1.0</version_id>
      <description>
        Peer reviewed version of the Izenberg PDART 2014 MEAP bundle
      </description>
    </Modification_Detail>
  </Modification_History>
</Identification_Area>

```

In order to have a DOI assigned to a bundle product, that product must have an author list (or editor list) in its **Citation_Information**. Once a DOI is assigned it is included in the **Citation_Information** via the `<doi>` attribute. For example:

```
<Citation_Information>
```

```

<author_list>Beann, Mehdi; Lyness, Eric</author_list>
<publication_year>2014</publication_year>
<doi>10.17189/1408898</doi>
<description>
  This bundle contains the data collected by the Neutral Mass
  Spectrometer (NMS) instrument aboard the Lunar Atmosphere and
  Dust Environment Explorer (LADEE) satellite, along with the documents
  and other information necessary for the interpretation of that data.
</description>
</Citation_Information>

```

K.2.1.2 Context_Area

The **Context_Area** is optional in a **Product_Bundle** label, but its use is recommended because it contains attributes that are used in searching for PDS4 products. Filling in accurate values for these attributes will help users who are searching for data products like yours. The components of **Context_Area** are the same as those described above in Section K.1.3.2. They are all optional.

Here is the **Context_Area** part of our example **Product_Bundle** label.

```

<Context_Area>
  <Time_Coordinates>
    <start_date_time>2004-08-13Z</start_date_time>
    <stop_date_time>2015-04-30Z</stop_date_time>
  </Time_Coordinates>
  <Primary_Result_Summary>
    <purpose>Science</purpose>
    <processing_level>Derived</processing_level>
  </Primary_Result_Summary>
  <Investigation_Area>
    <name>MESSENGER</name>
    <type>Mission</type>
    <Internal_Reference>
      <lid_reference>
        urn:nasa:pds:context:investigation:mission.messenger
      </lid_reference>
      <reference_type>bundle_to_investigation</reference_type>
    </Internal_Reference>
  </Investigation_Area>
  <Observing_System>
    <name>MESSENGER</name>
    <Observing_System_Component>
      <name>MESSENGER</name>
      <type>Host</type>
      <Internal_Reference>
        <lid_reference>
          urn:nasa:pds:context:instrument_host:spacecraft.mess
        </lid_reference>
        <reference_type>is_instrument_host</reference_type>
      </Internal_Reference>
    </Observing_System_Component>
    <Observing_System_Component>
      <name>MASCS</name>
      <type>Instrument</type>
      <Internal_Reference>
        <lid_reference>
          urn:nasa:pds:context:instrument:mascs.mess
        </lid_reference>
      </Internal_Reference>
    </Observing_System_Component>
  </Observing_System>

```

```

        <reference_type>is_instrument</reference_type>
      </Internal_Reference>
    </Observing_System_Component>
  <Observing_System_Component>
    <name>GRS</name>
    <type>Instrument</type>
    <Internal_Reference>
      <lid_reference>
        urn:nasa:pds:context:instrument:grs.mess
      </lid_reference>
      <reference_type>is_instrument</reference_type>
    </Internal_Reference>
  </Observing_System_Component>
  <Observing_System_Component>
    <name>NS</name>
    <type>Instrument</type>
    <Internal_Reference>
      <lid_reference>
        urn:nasa:pds:context:instrument:ns.mess
      </lid_reference>
      <reference_type>is_instrument</reference_type>
    </Internal_Reference>
  </Observing_System_Component>
</Observing_System>
<Target_Identification>
  <name>Mercury</name>
  <type>Planet</type>
  <Internal_Reference>
    <lid_reference>
      urn:nasa:pds:context:target:planet.mercury
    </lid_reference>
    <reference_type>bundle_to_target</reference_type>
  </Internal_Reference>
</Target_Identification>
</Context_Area>

```

K.2.1.3 Reference_List

The **Reference_List** class is optional in the **Product_Bundle** label. Here you may put any number of internal or external references relevant to the bundle. See Section 8, Documenting the Archive, for an explanation of internal and external references, and see Appendix E.2.6. for instructions on modifying **Reference_List**. Our example label omits this class.

K.2.1.4 Bundle

The **Bundle** class contains two attributes – **bundle_type** and **description**. If you are creating a bundle that includes a data collection, set **bundle_type** to “Archive”. If there is no data collection, set **bundle_type** to “Supplemental”. The **description** attribute is optional.

Here is the **Bundle** part of our example label.

```

<Bundle>
  <bundle_type>Archive</bundle_type>
</Bundle>

```

K.2.1.5 File_Area_Text

The **File_Area_Text** class is used if an optional **readme** file is included in the bundle. If there is no **readme** file, **File_Area_Text** should be omitted.¹⁷

Our example bundle in the *DPH Example Archives* does not have a **readme** file, but here is an example of how you would use **File_Area_Text** to describe a **readme** file:

```
<File_Area_Text>
  <File>
    <file_name>readme.txt</file_name>
    <local_identifier>README.FILE</local_identifier>
    <creation_date_time>2010-03-12T11:59:04</creation_date_time>
    <file_size unit="byte">22875</file_size>
    <md5_checksum>5ef7af310b99d8189e670830c954a290</md5_checksum>
    <comment>Introduction to the bundle</comment>
  </File>
  <Stream_Text>
    <name>VG2 Jupiter plasma bundle</name>
    <local_identifier>BUNDLE.DESCRPTION</local_identifier>
    <offset unit="byte">0</offset>
    <parsing_standard_id>7-Bit ASCII Text</parsing_standard_id>
    <description>
      Voyager 2 Jupiter plasma bundle description in ASCII text.
    </description>
    <record_delimiter>Carriage-Return Line-Feed</record_delimiter>
  </Stream_Text>
</File_Area_Text>
```

File_Area_Text has two subclasses, both of which are required: **File** and **Stream_Text**.

The **File** subclass describes the actual **readme** file. Only the **file_name** attribute is required.

The **Stream_Text** subclass describes how the text in the **readme** file can be found and extracted. The **offset**, **parsing_standard_id**, and **record_delimiter** attributes are required. The **offset** attribute is the offset of the text from the beginning of the file (in bytes in this example). If the text starts at the beginning of the file, **offset** is 0. The **parsing_standard_id** attribute provides the name of the standard used for extracting the text from the byte stream in the file (see Table 4-2 for possible values). The **record_delimiter** attribute gives the delimiter that separate lines in the text. Currently the only acceptable values are “Carriage-Return Line-Feed” and “Line-Feed”.

K.2.1.6 Bundle_Member_Entry

The members of a bundle are specified using the **Bundle_Member_Entry** class. The **Bundle_Member_Entry** class is repeated for each collection product in the bundle. This class must occur at least once in the **Product_Bundle** label.

Our example bundle contains four collections, including the collection we labeled in Section K.1.3 above. Here are the **Bundle_Member_Entry** parts of our example label.

```
<Bundle_Member_Entry>
  <lid_reference>
    urn:nasa:pds:izenberg_pdart14_meap:data_imagecube
```

¹⁷ A **readme** file should give the reader a high-level overview of the bundle contents and structure.

```

    </lid_reference>
    <member_status>Primary</member_status>
    <reference_type>bundle_has_data_collection</reference_type>
  </Bundle_Member_Entry>
  <Bundle_Member_Entry>
    <lid_reference>
      urn:nasa:pds:izenberg_pdart14_meap:data_eetable
    </lid_reference>
    <member_status>Primary</member_status>
    <reference_type>bundle_has_data_collection</reference_type>
  </Bundle_Member_Entry>
  <Bundle_Member_Entry>
    <lid_reference>
      urn:nasa:pds:izenberg_pdart14_meap:data_tnmap
    </lid_reference>
    <member_status>Primary</member_status>
    <reference_type>bundle_has_data_collection</reference_type>
  </Bundle_Member_Entry>
  <Bundle_Member_Entry>
    <lid_reference>
      urn:nasa:pds:izenberg_pdart14_meap:document
    </lid_reference>
    <member_status>Primary</member_status>
    <reference_type>bundle_has_document_collection</reference_type>
  </Bundle_Member_Entry>

```

In the **Bundle_Member_Entry** class:

- You must use either the **lid_reference** or the **lidvid_reference** attribute for each member collection. Collections which are primary members of the bundle may be specified by either a LID or LIDVID depending on which is more appropriate for the particular bundle. Discuss which option to use with your consulting node.
- The value for **reference_type** depends on the type of collection. It must be one of the following:

| Collection Type | Value for reference_type |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Browse | bundle_has_browse_collection |
| Calibration | bundle_has_calibration_collection |
| Context | bundle_has_context_collection |
| Data | bundle_has_data_collection |
| Document | bundle_has_document_collection |
| Geometry | bundle_has_geometry_collection |
| Miscellaneous | bundle_has_miscellaneous_collection |
| SPICE Kernel | bundle_has_spice_kernel_collection |
| Schema | bundle_has_schema_collection |

- The value for the **member_status** attribute — “Primary” or “Secondary” — specifies whether the collection is a primary or secondary member of the bundle, respectively (see Section K.1.1).

The complete **Product_Bundle** label used in our example is online in the *DPH Examples* <https://pds.nasa.gov/datastandards/documents/examples/>.

Change Log

| Revision | Date | Description | Author |
|----------|--------------|--|----------------------------------|
| 0.1 | Mar 30, 2009 | Initial draft based on information collected by the Data System Working Group. | R. Joyner |
| 0.2 | Aug 6, 2009 | Updated versions of all Classes | R. Joyner |
| 0.2.1 | 2010-08-31 | Complete overhaul, but only partly successful through page 25 | R. Simpson |
| 0.22 | Aug 31, 2010 | Integrate Simpson and Joyner docs | R. Joyner etal |
| 0.22.1 | 2010-09-22 | Edits through Section 3, except Section 2 | Simpson |
| 0.22.2 | 2010-09-24 | Added comments from Mitch Gordon | Simpson |
| 0.22.2 | 2010-10-01 | Significant edits to Section 4 | Simpson |
| 0.22.3 | 2010-10-25 | Significant edits to Sections 1,3-6 | Simpson |
| 0.3 | 2011-04-15 | Significant edits addressing Build 1b comments | M.Gordon etal |
| 0.3.1 | 2011-04-21 | Additional content added | M.Gordon etal |
| 0.3.2 | 2011-05-02 | Significant reorganization, additional edits | M.Gordon etal |
| 0.3.3 | 2011-06-29 | Make Sections 1-2 more user friendly | R. Simpson, M. Gordon |
| 0.3.4 | 2011-09-06 | Major changes Sections 2, 3, 8,14 | M. Gordon, R. Joyner |
| 0.3.5 | 2011-10-31 | Updates for schema 0.5.00g | M. Gordon, R. Joyner |
| 0.3.6 | 2012-01-31 | Updates for schema 0.7.0.0.j | M. Gordon, R. Joyner |
| 0.3.7 | 2012-03-28 | Updates for schema 0.7.0.0.j | M. Gordon, R. Joyner |
| 0.3.8 | 2012-05-28 | Updates for schema 0.8.0.0.k | M. Gordon, R. Joyner |
| 0.3.9 | 2012-10-01 | Updates for schema 0.3.0.0a | M. Gordon, R. Joyner |
| 0.3.10 | 2013-04-01 | Major changes for schema 0.3.1.0b | M. Gordon, R. Joyner, R. Simpson |

| | | | |
|-------|------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 1.0.0 | 2013-05-01 | Changes for compliance with schema 1.0.0.0 | M. Gordon, R. Joyner, R. Simpson |
| 1.2.0 | 2014-07-01 | Changes for compliance with schema 1.2.0.0 | M. Gordon, R. Joyner, R. Simpson |
| 1.3.0 | 2014-09-01 | Changes for compliance with schema 1.3.0.0 | M. Gordon, R. Joyner, R. Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-04-15 | Changes for compliance with schema 1.4.0.0 | M. Gordon, R. Joyner, R. Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-06-28 | Standardized use of “schema” and “Schematron files” in various places (such as footnote 2 in Section 1.3) | Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-06-28 | Moved [2] and [4] to Section 1.5.2; per the CCB charter and other documents, the SR and CD are as much controlling as the IM spec. | Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-06-28 | Changed “supporting node” to “consulting node” per terminology in <i>PDS4 Concepts</i> . | Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-06-30 | Simplified Section 4 by removing references to VG2 PLS example data. | Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-07-02 | Rewrote Appendix D, because it didn’t work | Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-07-25 | Rewrote 6.1 to include product selection guidelines driven by CCB-111 | Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-08-10 | Revised and simplified Section 7 for consistency across oXygen and Eclipse XAEs and PDS validation software. | Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-08-20 | Reorganized Sections 10-11 to consolidate topics and reduce repetition. | Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-08-20 | Streamlined and reorganized Section 12. Expanded and corrected examples in 12.1. Removed most references to restricted documents from the original Section 12; then added 12.2 specifically about restricted documents. | Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-08-27 | Change “section” to upper case when referring to a numbered section. | Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-08-28 | Rewrote Section 3.0 to make it an introduction to labels and XML rather than a cookbook of how to manipulate templates (already in Section 7) | Simpson |

| | | | |
|-------|------------|---|--------------------|
| 1.4.0 | 2015-09-24 | Added Appendices F, G, and H | Simpson |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-10-06 | Removed Section 14 and references thereto (the examples are outdated and have many errors). The section may be revised and returned in a later edition. | Simpson, R. Joyner |
| 1.4.0 | 2015-10-06 | There have been many other changes since DPH v1.3.0. The Change Log entries above should be considered representative. In many ways v1.4.0 is a new document. | Simpson, R. Joyner |
| 1.4.1 | 2016-02-23 | Changed date and version number on cover; updated Table of Contents. | Simpson |
| 1.4.1 | 2016-02-23 | Substituted period for comma at the bottom of page 13; corrected misspelling of “array” on page 18, line -6. Added missing close parenthesis in ‘blue’ text in the middle of page 23. | Simpson |
| 1.4.1 | 2016-02-23 | Replaced smart quotes by regular quotes in example labels. | Simpson |
| 1.4.1 | 2016-02-23 | Removed hyperlink notation from example labels | Simpson |

Version 1.7.0

Version 1.7.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model versions 1.5.0, 1.6.0, and 1.7.0. There are no corresponding versions 1.5.0 and 1.6.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook because of a delay in updating the document. To keep the Data Provider’s Handbook version synchronized with the Information Model version, the Data Provider’s Handbook version was incremented from 1.4.0 to 1.7.0.

Version 1.7.0 is a complete rewrite of the previous version. The sections and appendices have been re-ordered and much of the content revised, based on comments from PDS personnel and the judgment of the PDS Document Authors Team. The goal has been to make the flow of information more logical in order of presentation and in level of detail for the reader who is not a PDS expert.

| Revision | Date | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|--|---------|
| 1.7.0 | 2016-12-08 | Reorganization of sections and appendices | Slavney |
| 1.7.0 | 2016-12-12 | CCB-98: Choose most specific class available to describe an object. Added statement to end of section 6.3.1. to match SR section 9F. | Slavney |

| | | | |
|-------|------------|---|---------|
| 1.7.0 | 2016-12-13 | CCB-146 and CCB-160: Added “ros” and “jaxa” as valid agencies in Appx K, Forming LIDs for Context Products. | Slavney |
| 1.7.0 | 2016-12-15 | Incorporated comments from Ed Guinness, Matt Tiscareno, Santa Martinez, Lev Nagdimunov, Dick Simpson, Ron Joyner, and Richard Chen | Slavney |
| 1.7.0 | 2017-02-03 | Revised text throughout | Slavney |
| 1.7.0 | 2017-02-28 | Revisions throughout, mostly minor | Simpson |
| 1.7.0 | 2017-04-01 | Revised appendices, except for Appendix J, Creating and Using Local Data Dictionaries. | Slavney |
| 1.7.0 | 2017-05-03 | Applied comments from Document Review Team and from Jenn Ward, Geosciences Node. Jenn was asked to review because she is new to PDS4, unlike other reviewers. | Slavney |
| 1.7.0 | 2017-05-17 | Section 13.1.2, Changed *inventory.tab to *inventory.csv, in response to CCB-117. | Slavney |

Version 1.9.0

Version 1.9.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.9.0. There is no version 1.8.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook because none of the changes in IM 1.8.0 required an update to this document. To keep the Data Provider’s Handbook version synchronized with the Information Model version, the Data Provider’s Handbook version was incremented from 1.7.0 to 1.9.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|----------------------------|---|---------|
| 1.9.0 | 2017-08-09 | E.3.2, E.3.4 | CCB-176. Changed “Imaging Node” to “Cartography and Imaging Sciences Node”. | Slavney |
| 1.9.0 | 2017-08-09 | Appendix F | CCB-178. Added “The name chosen for local_identifier and local_identifier_reference may be composed using upper or lower case letters, numerals, the dash, period, and underscore. Spaces are not allowed. The name must start with a letter or underscore (SR [2] Section 6D.1).” Updated example accordingly. | Slavney |
| 1.9.0 | 2017-08-10 | 6.1, 6.2.2.2, 6.3.1, E.3.4 | CCB-180. Added text stating that only namespaces in the Namespace Registry may be used in PDS4 labels. | Slavney |

| | | | | |
|-------|------------|------------|--|------------------|
| 1.9.0 | 2017-08-10 | 6.2.5 | CCB-182. Added section about use of the XML CDATA construct in labels. | Slavney |
| 1.9.0 | 2017-08-10 | Appendix G | Revised text and figure to show that group_location is counted starting at 1, not 0. | Simpson, Slavney |
| 1.9.0 | 2017-08-22 | 4.2.2 | CCB-172. Added MPEG-4 as supplemental documentation format. | Slavney |
| 1.9.0 | 2017-08-24 | Appendix J | Removed Appendix J, “Creating and Using Local Data Dictionaries” because EN has an action item to put this information in a new document and bring it up to date. For now, replaced references in the text to Appendix J with a reference to tutorials on the Small Bodies Node PDS4 Wiki. | Slavney |

Version 1.10.0

Version 1.10.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.10.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| 1.10.0 | 2018-03-05 | Appendix K.1, K.2 | Added statements “if a collection [or bundle] meets the criteria for a Mission Science Data Collection [or Archive Bundle] as defined in Section 9C.3.1 [or 9D.3.1] of the Standards Reference, then its label must include the attributes listed in that section.” | Slavney |
| 1.10.0 | 2018-03-21 | 6.2.4, Composite Structures (new) | CCB-174. Added new section describing use of the Composite_Structure class. | Slavney, Gordon |

Version 1.10.1

Version 1.10.1 of the Data Provider’s Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.10.1.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------|------------|-------------|--------|
|----------|------|------------|-------------|--------|

| | | | | |
|--------|------------|--|--|--------------------|
| 1.10.1 | 2018-05-10 | 6.2.2.2, Appendix E.2.6, E.2.6.1 (new) | CCB-177. Added examples and descriptions of Source_Product_Internal and Source_Product_External classes. | De Cesare, Slavney |
|--------|------------|--|--|--------------------|

Version 1.11.0

Version 1.11.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.11.0.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|---|--|----------------|
| 1.11.0 | 2018-09-14 | 2.5, 5, 5.5, Fig. 8.2, K.1.3.1.1, K.2.1.1 | CCB-206. Added information about using DOIs assigned to products. | Raugh, Slavney |
| 1.11.0 | 2018-09-14 | K.1.3.1.1 | CCB-207. Updated author_list and editor_list in example. | Raugh, Slavney |
| 1.11.0 | 2018-09-14 | Table J-1 | CCB-217. Added magnetic_field to list of types for target context products. | Slavney |
| 1.11.0 | 2018-09-18 | Table J-1 | CCB-221. Added laboratory_analog to list of types for target context products. | Slavney |
| 1.11.0 | 2018-09-18 | Table J-1 | CCB-232. Added centaur to list of types for target context products. | Slavney |

Version 1.12.0

Version 1.12.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.12.0.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1.12.0 | 2019-04-01 | Appendix J | CCB-202. Added to end of first paragraph “New context products for instruments will require the help of the discipline node to select the correct value for instrument_type .” | Slavney |
| 1.12.0 | 2019-04-01 | Appendix E section E.2.6.1.2 | CCB-227. Added text stating that the Source_Product_External class must include either a doi attribute or a curating_facility attribute, or both, and that all source products in the class | Slavney |

| | | | | |
|--------|------------|----------------------------|---|---------|
| | | | must correspond to the same DOI or curating facility. | |
| 1.12.0 | 2019-04-01 | Appendix K section K.2.1.6 | CCB-248. Changed reference type for a miscellaneous collection to “bundle_has_miscellaneous_collection” | Slavney |
| 1.12.0 | 2019-04-01 | Appendix J Table J-1 | CCB-246. Removed “sun” from list of permissible target type values. | Slavney |
| 1.12.0 | 2019-04-01 | Appendix J Table J-1 | CCB-245. Removed “Airborne Observing System” from list of context product types, combined its observing system types with Instrument Host types, and removed mention of “spacecraft” as an equivalent term for “instrument host”. | Slavney |
| 1.12.0 | 2019-04-01 | Appendix J Table J-1 | CCB-242. Added “field_campaign” as an investigation type, and changed existing investigation type “campaign” to “observing_campaign”. | Slavney |
| 1.12.0 | 2019-04-18 | E.2.6 | In response to reviewer comment, changed example of doi value by removing “doi:” prefix. This should have been corrected as part of CCB-206. | Slavney |

Version 1.13.0

Version 1.13.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.13.0.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|------------|---|---------|
| 1.13.0 | 2019-10-23 | E.2.6 | Updated label snippet to include reference_type in Source_Product_External, to match example in E.2.6.1.2 | Slavney |
| 1.13.0 | 2019-10-23 | Appendix J | CCB-254. Added “astrophysical” to list of target types for context product LIDs. Also made other corrections to match enumerated list in the IM. | Slavney |
| 1.13.0 | 2019-10-23 | 1, 1.4.1 | Replaced version-specific references to the Information Model and documents with general ones that do not need to be revised with each new version. | Slavney |

| | | | | |
|--------|------------|------------------|--|---------|
| 1.13.0 | 2019-10-23 | 11.5.2 | Deleted the sentence “Currently the Validate Tool does not check that the label correctly describes the data.” It does now. | Slavney |
| 1.13.0 | 2019-10-23 | Appendix J | Changed formation rule for context product LID for an instrument on a spacecraft to match best practice recommended by PDS Engineering Node. | Slavney |
| 1.13.0 | 2020-01-07 | All | Updated links to documents on reorganized PDS web site. | Slavney |
| 1.13.0 | 2020-01-07 | 1.4.2 | Removed link to Ingest LDD Users Guide because the document is no longer available. | Slavney |
| 1.13.0 | 2020-01-07 | Appendix I | Removed link to Schematron introduction at www6.software.ibm.com because it generated an error. | Slavney |
| 1.13.0 | 2020-01-07 | Appendix K.2.1.2 | In example bundle label, changed reference_type “data_to_target” to “bundle_to_target” (reviewer comment). Also corrected the label in the DPH Examples. | Slavney |
| 1.13.0 | 2020-01-16 | All | Updated stale internal references | Slavney |

Version 1.14.0

Version 1.14.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.14.0.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|---------------------------|---|---------|
| 1.14.0 | 2020-04-14 | K.1.3.2, K.2.1.2 | CCB-138. Changed Observing_System_Component / type from Spacecraft to Host in examples. | Slavney |
| 1.14.0 | 2020-04-14 | 6.2.2.2, E.2.3.1 (new) | CCB-220. Revised to explain how to refer to a table of source products in an external file, using text provided on CCB-220 Jira page, modified slightly at a reviewer’s suggestion. | Slavney |
| 1.14.0 | 2020-04-14 | 6.2.2.1 | CCB-272. Changed “Array” to “Array_1D” in list of array subclasses. | Slavney |
| 1.14.0 | 2020-04-14 | 6.2.2.1 | CCB-280. Revised text regarding Most-Specific-Class requirement, using text | Slavney |

| | | | | |
|--------|------------|--|--|---------|
| | | | provided on the CCB-280 Jira page. This revision was indicated for the SR, but was also applied to similar language here in the DPH so that the documents would agree. | |
| 1.14.0 | 2020-05-13 | many | Updated URL for Small Bodies Node Wiki site to https://sbnwiki.astro.umd.edu . | Slavney |
| 1.14.0 | 2020-05-13 | Table J-1 | Revised formula for instrument context product LIDs to match instructions in Guide to PDS4 Context Products: Creation, Usage, Best Practices, v 1.4, 17 May 2019, as instructed by R. Chen | Slavney |
| 1.14.0 | 2020-05-19 | 1.4.1, 2.2.1, 2.5, 13.3, 13.4, Appx B, Appx C.2, Appx E.2.5.3, Appx J, | Minor edits for clarity by T. McClanahan | Slavney |

Version 1.15.0

Version 1.15.0 of the Data Provider's Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.15.0.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|------------|--|---------|
| 1.15.0 | 2020-10-02 | Table 4-1 | CCB-285. Added parsing_standard_id value "TIFF 6.0" for class Header | Slavney |
| 1.15.0 | 2020-10-02 | Table 4-2 | CCB-289. Added encoding_standard_id values "WAV" and "M4A/AAC" for new class Encoded_Audio | Slavney |
| 1.15.0 | 2020-10-02 | Table 4-1 | CCB-317. Added parsing_standard_id value "FITS 4.0" for class Header | Slavney |
| 1.15.0 | 2020-12-04 | 8.6 | Updated name and URL of Generate tool to MILabel, in response to a reviewer's comment | Slavney |
| 1.15.0 | 2020-12-04 | 4.3.2 | Changed "If there are more than about 20 observational data files, you will need..." to "If there is a large number of observational data files, you will probably want...". The number 20 was causing confusion. It is not a PDS standard. The decision of how to | Slavney |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | subdivide directories is left to the data provider. | |
|--|--|--|---|--|

Version 1.16.0

Version 1.16.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.16.0.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|--------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1.16.0 | 2021-04-21 | 13.1.2, 13.1.3, B.4.C, K.2.1.5 | CCB-264. Allow line-feed character alone as a record delimiter. | Slavney |

Version 1.17.0

Version 1.17.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.17.0.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|------------|--|---------|
| 1.17.0 | 2021-10-15 | 4.3.2 | CCB-330. Paragraph 3, first sentence, changed “even files that are in separate subdirectories” to “within each directory”. | Slavney |

Version 1.18.0

Version 1.18.0 of the Data Provider’s Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.18.0.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|-------------------|---|---------|
| 1.18.0 | 2022-04-04 | 2.3, 4.3.1, 6.3.2 | CCB-260. Updates for the transition to “.lblx” for PDS4 labels. | RJoyner |
| 1.18.0 | 2022-04-04 | Various | Updated invalid references -- “Error! Reference source not found.” | RJoyner |
| 1.18.0 | 2022-04-04 | Figure 10-1 | Relocated figure and Caption | RJoyner |
| 1.18.0 | 2022-04-04 | DPH Examples | Added Product_Collection that uses “.lblx” as file extension | RJoyner |

Version 1.19.0

Version 1.19.0 of the Data Provider's Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.19.0.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| 1.19.0 | 2022-10-01 | N/A | No applicable changes to document. | RJoyner |

Version 1.20.0

Version 1.20.0 of the Data Provider's Handbook incorporates changes made in the Information Model version 1.20.0.0.

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| 1.20.0 | 2023-03-31 | N/A | No applicable changes to document. | RJoyner |

Version 1.21.0

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|------------|--|----------|
| 1.21.0 | 2023-10-14 | 4.2.3 | CCB-363. Paragraph to describe ordering of data objects in label | RJoyner |
| 1.21.0 | 2023-10-14 | 5.2 | CCB-357. Add additional information to clarify LID construction in External Products | R.Joyner |
| 1.21.0 | 2023-10-14 | 14 | CCB-336. Add Section to describe CC0 License Information. | R.Joyner |

Version 1.22.0

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|------------|--|----------|
| 1.22.0 | 2024-03-31 | 5.6 | CCB-356. New Section to describe RORID and ORCID. | RJoyner |
| 1.22.0 | 2024-03-31 | 5.2 | CCB-356. Modified Section K.1.3.1.1 to describe use of author and editor metadata. | R.Joyner |

Version 1.23.0

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|-----------|--------------------------|--|----------|
| 1.23.0 | 2024-10-1 | 11.1 | CCB-10. Aligned the Label validation requirements across the SR and the DPH. | RJoyner |
| 1.23.0 | 2024-10-1 | 4, 4.2.1, 4.2.2, 6.2.2.1 | CCB-19. Encoded Structure and Table 4-3; additional row for Encoded Audio and Encoded Video. | R.Joyner |

Version 1.24.0

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|----------------------------------|--|---------|
| 1.24.0 | 2025-03-31 | 4.3.2, 4.3.2.1, 4.3.2.2, 4.3.2.3 | CCB-13, Ensure file_name and directory_path_name adhere to SR. | RJoyner |
| 1.24.0 | 2025-03-31 | various | Corrected number references for Figures and Tables. | C.Suh |

Version 1.25.0

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|------------|---|---------|
| 1.25.0 | 2025-10-01 | N/A | No applicable changes to document. Minor formatting changes. | RJoyner |

Version 1.26.0

| Revision | Date | Section(s) | Description | Author |
|----------|------------|-----------------------|---|--------|
| 1.26.0 | 2026-03-24 | Table 4.2, Appendix A | CCB-58: New Product_Native values for MSL/Mastcam CCB-80: Support NEF as a Native format for Artemis | RChen |
| 1.26.0 | 2026-03-24 | E.2.4.1 | CCB-72: New Enumerated Values for Funding Source | RChen |

| | | | | |
|--------|------------|-----------|---|-------|
| 1.26.0 | 2026-03-24 | K.1.3.1.1 | CCB-86: List_Author and similar list classes should have cardinality 0:1 instead of 0:M | RChen |
| 1.26.0 | 2026-03-24 | Table J-1 | CCB-75: add new target type interstellar_object | RChen |